# Wednesday and Friday Crossites Thrashed Shredded and Trashed Part Six Simulacra Reg, Alex, Rubies etc. 

By Gerhard Ebersöhn 000000

## Description <br> John does not " $\sim$ match up $\sim$ " with other Gospels NO other day designated "Sabbath" than Day of Atonement

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p121

## Reg: \#2512

The last passover does not match up with the first in the time the lamb was slain OT beginning of 15 th NT 3 pm on 14th God allowed that change some 200 years before from evening sacrifices 15 th to 3 pm on the 14 th so that the events of the last passover would bring about Christs death just after sunset on 15th Joseph went to Pilot AFTER sunset to beg thebody of Christ Mat 27:57, Luke 23:50-54, Mark 15:42, John 19:31

## GE:

Reg, There was no KILLING "~AFTER sunset $\sim "$--- just Joseph's actions! And his BURIAL-actions STOPPED at Luke 23 :54 but STARTED at verse 50. Honestly one CANNOT be so brainless as not able to see it!!! YOU ALL JUST REFUSE TO ADMIT "THE WHOLE-DAY BONE-DAY" THAT JESUS WAS BURIED ON!
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p188 At last you recognised that "~change (was) allowed $\sim$ ", Reg! In fact it was God who CAUSED the change through the passover of Yahweh in Egypt and exodus from Egypt and subsequent passovers in the promised land. And I think it was a bit longer than "~200 years before ... the last passover would bring about Christs death~".

Now the Last Passover DOES "~match up with the first passover in time~".
In both the New AND Old Testaments the lamb was slain " $\sim 3 p m$ on 14th $\sim$ "-NEVER in the OT was the lamb slain " $\sim$ beginning of 15th $\sim$ "-NEVER; it was ALWAYS in the OT " $\sim 3 p m$ on 14th $\sim$ "-always! And the same in the New Testament. Because " $\sim 3 p m$ on $14 t h \sim "$ is exactly the same as the "~evening sacrifices $\sim$ ". You have it WRONG, Reg, that "~evening sacrifices $\sim$ " were " $\sim$ just after sunset $\sim "$. They were not; they were " $\sim$ evening sacrifices $\sim "-$ " $\sim$ evening $\sim "$ the OLD English for "late, in the afternoon"-_" $\sim 3 p m$ on $14 t h \sim$ "!
Just the meaning you give to the word 'evening', Reg, that it meant "~just after sunset $\sim "---T H E R E ’ S ~ Y O U R ~ P R O B L E M!~$
'Evening' can and does mean DIFFERENT things in the Old Testament, Reg; it can mean 'late afternoon' / 'mid-afternoon', or it can mean from "~just after sunset $\sim$ " until dark night; in other words, 'dusk'.
And the word 'eve' in the old English of the KJ Old Testament, will most probable every time mean the same as 'evening' meaning 'late afternoon' / 'mid-afternoon' IN PROSPECT OF THE ONCOMING DAY.
Therefore the " $\sim$ change $\sim$ "which " $\sim$ God allowed $\sim$ " and Himself worked through the redemption of his People out of the Land of Darkness, was the CHANGE IN DATING DAYS FROM SUNSET TO SUNSET instead of the old way, from sunrise to sunrise. That change many centuries before Christ at the exodus, did not change the SACRIFICE from " $\sim 3 p m$ on $14 t h \sim$ " to
"~evening sacrifices just after sunset $\sim$ ", but changed the EATING of the sacrifice in the night after its sacrifice "on the FOURTEENTH"-Exodus $12: 5,8,18$, to the EATING of the sacrifice in the night after its sacrifice "on the
FIFTEENTH"-Exodus 12:46,51 = Leviticus 23:6 = Numbers 28:17; 33:3.

Therefore my dear Reg, Christ's death was NOT " $\sim$ just after sunset on 15 th $\sim$ " but "the ninth hour" " $\sim 3$ pm on $14 t h \sim$ " so that " $\sim$ Joseph went to Pilot AFTER sunset to beg the body of Christ Mat 27:57, Luke 23:50-54, Mark 15:42, John 19:31~"---100\% TRUE!

## Reg:

the whole story from John 10:22 - John 21:1 this is Jesus last 3 months Gospel
Gerard with what you believe you cannot use one word of these texts John 10:22-12:1 because you believe the first 3 Gospel accounts which have that wrong in the spring from Jerica to Jerusalem arriving on Sunday and the triumphant enter when the same walk took place in the winter how could Christ come with a large crowd like the first 3 Gospels have it and John having Jesus under threat of death sneaking into Bethany on the Friday before

## GE:

Sorry, but I absolutely FAIL to see what you want me to see. You just talk after the learned men who manufactured this difference between John and the other three Gospels. It does not exist. If you understand 14 AND 15 are legitimate correct dates given in the Scriptures and the reason why BOTH dates are correct and true and genuine, you will be able to see there is NO discrepancy.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p188
Reg, you amaze me! Are you really prepared to summarily reach verdict THREE of the four Gospels " $\sim$ have that wrong $\sim$ ", that Jesus " $\sim$ arriving on Sunday ... from Jerica to Jerusalem ... with a large crowd ... and John having Jesus ... sneaking into Bethany on the Friday $\sim$ "? Is it not possible REG has it wrong?!
It does not matter which history-a winter or a spring history-any Gospel place in literal context before the day when Jesus six days before the Feast Days of the passover would start visited Lazarus
where he stayed in Bethany.
From here on the last days of Jesus' life and beyond, reveal themselves one day at a time until and including the day of his first appearance to Mary Magdalene. This topic and discussion has consistently been about nothing else. I have never given thought to these prior issues which you are assuming here. And I can just wonder why not? Because they do not actually exist I would say. Because they are not relevant from the very nature of the issues under discussion most definitely!
So why worry any further or rather in retrogression? Let the dead bury their own dead; from John 12:1,12 Mark 11:1,11 Luke 19:22-44 on, it is all and every day just about Jesus' Last Passover Suffering. See: uftol/wwwbiblestudents co a a books Booke201.. page 50

## Reg:

Hello Gerard Post 2461 you have the last supper the passover meal on the preparation day 14th it is not the passover meal Christ would not be alive for that HE WAS THE PASSOVER LAMB how could He take part in that it was that night He introduced the new covenant of the bread and the wine to represent Him instead of the blood sacrifice '
LEV 23:5 In the fourteenth day of the first month at even is the LORD's passover.---in the evening of the 15th---feast of unleavened bread is the day half of the 15th and also the day they left Egypt
LEV 23:6 And on the fifteenth day of the same month is the feast of unleavened bread unto the LORD: seven days ye must eat unleavened bread.
EX 12:8 And they shall eat the flesh in that night, roast with fire, and unleavened bread; and with bitter herbs they shall eat it.
IT ALL HAPPENED ON THE 15TH
GE:

You are CRAZY, Reg. In fact, this is the only post of yours so far I could say I totally agree on with you --- except that I cannot see how you, are disagreeing with me .... funny ... yes, crazy but it's true. The problem, Reg, is, YOU WILL NOT SEE THAT " $\sim I T$ ALL HAPPENED ON THE 15TH~" AND, ON THE 14 TH! On the first time with the exodus; and on the last time with Jesus' last passover "ON THE FOURTEENTH DAY"--- written, twice, Exodus 12:6,8 and 18.
IN BETWEEN the exodus and Jesus' last passover, it WITHOUT EXCEPTION ALWAYS HAPPENED ON THE 15th that passover MEAL was EATEN.


#### Abstract

Alex: The passage in Yoma 39b from the Talmud contains 4 things in post-30 AD Temple tradition that were not the usual order of things prior to the death, burial and resurrection of Yeshua as Machiach that year. The Talmud authenticates the NT Biblical record in the supernatural on these things because the actions of whom we now call Jesus Christ, YHWH/G-d/God incarnate, also God's son, to whom He was well pleased, abolished the need of atonement of sins through animal sacrifices through His life, death and resurrection. Praise YHWH - God of Creation of Heaven and Earth!


## GE:

Alex, before I would burn the Koran, I would burn the Talmud. The Jews know less than the Wednesday crucifixionists about the passover which is less than nothing about Jesus' Passover-SUFFERING OF YAHWEH.
You did not read anything I wrote. THAT IS THE BEST YOU CAN DO AGAINST THE TRUTH. GO ON WITH IT. I need no more proof of your falseness and absence of an own opinion. You
can quote all the RUBBISH floating through cyberspace, it has NO value or validity. You turn a blind eye to God's WORD, in fact, Jesus' own words, "AS THE PROPHET was". Jesus said "a sign shall NOT be given", but it is an "adulterous generation" which asks for a " $\sim S I G N \sim$ " whether the " $\sim$ sign $\sim$ " is an " $\sim$ event constituted sign $\sim$ " or time-constituted " $\sim$ sign $\sim$ " or whatever literal " $\sim$ sign ". Jesus simply turned the unbelievers’ unbelieving eyes to the SCRIPTURES ---"THE PROPHET JONAS" in this instance. But MOSES in Luke 16:31 and "ALL THE PROPHETS" in Luke 24 etc.!


#### Abstract

Alex: Despite how we feel about something doesn't mean we should burn it. In my view the Koran and the Talmud remain good historic works with which to compare and use. How can you say the Jews know less than the Wednesday crucifixionists know about Passover etc when you yourself advocate a FRIDAY crucifixion? This is placing yourself at the pinnacle of us all. Can you pease refrain from such egotistical comments. Both Jewmand Gentile need to accept Yeshua as their Machiach. You have defaulted on the logic of many conversations even playing both sides both in this forum and in others as has been evidenced in previous posts. When it comes to the crunch on simple matters you resort to vile behaviour and call others names and tell them to go to hell. This is a poor example you are setting. We have history MATE to use a nomenclature so atypical of my own country Australia as you have recently used to me. What manner of person says of themselves "I am stupid when it will suit me"? That is a dichotomy of state of mind. We are in this forum to rationally discuss the topic of the elements of Passover week, accepting of others points of view, to agree when we agree and to extend courtesy when we do not yet also provide rationale for our


beliefs using Scripture and other sources, including religious and historical sources such as the Babylonian Talmud where and if necessary to provide logical evidence for our faith.
If you cannot behave then please, not go to hell, but simply leave.

## Rubies:

Alex, You have not been here dealing with the false gospels of Reg and Gerhard for very long! Reg is at least civil as he calls you and me lost liars, but Gerhard is a foul-mouthed hater, as he willingly admits and proves.
Apparently nor have you counted up how many dozens of times Reg has posted the same excruciatingly false info on this thread. He never engages in discussion but tells us he is just going to keep re-posting the same lies until we all come to our senses .. which to him, means accept his heresies.
Yes, we can be saved in spite of our sometimes mistaken views on certain doctrines. We all see "as in a mirror darkly" and none of us is entitled to imagine we alone have the franchise on "The Present Truth." Statistically of course, the truth is that tradition and historical records such as the Early Church Fathers are correct. They were in many cases either disciples of the apostles, or of their successors, and therefore their writings are authoritative. Not like the latter-day claptrap that is spruiked on sites like this.
However, when people begin to state that it's either their way or the highway to hell, then they are preaching a different gospel, and they are to be rebuked according to scripture.


#### Abstract

Alex: Rubies, I advocate there were two Sabbaths that Passover week and a Wednesday crucifixion. Again I am not CoG nor SDA. This page explains it well. [Cut] and fulfills the Lord's words of "three days and three nights" in the grave. Jesus also said He was Lord of the Sabbath. How fitting then His


first and last days of those three days are holy days of convocation. Don't forget to check against the Hebrew Calenders also (secondary after the fact) [Cut]

## Rubies:

Thanks Alex, but I've had the theory "explained" to me more than a soul can stand. It simply is not true.
If you believe this, then you are denying the Word of God, which says that ONLY the 7th day, the Day of Atonement, and the 7th Year, are a SHABBATH or sabbath.
Anything else is a Day of Solemn Assembly (shabbathon) or a "Holy Convocation" or an "Appointed Feast" (moed) or a Solemn Assembly (atsereth).
Don't forget that Jesus is first of all, LORD of the first day of the week, which was of course created FIRST!
And that both days of Firstfruits are always on the first day of the week, also called the Eighth Day, being a type pf Eternity, which begins after the 7th millennium, when the true REST will be ushered in.
I am interested to know, since you are neither SDA nor COG, how is it that you are so committed to certain doctrines which are peculiarly theirs? What is your background, may I ask?
Have you downloaded the interactive calendar program yet? Saves much messing about with various websites. [Cut].
But remember - these are Rabbinic or basically Pharisaic calculated calendars, meant for those who no longer lived in the Land, so are frequently a day or two off per month; sometimes a month out per year; since they take no account of the phases of the moon by which the Hebrew calendar was always determined. SO if you want the true starting dates of the Hebrew months, then you also need the PLSV program from [Cut] Hours of fun can be had, and many fondly-held false notions can be erased with the proper tools.

GE:
... as long as the proper tools don't have the Word among them.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p121

## Rubies:

I stand corrected - you ALMOST apologised for your false statement that the Sabbath was never called "SHABBATH SHABBATHON :
"Gerhard wrote : \#2220
"On the contrary, show where once the Seventh Day Sabbath is being described 'shabbath shabbathon'! There is nothing of the sort", is a serious and unpardonable ERROR OF GERHARD which I herewith acknowledge, admit, apologise for and RETRACT. I wish I never made this error PROVOKED by the MULTIPLE ERRORS of the FAKE Rubies."
Oh too bad, there you go again! This was shaping up to be a passable attempt at a rare apology, before you blamed someone else for YOUR mistake, \& also lied about imaginary "errors"! Then of course there is your brutally honest post \#2305: "All right Pioneer, love your neighbour as yourself ... if you can. I CANNOT. "I CAN, ONLY HATE. THAT IS MY NATURE." We have all seen how true this admission is! Your carnal nature is apparent to all. You demonstrate nothing of the spiritual nature of Christ.
There is something that is painfully obvious to evangelical members on this forum, and similar sabbatarian forums everywhere.
The legalistic doctrine of SABBATH-KEEPING makes people CONCEITED, MEAN-MINDED, NASTY, SANCTIMONIOUS, PROFANE, ANGRY, UNFORGIVING, ACCUSATORY, JUDGEMENTAL, SUPERCILIOUS, PROUD, VENGEFUL,

## IRRATIONAL, UNLOVING, DISHONEST, SELF-RIGHTEOUS, HYPOCRITICAL, COMPLACENT, SMUG, SPITEFUL.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p188
Yes, and then there is something that is painfully obvious to everyone whosoever, at similar forums wherever whichever, the Sunday worshippers' counter apologetics against the Sabbath which make them $\sim$ conceited, mean-minded, nasty, sanctimonious, profane, angry, unforgiving, accusatory, judgemental, supercilious, proud, vengeful, irrational, unloving, dishonest, self-righteous, hypocritical, complacent, smug, spiteful~". Rubies --- fake Rubies --- YOU are the perfect example and PROOF of what you say!!!

## Rubies:

Then they cultivate a persecution complex, imagining that others' antipathy towards their pharisaical nastiness and false gospel is because they alone are righteously obeying the Lord by observing the Sabbath (which He never gave to nor required of Gentiles). And they use this fictitious "persecution" as proof that they must be correct in their conceit!
None of those traits is a fruit of the new birth in Christ and walking in the Spirit daily. People who elevate Sabbath-keeping above Christ's command to love others as He loved us, are out of touch with the true gospel. With seared consciences they recklessly twist scripture to suit their Pharisaical Sabbath doctrine, and nullify Christ's teaching about the two greatest commandments of all. Love God and Love your neighbour.
Some even dare to brag about their murderous intent upon all who express different views, however scriptural. Yet they imagine that slavish observation of a day given to the Israelites as the seal on God's Covenant exclusively with them, supersedes the weightier matter of the surpassing spiritual perfection demanded of Christ's
disciples.
No Christian martyr was ever killed for keeping the Sabbath.
But countless millions have been, and still are unresistingly slaughtered (about 250,000 each year) for preaching the love and peace of Christ's gospel.
It is the humble believers in places such as Sudan, Indonesia, China, Saudi Arabia, etc who are truly persecuted and martyred for their faith, largely ignored by prosperous Laodiceans in the West. Most certainly it is NOT the sabbatarians who are "persecuted" though they are certainly rebuked as the Bible commands. They never risk their lives on the front line for Jesus.
Gerhard, you mock me for saying that Topix frequently deletes many of my posts.(YOUR POST \#2246.) You call me a liar (YOUR POST \#2246 and many others) and you falsely claim that I have never posted certain things.

## GE:

Rubies, please take the trouble to go have a look WHERE I called you a liar and WILL call you a liar every time THERE, WHERE, I have called you a liar, were it thousand times, times thousand. There is, method, in my madness. DON'T YOU WORRY!

## Rubies:

You falsely claim that I have never posted certain things. You falsely accuse me of having contradicted myself or changed my mind. Well ponder on this. Just as a typical fr'instance, you can check the post numbers on page 110 for yourself to verify my honesty and integrity, and contrast it with your bellowing scorn and false accusations.
Posts \# 2286, 2287, 2297 \& 2299 are now MISSING from page 110 of Topix.
(As of 28.4.14. For all I know, many more have disappeared since then.)

That is : 4 posts out of 20 on that page VANISHED. $20 \%$ of posts on this page alone, DELETED.
And every one of them was MINE.
These were all MY responses requested by other posters in ongoing discussions, part of a coherent and logical progression of my carefully-considered replies to Alex and Gerhard. How is anyone supposed to conduct a rational discussion when Topix applies such senseless, arbitrary censorship? Countless numbers of my diligently-composed posts have vanished in this way, with no reason ever supplied. I am one of the most honest, polite, well-educated, coherent, logical, circumspect and considerate posters on this site. Also INTERESTING, which is one of Topix's requirements.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p188
Dear poor unfortunate bereaved Rubies, did I not tell you to use PATIENCE with TopixSDA?
I did, but you must have thought it's just my peace-offering cup of poisoned coffee .... Wiete gegooi die sjeefi' nnie koffie ... Koffie A-Naanbrood?
YOU HAVE TO _WAIT_ BETWEEN POSTS! OR you will LOOSE posts --- like I have many times. JUST RE-POST them! Why can't you re-post them?!
Shucks one would swear _I_ let _your_ posts disappear, are you silly or not?!

## Rubies:

Yet thousands of posts from sabbatarians, whether they be ignoramuses, mentally unstable interlopers or homicidal escapees from some asylum, cursing and maligning and vilifying others, preaching anti-scriptural lies, spewing hatred and cultic heresy of manifold kinds, promoting every false doctrine imaginable,
phrased in despicable profanity, are permitted to remain for posterity. Lewd adverts adorn the pages of this purportedly Christian forum. Typical SDA hypocrisy. The dollar wins, and all manner of evil is tolerated, yea even endorsed, when under the banner of sabbatarian legalism.
Below I re-post those 4 deleted posts. They will probably vanish again. It appears that there is a filter which automatically deletes posts containing certain "verboten" words or phrases. I know that in another thread, all my posts mentioning the username of a banned poster, were deleted by Topix.
At least I have attempted to prove to you that I HAVE indeed conscientiously responded to your arguments with, as you say, "studied and polished" thoroughness, logical reasoning, and 100\% comprehensive supporting references supplied in all cases. And by the way ... one of GERHARD'S especially nasty replies to Rubies, \#2246 from p. 108, April 10th, was also deleted. The one in which you wrote,
"Then you moan the SDAs are to blame " $\sim$ that Topix continually deletes many of (your) posts $\sim$ "....rubbish man, you coward!" TOUCHÉ, GERHARD!!!
What does your longsuffering wife think about you addressing ladies in this abominable manner?
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p122

## GE:

... so that nobody can get me wrong ...
Here ... where you are FACED with Jesus" "BONE-DAY" day of his BURIAL: "THE WHOLE-DAY" from where it BEGAN with "EVENING" up to where it began ending : "AND that day was the Preparation and the Sabbath MID-AFTERNOON WAS DRAWING NEAR", ‘epephohsken sabbaton', Imperfect Indicative

ONGOING ENDING until "the women the Sabbath according to the Commandment began to rest" 'ehsuchasan' Ingressive Aorist.

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2534
Sabbath and the Day of Atonement are also designated a Miqra Qodesh and a Shabbath Shabbathon. But the reverse does not apply. Just because Trumpets, Tabernacles and Solemn Assembly are designated both a "shabbathon" and a "miqra qodesh," does not mean they are a SABBATH. 15 \& 21 Nisan, and Shavuot, are all a "miqra qodesh" but they are neither a "shabbathon" nor a "shabbath."
These distinctions may seem like nitpicking to us, we may not see the significance of designating the various Appointed Feasts in this way, but that is simply what the Hebrew scriptures say, and we are not entitled to exceed the Word of God.
Those who object so strongly (as I do) to the use of the invented terminology "Good Friday," "Easter Sunday" and "Christmas" etc, ought to be much more particular about their own application of the fabricated terms "special sabbaths," "high sabbath," "ceremonial sabbaths," etc. They should also apply their fastidiousness to the many verses which tell us very specifically TO WHOM the Sabbath was given, by whom, where, when and why it was given.
So there is NO other day designated "Sabbath"(Shabbath H7676) in scripture, except the Day of Atonement.
There is NO day designated "high sabbath" in the OT.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p189
Ja. If your vision stops above your rolled up eyes against their sockets under your own high brow. But in the Old Testament exactly "the fifteenth day of the First Month"-"the sabbath" of
the passover of Yahweh - is --- to the eye of faith --- unequivocally " $\sim$ designated "high sabbath" $\sim$ "with the equivalent words "great ('gadol') day" being employed instead. Jeremiah 30:6-10 Joel 2:11 "Because there are NO GRAVES in the land of Egypt" the bodies of the dead were "BURNED WITH FIRE", "wherefore thou (Moses for the LORD) hast thus dealt with us to carry us forth out of Egypt that WE, should DIE-but Moses (for the LORD) said, Fear ye not, stand still, and SEE THE SALVATION OF THE LORD which He will show you TODAY." "THIS DAY came ye out in the month Abib." Exodus 14:11-13; 4. "By STRENGTH-OF-HAND-Jesus Christ-the LORD brought us out. God surely will visit you ["My soul was not left in hell nor my flesh in death would see corruption."] God led the People ABOUT THE WAY OF THE WILDERNESS [raised them up from the grave] of the Red Sea ... And ye shall carry up my BONES away hence with you --- to go by day-and-night" ... out of the land of the living dead.
Now read here, about "THIS THAT SELFSAME WHOLE-DAY BONE-DAY"!
Said Rubies, " $\sim$ I SHOWED YOU in Post \#2237, that the exact phrase which you imagine refers exclusively to "Bone Day," is either "ETSEM HA'YOM HA'ZEH" or "ETSEM YOM," $\sim "$ Alright, YOU showed me, " $\sim$ HA'YOM $\sim "$. I acknowledge and I say many thanks!
Now I can quote Deuteronomy 31:21, 'hay-yom'-Young's. "When all Israel is come to appear before the LORD GOD ... that they may hear and fear the LORD GOD and observe to do all the words of this LAW."
Read the remarkable, virtually identical, parallel of Moses' DAY OF DEATH AND BURIAL and Israel's DAY OF GOINGS OUT, OUT of Egypt. "[The FIRE of] My Anger shall be KINDLED against them in THAT DAY ... and I will surely HIDE ['bury'] My Face [Jesus Christ] in THAT DAY (for all the evils - sins - which
they [as type of us ourselves] shall have wrought). ... For it shall not be forgotten out of the mouths of their seed [as type of "One, The Seed"] ... when I shall HAVE brought [had finished to bring] them INTO the land which I swore unto their fathers."
Now read carefully,
"THIS SONG"-Exodus 15!-"shall testify against them as a witness"-as a witness to the True and Faithful Witness. "This Song", "the Song of Moses and of the Lamb", "a Song for the Sabbath Day", "the Song of their Salvation", is raised "as a witness against them for I know their imagination which they go about" --- that it "is always, only evil", because "they imagine evil against the LORD and against His Confidant".
"For ... This Song ... even THIS DAY TODAY"-_"HA'YOM" [Rubies]-'hay-yom' [Young's] -" $\sim E T S E M ~ H A ' Y O M ~$ HA'ZEH~"[Rubies]-"IN-THE-BONE-OF-DAY" Exodus 12:17 --- "this Song ..." IT IS WRITTEN in Deuteronomy 31:21 "... the day BEFORE I have brought them INTO, the Land which I sware."
The LORD had brought Israel INTO the Land of the Salvation He had sworn unto them on the Seventh Day Sabbath OF THE LORD GOD, wherefore the LORD COMMANDED Israel to ...
"REMEMBER the Sabbath to keep it sacred unto HIM AND HIS SALVATION --- JESUS CHRIST.
Note well that the LORD had brought Israel INTO the Land of His Salvation and that "THAT DAY THE LORD HID HIS FACE", was : quote: "the day BEFORE I have brought them in".
"The Selfsame Whole-Day Bone-Day"-_'etsem yom' was "the day BEFORE ..." the Sabbath! John 19:31-"that day of sabbath great day ... was The Preparation ... which is the Fore-Sabbath" Mark 15:42.
Thank you very much, dear Rubies. You helped me discover one of the sweetest and most pure of rubies I have ever found. Thank you very much!

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT000AME27RFJT4/p122 \#2534
There is only one time in the English translation of the NT where the term "high day" is found.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p189
Yes, if you look too 'high' into the back of your own "head" and cannot see there are 'head-days', 'higher' than the other days in their particular categories. Like in Exodus 12:15, "EVEN THE FIRST"—'rishon'—"BEGINNING / foremost / chief / HEAD, day".
Yea, 'ak...rishon...yom' Abib 14-
"even the first, first day":
"CERTAINLY the only (and) true : HIGH day":
"ye shall REMOVE LEAVEN" on and "KILL the passover"-
Exodus 12:6A Mark 14:12 Matthew 26:17 Luke 22:7 John
13:1-19:30_—Abib 14;
as well as, 'ak...rishon...yom' Abib 15,
"the one and only outstanding beginning and HIGH day: (of)
"seven days NO LEAVEN shall be EATEN"- of
"seven days UNLEAVENED BREAD shall be EATEN"-
Adjectival Nomenclature for NONE other than the
"original / authentic / authoritative FIRST (and) HIGH DAY" in Exodus 12:15B—, Abib 15!

- IN BOTH OLD AND NEW Testaments!


## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2534
There is only one time in the English translation of the NT where the term "high day" is found.
The word "DAY" is not in the Greek, but was added by the KJV.

All versions seem to translate the Greek word MEGALE here as "high" when in all the 70 or more other mentions, it is correctly translated "GREAT."
"That Sabbath day was a high day" is a false and misleading translation.
John 19:31 ought to read, "For THAT DAY was THE GREAT SABBATH."
This would have prevented much confusion and heresy. When the Jews refer to "special Sabbaths," they mean a 7th day Sabbath on which another Appointed Feast Day also happens to fall in that year.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p189
I fail to see any "~confusion~"that results from a proper, literal translation in John 19:31, "Because it was The Preparation ... for (in passover season) THAT day was sabbath-of-HIGH-day" of the passover. That 'high day sabbath' or 'high sabbath' simply "was The Preparation"! ---which is simple enough for any unprejudiced and unbiased mind to understand perfectly orderly. "That day, (the) high sabbath (of passover), was The Preparation"-"The Preparation which is the Fore-Sabbath"-the Sixth Day of the week.
It was it, not only by its seasonal coincidence, but actually it was it because God FORE-ORDAINED "That Day high day sabbath" of passover, to fall on the Sixth Day of the week at Jesus' last passover.
There is no " confusion~" about it, the Seventh Day Sabbath according to God's Eternal Purpose had to follow on the Sixth Day of the week—read Matthew 27:62!
I can without any effort think of three ... nay, four, five ... times in the OT Scriptures where "God thus concerning the Seventh Day
spake" when He was speaking of also the Sixth Day of the week "The Preparation which is the Fore-Sabbath":

1) At the exodus;
2) At the giving of the Law;
3) At the giving of the manna;
4) At the institution of the passover in the Promised Land---viz., in Deuteronomy 31. (post above)
In the year of our Lord's Last Passover the "HIGH day sabbath" and "Selfsame BONE-Day" of the Passover of Yahweh, was ON THE SIXTH DAY OF THE WEEK on the fifteenth day of the First Month. For "God" concerning it, "thus spake"-like He "concerning the Seventh Day spake", no matter the heresy and much confusion caused by Christians who parrot the Jews who, when they "~refer to "special Sabbaths," mean a 7th day Sabbath on which another Appointed Feast Day also happens to fall. $\sim "$ DUH!

## Rubies:

http//www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventl-dav-adventistTCMT00QAME27RFJT4/pl122 \#2534
Appointed Feasts are NOT "Special sabbaths" unless they fall ON the 7th day. The significance of this is in the unique Torah readings required for that day of the year, according to the Haftorah cycle during which specific portions of scripture must be read in the synagogues so that the whole scriptures are completed regularly.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p189
Rubies is the best example of the " $\sim$ heresy $\sim$ "and " $\sim$ confusion $\sim "$ she accuses the " $\sim K J V \sim$ " supposedly causes through its translation in John 19:31 with "that day was HIGH day sabbath". Here she illustrates her own " $\sim$ heresy $\sim$ " and " $\sim$ confusion $\sim$ ".

Says Rubies, " $\sim$ the unique Torah readings ... to the HAFTORAH CYCLE DURING WHICH specific portions of scripture must be read in the SYNAGOGUES $\sim$ " [emphasis GE]
So which is it, readings from the " $\sim$ Torah $\sim$ "(Law, " $\sim$ scripture $\sim$ )? Or is it " $\sim$ readings... unique ... to the haftorah cycle ... read in the synagogues $\sim$ "?
These "~readings... unique ... to the haftorah cycle... read in the synagogues $\sim$ " of course can have no influence on how the " $\sim$ specific portions of scripture must be read $\sim$ "or and understood. Also the fact they " $\sim$ must be read in the SYNAGOGUES $\sim$ " is meaningless and has no influence on how they " $\sim$ must be read in the synagogues $\sim$ ".
That now for you is objectivity, not "~heresy $\sim$ "or " $\sim$ confusion $\sim$ ", but "~uniquely~" and methodically 'objectivity' which can guarantee " $\sim$ that the whole scriptures are completed regularly $\sim "$ and are learned and understood to the "~regular significance required $\sim$ "in the " $\sim$ unique haftorah cycle and synagogues $\sim$ ". Have it all to yourself, dear Rubies; but it's not for me, thanks. Thanks, but no thanks.

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2534
Once, the sacrifices for an Appointed Feast would have had to be added to the Sabbath sacrifices, when they also fell on the 7th day. The Greek phrase translated "high sabbath" in English, is actually "great the day of-that the Sabbath." This would be "Shabbat Hagadol", THE Great Sabbath, in Hebrew. How appropriate that this annual day, when millions of Jews had gathered in Jerusalem listening to prescribed portions of scripture read in the synagogues, should fall on the day when the Messiah was "in the heart of the earth," our Passover Lamb.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p189
Jesus was "in the heart of the earth" in LIFE suffering dying the death of death "Our Passover" and "Lamb of God" from that "My HOUR is come", "at the table", "and it was night", "and HE WENT OUT", and descended into the midst of the Sea of Dark Waters, and walked into the Olive Press where He let fall to the ground great drops of LIFE'S blood and the LORD fought for Him --- then came there his betrayer and contrabands like the claws of death took hold on Him and led Him into the chambers of satanic sadism "in the heart of the earth". And Jesus' eternal night under suffering the death of sin never ended while they crucified Him until God-forsaken, "GOD LOOSED THE PAINS OF DEATH" BY THE "POWER OVER DEATH" Jesus had to both "lay down MY LIFE and TAKE UP AGAIN MY LIFE".
Then began to END Jesus' being "in the heart of the earth" ALIVE-"on the first day when they KILLED the Passover". "When evening had come since The Preparation had begun which is the Fore-Sabbath, there came Joseph ... and in that first night also came to him, Nicodemus ... and they PREPARED the body of Jesus to be buried according to the principles of the Jews' ETHICS ..."-the Passover of Yahweh Scriptures and Law of Moses-"... to BURY". "There laid they the body of Jesus because the grave was ready, by the time of the Jews' preparations to begin", "mid-afternoon against the Sabbath".
" $\sim$ How appropriate $\sim$ " on " $\sim$ this annual day $\sim "!$
Then began to END Jesus' second day of having been "taken with and carried out forth", "in the heart of the earth" out of the land of the living dead, "on the first (of) seven days ye shall eat (assimilate / unify with) unleavened bread".
"In the heart of the earth" IN THE GRAVE IN THE EARTH ROCK, "the third day"-HOW APPROPRIATE!-_"God ...", "IN SABBATH DAY'S FULLNESS in the mid-inclining afternoon

# daylight against the First Day of the week", "... RAISED CHRIST FROM THE DEAD!" 

## Rubies:

nttp///www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh--day-adventistTCMTOOQAME27REJT4/p122 $\# 2534$
Appropriately, the portion read specifically every year on Shabbat Hagadol, the Sabbath during which Jesus' body lay in the tomb, is Malachi chapters 3 \& 4. So millions of Jews on that auspicious day heard this messianic prophecy ..."the Messenger of the Covenant in whom you delight, behold, He is coming, says the LORD of hosts. But who can endure the day of His coming, and who can stand when He appears?" etc. Read the full passage to see how pertinent this day, this Shabbat Hagadol, this "high sabbath" is to the Crucifixion narrative.
Whatever our own personal opinion, it is a fact that Shabbat Hagadol was recorded in John 19:31, and it fell on the day AFTER the crucifixion, which pinpoints the year of the Cross as one in which 14 Nisan was also the 2 nd Sabbath of the religious year. There are not 2 days -"High Sabbath" \&"Shabbat Hagadol." They are one \&the same! And this day inevitably falls on Saturday, the 2nd Sabbath of the year. Only in a proportion of years does the 2nd Sabbath happen to land on 14 Nisan, obviously. But John 19:31 is unequivocal evidence for the year and the day of the crucifixion.

## Rubies:

http//www.tonix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMTOOQAME27RFJT4/p 122 \#2534
Sabbath and the Day of Atonement are also designated a Miqra Qodesh and a Shabbath Shabbathon. But the reverse does not apply. Just because Trumpets, Tabernacles and Solemn Assembly are designated both a "shabbathon" and a "miqra qodesh," does not mean they are a SABBATH.
$15 \& 21$ Nisan, and Shavuot, are all a "miqra qodesh" but they are neither a "shabbathon" nor a "shabbath."

These distinctions may seem like nitpicking to us, we may not see the significance of designating the various Appointed Feasts in this way, but that is simply what the Hebrew scriptures say, and we are not entitled to exceed the Word of God.
Those who object so strongly (as I do) to the use of the invented terminology "Good Friday," "Easter Sunday" and "Christmas" etc, ought to be much more particular about their own application of the fabricated terms "special sabbaths," "high sabbath," "ceremonial sabbaths," etc.
They should also apply their fastidiousness to the many verses which tell us very specifically TO WHOM the Sabbath was given, by whom, where, when and why it was given.
So there is NO other day designated "Sabbath" (Shabbath H7676) in scripture, except the Day of Atonement.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p189
Mooi man! That suits my purpose beautifully! More apt ineptness cannot be imagined.

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2534
There is NO day designated "high sabbath" in the OT.
There is only one time in the English translation of the NT where the term "high day" is found.
The word "DAY" is not in the Greek, but was added by the KJV.

## GE:

"The DAY" - 'heh hehmera' ("the Preparation Day")—Subject"was" - 'ehn'—Predicate-
"of that sabbath" - 'ekeinou tou sabbatou' Predicative Adjectival Pronoun with bearing on and with reference to "THAT DAY" which "WAS"-_"The Preparation".

THERE IT STANDS WRITTEN, THE WORD "DAY" which you, faked Rubies, claim, "~is not in the Greek, but was added by the $K J V \sim "$.
Wise guy-in/-ness Rubies, INSTIGATOR of conceitedness, mean-mindedness, nastiness, sanctimoniousness, profaneness, anger, unforgivingness, accusatoriness, judgementalism, superciliousness, pride, vengeance, irrationality, un-lovingness, dishonesty, SELF-RIGHTEOUSNESS, HYPOCRISY, self-complacency, smugness and spitefulness.
All because you hate Sabbath-loving Christ-loving and Christ-worshipping CHRISTIANS.

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2534
All versions seem to translate the Greek word MEGALE here as "high" when in all the 70 or more other mentions, it is correctly translated "GREAT." "That Sabbath day was a high day" is a false and misleading translation.
John 19:31 ought to read, "For THAT DAY was THE GREAT SABBATH." This would have prevented much confusion and heresy. When the Jews refer to "special Sabbaths," they mean a 7th day Sabbath on which another Appointed Feast Day also happens to fall in that year. Appointed Feasts are NOT "Special sabbaths" unless they fall ON the 7th day.

## GE:

You don't know what you're talking, longsuffering lady.... Making a mountain of a mole's heap.

## Rubies:

The significance of this is in the unique Torah readings required for that day of the year, according to the Haftorah cycle during which specific portions of scripture must be read in the synagogues so that the whole scriptures are completed regularly. Once, the sacrifices for an Appointed Feast would have had to be added to the Sabbath sacrifices, when they also fell on the 7th day. The Greek phrase translated "high sabbath" in English, is actually "great the day of-that the Sabbath." This would be "Shabbat Hagadol", THE Great Sabbath, in Hebrew.
How appropriate that this annual day, when millions of Jews had gathered in Jerusalem listening to prescribed portions of scripture read in the synagogues, should fall on the day when the Messiah was "in the heart of the earth," our Passover Lamb.
Appropriately, the portion read specifically every year on Shabbat Hagadol, the Sabbath during which Jesus' body lay in the tomb, is Malachi chapters 3 \& 4. So millions of Jews on that auspicious day heard this messianic prophecy ..."the Messenger of the Covenant in whom you delight, behold, He is coming, says the LORD of hosts. But who can endure the day of His coming, and who can stand when He appears?" etc. Read the full passage to see how pertinent this day, this Shabbat Hagadol, this "high sabbath" is to the Crucifixion narrative.
Whatever our own personal opinion, it is a fact that Shabbat Hagadol was recorded in John 19:31, and it fell on the day AFTER the crucifixion, ...

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p188
Yes, "~it is a fact that Shabbat Hagadol was recorded in John 19:31, and it fell on the day AFTER the crucifixion~— Yes, and don't you forget it! Why when Gerhard Ebersohn says it, do you DENY it is a fact that Shabbat Hagadol is recorded in John 19:31, and that it fell on the day AFTER the crucifixion?!

Go READ John 19:31, "because it was / had become The Preparation ... the bodies on the crosses on the sabbath ... because THAT DAY of sabbath was the great day"-epei Paraskeueh ehn en tohi sabbatohi ehn gar megaleh heh hehmera ekeinou tou sabbatou'!
Why do people say the crosses and bodies had to be removed BEFORE the Sabbath while they say it WAS the Sabbath and the crosses and bodies had yet to be removed? Because they don't understand or know what they are talking --- just like you, faked Rubies!
" $\sim$ THAT day of the YEAR $\sim$ " says Rubies
"~THIS ANNUAL day~" says Rubies
"~an APPOINTED Feast $\sim$ " says Rubies
"~every YEAR $\sim$ " says Rubies,
was...
" $\sim$ the 7th day ... sabbath $\sim$ "...
" $\sim$ the Sabbath during which Jesus' body lay in the tomb $\sim$ ".
Comment superfluous ....
I think you ought to be relieved and thankful, Rubies, that not all your posts got placed. Saves you face come to think of it.

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2534
Whatever our own personal opinion, it is a fact that Shabbat Hagadol was recorded in John 19:31, and it fell on the day AFTER the crucifixion, which pinpoints the year of the Cross as one in which 14 Nisan was also the 2nd Sabbath of the religious year. There are not 2 days -"High Sabbath" \& "Shabbat Hagadol." They are one \&the same! And this day inevitably falls on Saturday, the 2nd Sabbath of the year. Only in a proportion of years does the 2nd Sabbath happen to land on 14 Nisan, obviously. But John 19:31 is unequivocal evidence for the year and the day of the crucifixion.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p189
Again yes! Now come to terms with what you are saying yourself. But it has nothing to do with " $\sim$ the year of the Cross $\sim$ " or with a "~year ... in which 14 Nisan was also the 2nd [Seventh Day] Sabbath of the religious year $\sim$ ". You sucked it from your dirty thumb!
Just the bare count of the days OF THE WEEK wherein Abib 14 occurred shows the feast-sabbath of Abib 15 on the Sixth Day of the week, shows it-the feast-sabbath of Abib 15-was the FOURTH " $\sim$ sabbath of the religious year $\sim$, Abib 1 the Sixth Day on the new moon sabbath (x1); Abib 2 on the Seventh Day Sabbath (x2); Abib 9 on the Seventh Day Sabbath (x3); Abib 15 on the Sixth Day passover-sabbath (x4).
The next day, Abib 16, was the THIRD Sabbath of the religious year on the Seventh Day Sabbath. It also was the FIFTH 'sabbath' in the new " $\sim$ religious year $\sim$ ".
So Abib 15 was the 2nd "~religious / appointed $\sim$ "sabbath of the year "TO ITS SEASON".

## Rubies:

http:/www.tonix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMTOOQAME27RFJT4/p 122 \#2534
Sabbath and the Day of Atonement are also designated a Miqra Qodesh and a Shabbath Shabbathon. But the reverse does not apply. Just because Trumpets, Tabernacles and Solemn Assembly are designated both a "shabbathon" and a "miqra qodesh," does not mean they are a SABBATH.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p189
You mean " $\sim a \operatorname{SABBATH\sim }$ " "the day The Seventh Day Sabbath OF THE LORD GOD" and you have it right --- this time.

I say this time because every time elsewhere you violate this what you teach yourself in this place.
How?
You say "sabbath" in Leviticus 23:11,15,16 " $\sim$ always $\sim$ ", is " $\sim a$ SABBATH~"-"The Seventh Day Sabbath".
Then you say, " $\sim 15$ \& 21 Nisan, and Shavuot, are all a "miqra qodesh" but they are neither a "shabbathon" nor a "shabbath"~" (the Seventh Day). But Abib 15 was a "sabbath" " $\sim$ appointed $\sim$ ""to its "~religious $\sim$ "season" while the Seventh Day sabbath was not. "In the fourteenth day is the LORD'S passover (killed), but on the fifteenth day is the feast UNTO THE LORD --- seven days ye must eat ulb; in the first day ulb ye shall do NO SERVILE WORK"-that is, the first day ulb shall be a "REST-DAY / SABBATH an holy convocation observed and devoted unto the LORD".
After Abib 14 "when they KILLED the passover", came Abib 15 when they "ATE"-'downed' / 'rested' / "buried" their passover. Besides for their origin and chronological order, two things mainly AS SABBATH days, distinguished both "the day The Seventh Day Sabbath OF THE LORD GOD" and the "sabbath" of the passover:

1) On the " $\sim$ religious $\sim$ "or " $\sim$ appointed $\sim$ ""sabbath" of the "great day" of the passover Abib 15, "no SERVILE (or 'every day work') was allowed, while the " $\sim$ religious $\sim$ "or " $\sim$ appointed $\sim$ "works commanded on "that day", "the sabbath of that day the great day" (John 19:31) of the passover Abib 15, were MANDATORY. Its most important work was to KINDLE FIRE and-strictly forbidden on the Sabbath OF THE LORD GOD-to "BURN WITH FIRE-return to dust-inter-, that which remained" of the passover sacrifice-, "the body of Jesus".
2) On the "Sabbath of the LORD GOD" however, no work whatsoever was allowed except the " $\sim$ religious $\sim$ "or
" $\sim$ appointed $\sim$ "works that belonged to it, which were:
A) to have "holy convocation in all your houses" on it and
B) to "REMEMBER the Sabbath" for all the great works "OF THE LORD GOD" which "God, having ENTERED INTO his own rest as God-in-his-own", "on the Seventh Day finished" (Hebrews 4:4,10) through Jesus Christ in Resurrection from the dead. How "the DAY" of the passover "after the sabbath" in Leviticus 23:4-37 could not be "the day after the SABBATH" of the passover, is above me. "The day after the sabbath" in the passover-Scriptures Leviticus 23:4-37, was "the day after the sabbath" of the PASSOVER!
I do not -- to the disappointment of any who might disagree with me -- aim at or desire that they will agree with me. I am very conscious of and finicky about who my company and friends are especially in the intellectual sphere.
This post was meant for those fools who think they are funny if they litter Topix' pages with peanuts because they cannot disagree but like mentally disturbed. .... peanuts and cigarette stumps ... the stuff their brains are made of.

## Reg

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2534
"the Jews also made it a rule to bury the dead the same day it would have been twilight when He was put in the tomb not fully buried that day
you think they would leave Him lay on the ground for 3 days the tomb was very close and they just wrapped cloth around Him until they could buried Him properly
which they intended to do the next day after the passover Sabbath on Thursday on Friday"

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2534
Only the first line of your statement is true. Despite what Dr Borbarygamus says, burial on the day death ocurred was
mandatory for ALL Jews, according to the command of the Lord. It was not "twilight" but sometime between 3 pm (the 9th hour) when Jesus died, and 6 pm (the 12th hour) when the sun set around the equinox in Jerusalem, that Jesus was buried.
YES, Jesus WAS "fully buried that day."
The NT accounts of the burials of Jesus, Lazarus, Ananias and Sapphira tell us precisely how Jewish burials were conducted. Everything was completed on the same day of death, within the space of less than 3 hours.[Acts 5:6,7.]
In that stony land they could not dig a hole 6 feet deep, then put a coffin into it and cover it over as we do.
They first washed the body, then wrapped it up in a linen shroud [Matt 27:59],
A separate cloth was placed over the head and face [John 20:7]. All was covered in many pounds of fragrant spices, then bound up in linen.[Luke 24:12; John 11:44; 20:5,6.]
The body was laid on a stone bench inside the tomb.[Mark 16:5; Luke 23:55; John 20:12.]
All tombs had numerous shelves that could hold many bodies while they were decaying.[Luke 23:53; John 19:41; John 20:12.] The entrance to the tomb was sealed up with a stone to prevent anything getting in or out.[Mark 15:46.]
Each body was left there for about a year until the soft tissues had decomposed. Then the dry bones were removed, and placed in a small stone or wooden box.[Exodus 13:9.] This was a way of saving precious land.
Also, unoccupied tombs were whitewashed every year before the Feasts, to let travellers know that they were available for sleeping in on their journey to Jerusalem.[Matthew 23:27.] Budget motels. So Jesus being "wrapped in cloth" and spices and laid on the bench in the tomb, WAS the complete burial. The women intended to bring extra spices to cover Him after the Sabbath - in addition to the 75 or 100 lbs that Nicodemus had already supplied. This was
their offering to the Lord, much as we might place flowers on a grave today. But Jesus HAD been "properly buried" and nobody intended to remove His body and put it in a hole in the ground.

## Re: Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2534
Jesus being "wrapped in cloth" and spices and laid on the bench in the tomb, WAS the complete burial. The women intended to bring extra spices to cover Him after the Sabbath - in addition to the 75 or 100 lbs that Nicodemus had already supplied. This was their offering to the Lord, much as we might place flowers on a grave today. But Jesus HAD been "properly buried" and nobody intended to remove His body and put it in a hole in the ground.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p191 \#4030
" $\sim$ So Jesus being "wrapped in cloth" and spices and laid on the bench in the tomb, WAS the complete burial. $\sim "$
Yes, Jesus "laid in the tomb", " $\sim$ was the COMPLETE, burial $\sim$ ". It was the complete Burial when Joseph "had the stone rolled before the grave's opening", and, "had left" and also the two Marys had "left and returned home and prepared spices and ointments".
Then, was it the end of the burial-exactly the SAME time of day when Jesus on the day BEFORE, breathed his last breath and died "the ninth hour"-"mid-afternoon"-'3 p.m.', and the approaching day of the Crucifixion 3 hours later sunset 6 p.m., would begin. Then, was it the END of the burial, not it's beginning but the latter opposite of its beginning.
When and where began the day on which Joseph had to bury the body of Jesus?
Here:
"After these things" John 19:38.
Which 'things'?

The "things" which happened from John 19:31 to verse 37 - excluding of course John's usual parentheses like in verse 24, the casting of lots for Jesus' garment the morning already when He was still alive and before they had even nailed Him to the cross. Which "things" therefore?
The fact "evening already had come"[1]- the fact of the Jews' consternation "since it had become the Preparation and that day was great day sabbath" [2] of their most sacred national feast and the crosses were still standing in open sight of both the Jewish faithful and their oppressors!
[1] Mark 15:42
[2] John 19:31.
Therefore wrote John, "After THESE things...".
Only "after these things" -the Jews' request to REMOVE THE ROMAN CROSSES - was Joseph able to ask Pilate for Jesus’ body in order "to bury" Him according to the "ethical law" of the Passover of Yahweh, the Torah. Because the Law demanded the interment of "that which remained" of the Passover Sacrifice, that it should be "burned with fire" and returned to the dust of the earth. Thus real was the death of the Son of God that He HAD to be BURIED "IN THE FLESH".
"Mid-afternoon the Sabbath approaching" Luke 23:54 "by the time of the Jews' preparations (for the "approaching Sabbath")" to begin, which preparations would go on until sunset which meant three more hours for "preparation" before sunset "that day (which) was The Preparation" RUNNING OUT before "the (weekly) Sabbath according to the (Fourth) Commandment", Joseph had FINISHED with Jesus' Burial "the Selfsame Whole Day Bone Day" of Abib 15.
Jesus had to be properly buried; He was, properly buried "as was the customary ethics of the Jews to bury".

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2546
Reg, your biblical knowledge is appallingly scanty, always
illogical, excruciatingly anachronistic and consistently erroneous.
Even heretical.
e.g. You have frequently stated these preposterous lies " $\sim$

* the 9th day is the 10th day;
* the 14th day is the 15 th day;
* the 9th hour is the 12th hour;
*"between the evenings" is 2 minutes long;
* a day is a year;
* Gentiles are Israel;
* the bible proves Jesus was crucified in 31 AD;
* God commanded Adam to obey his father and mother \& not commit adultery or steal his neighbour's ox;
* Thursday evening comes after sunset Wednesday;
* Mary Magdalene spoke to the risen Jesus on Saturday night but took spices to anoint His dead body on Sunday morning;
* the bible has the cart before the horse;
* there are 3 Sabbaths in one week;
*"dawn" means when it is getting darker;
* the Pharisees (or the "Pharmacies" or the "Harrasses") wrote the books of Moses with its 613 commandments;
* Jesus was resurrected ON the Sabbath but this was AFTER
sunset ON the first day of the week (Post \# 1439);
* Peter, John \& all the disciples spoke with Jesus on Saturday night when He entered the upper room, but Peter \& John went to the tomb looking for His dead body on Sunday morning;
* the DAY when the disciples walked to Emmaus was

SATURDAY NIGHT;

* the first DAY of the week is Saturday NIGHT;
* the Passover lambs had been dead for days by the time they were eaten;
* your chronology is $100 \%$ infallible;
* etc etc etc.

You get all your info third-hand from people who choose to be deceived by Ellen White's errors. Such as Dr Shea, who has the ludicrous idea that 265,500 Passover lambs (this is how many were killed at the last Passover) could all be sacrificed in the 2 minutes between the sun touching the horizon and disappearing over it. Why not go direct to the most excellent source of Jewish knowledge? The same place your false prophet plagiarised much of her later books from. ALFRED EDERSHEIM's books, such as :

* The Life and Times of Jesus the Messiah;
* Sketches of Jewish Life;
* The Temple: Its Ministry and Services, etc.

Please God, his encyclopaedic knowledge might possibly undeceive you of many heretical delusions you hold so dear.
I have posted this before Reg, in sincere response to your endless tedious "challenges" to the entire world to show where you are wrong using scripture, but as usual, you refuse to read what I write, for fear you will be convicted of your manifold errors.
This is merely one of the scores of proofs that your " $100 \%$ flawless spot-on chronology" is as anachronistic as a mobile phone in the movie Ben Hur.
You say the disciples were on the road to Emmaus on SATURDAY NIGHT, after Jesus was resurrected "ON THE SABBATH" but then again you also say He was resurrected "ON THE FIRST DAY OF THE WEEK AFTER SUNSET," which proves you a liar to begin with. Both statements cannot be true; at least one of them must be a lie, probably both of them; but you did write both these statements in Post \# 1439.
You say this was the THIRD MEETING of the risen Jesus with His disciples, and that it came before He entered the upper room where all the 11 disciples, and many others, were present.
However as always, you lie. You contradict scripture.

## Rubies:

http//www.tonix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMTOOQAME27RFJT4/p 122 \#2547
Reg, The bible says the disciples were making this 7-mile walk IN THE DAYTIME, not the night.
Luk 24:13 THAT VERY DAY
two of them were going to a village named Emmaus, about seven miles from Jerusalem.
The men told Jesus,
Luk 24:22 "Moreover, some women of our company amazed us.
They were at the tomb EARLY IN THE MORNING,
Luk 24:23 and when they did not find his body,
they came back saying that they had even seen a vision of angels, who said that he was alive.
Luk 24:24 Some of those who were with us went to the tomb and found it just as the women had said, but him they did not see."
htp://www.topix.com/forum /religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMTOOQAME27REJT4/p122 \#2548

## You Reg, say this was SATURDAY NIGHT.

But the event that the men referred to, had happened a few hours earlier, as they said. They told Him that the women were AT THE TOMB THAT MORNING, and that JESUS HAD ALREADY RISEN by then.
According to your crackpottery, this means that Jesus must have risen BEFORE DAWN ON SABBATH MORNING!!
The Bible says this DAY was SUNDAY, NOT Sabbath :
Luk 24:1 But ON THE FIRST DAY of the week, at EARLY DAWN, they went to the tomb, taking the spices they had prepared. 2 And they found the stone rolled away from the tomb, 3 but when they went in they did not find the body of the Lord Jesus ...
Luk 24:9 and returning from the tomb they told all these things to the eleven and to all the rest. 10 Now it was MARY
MAGDALENE and Joanna and Mary the mother of James
and the other women with them who told these things to the apostles.
Then, AFTER this, the disciples started walking to Emmaus. A 7 mile walk takes two hours. At the end of their walk, they sat down for a meal, which by your calculations, would be about midnight. HARDLY!! Jewish inns would not be serving meals in the middle of the night. And the Word of God says it was LATE AFTERNOON :
Luk 24:28 So they drew near to the village to which they were going.
He acted as if he were going farther,
Luk 24:29 but they urged him strongly, saying, "Stay with us, for it is TOWARD EVENING and the DAY is now FAR SPENT."
So this was late afternoon, not yet sunset, several hours after MARY MAGDALENE went to the tomb EARLY THE SAME MORNING and found that Jesus had risen.

## Rubies:

htpp:/www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2549
Jesus vanished, then the disciples returned to Jerusalem - another 7 miles, another 2 hour walk.
Luk 24:33 And they rose that same hour and returned to Jerusalem.
And they found the eleven and those who were with them gathered together,
Luk 24:34 saying, "The Lord has risen indeed, and has appeared to Simon!"
Luk 24:35 Then they told what had happened on the road, and how he was known to them in the breaking of the bread.
Luk 24:36 As they were talking about these things,
Jesus himself stood among them, and said to them, "Peace to you!" John tells us that this day was still the same day, the THIRD DAY, SUNDAY, in the evening (which is NOT "after sunset"):

Joh 20:18 MARY MAGDALENE went and announced to the disciples,
"I have seen the Lord"-and that he had said these things to her. Joh 20:19 ON THE EVENING \{opsios $\}$ of THAT DAY, the FIRST DAY of the week,
the doors being locked where the disciples were for fear of the Jews,
Jesus came and stood among them and said to them, "Peace be with you."
OPSIOS means, "afternoon (early evening) or nightfall (later evening)."
That is from Strong's dictionary, which YOU say is correct.
NOT "after midnight" as you would have it by this time, Reg. YOUR crank theory Reg, says that MARY MAGDALENE met the risen Jesus after sunset on SATUIRDAY NIGHT.
But the Word of God tells you that is a LIE.
Joh 20:1 Now ON THE FIRST DAY OF THE WEEK
MARY MAGDALENE came to the tomb
early, \{proi\}
while it was still dark,
and saw that the stone had been taken away from the tomb.

## GE:

See discussed before, here,
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventisTTCMTOQQAME27REJT4/p190 \#4006

## Rubies:

Joh 20:2 So she ran and went to Simon Peter and the other disciple, the one whom Jesus loved, and said to them, "They have taken the Lord out of the tomb, and we do not know where they have laid him."
"While it was STILL dark" means, as it was dawning after the night.

NOT "as it was getting darker after daylight."
The word "early" is PROI, which means,"early in the morning; dawn; the daybreak watch."
Put that in your Newberry KJV and smoke it.
Reg, you tell us that Mary Magdalene saw Jesus AFTER sunset on Saturday night, BEFORE the men walked to Emmaus.
But the men travelling to Emmaus said the women had discovered the tomb empty and Jesus risen, EARLY THAT SAME MORNING, which according to you ought to be SATURDAY MORNING.

## Rubies:

http///www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-dav-advenistTCCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2551
Alex, re your post $\# 2261$, as you requested. I agree with you about the misleading translation "on the first day of unleavened bread," which technically should read, "before unleavened bread." But also let us remember that in the vernacular, "Pesach" and "Azumon" had both come to be interchangeable terms referring to the entire 8 days from $14-21$ Nisan. As Josephus confirms. To a Jew reading the gospel accounts, it would make perfect sense, because they understand the reckoning behind it all. We Gentiles, including bible translators, have more trouble getting the sense of it.


#### Abstract

Alex: Joh 13:1 Now before the feast of the passover, when Jesus knew that his hour was come that he should depart out of this world unto the Father, having loved his own which were in the world, he loved them unto the end. Joh 13:2 And supper being ended ... "John is clearly referencing that the Last Supper ended before the feast of the Passover occurred which started on Nisan 15 at 6PM."


## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2551
"Last Supper" is an invented term. It is not found in scripture's accounts of Jesus' final meal.
However, "PASSOVER" is used of this final meal, in Luke 22:15.
The disciples "prepared the Passover," as Matthew, Mark and Luke teach us.
This preparation could only be done on 14 Nisan.
The room for the Passover feast had, after all, ALREADY been prepared (Mark 14:15). All that remained to do was kill and roast the lamb. Also make some unleavened bread. Takes just a few minutes; I do it all the time.
"Preparing the Passover" means, killing the lamb and roasting it on the afternoon of 14 Nisan.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p191 \#4032
Thank You. If Rubies told us "~"Preparing the Passover" means, killing the lamb and roasting it on the afternoon of 14 Nisan $\sim$ ", we can take for granted at least part of what she tells us is true. The part we may believe in this case, is, " $\sim$ "Preparing the Passover" means, killing the lamb ... on the afternoon of 14 Nisan~"
Take care not to believe everything she says! Never!
But in this case it is only this part, " $\sim$ and roasting $i t \sim$ " which you better not believe.
Because it says in Exodus 12:8, They shall eat the flesh in that night, ROAST WITH FIRE. That means they ate it off the fire. They ate it as it were, "with fire". They ate it "as is without anything". Idiom for that was, "bitter"; they ate it "with bitter" or with bitterness.

But that is not what I wanted to say.

I wanted to kataza Rubies, and ask her,
In this short extract from one of your posts, Rubies, you have used the word(s) " $\sim$ prepare $\sim ", 4$ times or 6 if you count the three Gospels which you mentioned.
And you CONCLUDED with stating this,
" $\sim$ This preparation could only be done on 14 Nisan. $\sim$ " Now why did you say "~"PREPARE the PASSOVER" $=$ HETOIMAZO~"?
How could you say, " $\sim$ To "PREPARE" the Passover is a different word, unrelated to PARASKEUE $\sim "!?$
There is no " hetoimazo $\sim$ " in John 19:14!?
I rest my case, djou onner.

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2551
It was forbidden to kill it on one day and eat it at any other time than the evening immediately following sunset of that day.
Therefore the meal that Jesus ate with His disciples, MUST have been the Passover lamb.
Indeed, the descriptions of the meal confirm that they followed the traditional procedure for eating the Passover lamb, gathered in a company of at least 10 people, drinking the 4 cups of wine, dipping the unleavened bread into the dish and sharing it, saying prayers and singing psalms etc. Alfred Edersheim and Joseph S. C. F. Frey both wrote comprehensively about this.
Also, "before the feast of the Passover" takes us right up to the time on the night of 15 Nisan as everyone was about to eat the Passover lamb, doesn't it?
It was at this meal that Jesus, according to the other gospels, humbled Himself to the status of a servant and washed the disciples' feet - which is surely as loving a thing as could be imagined on such an occasion.

So John, the disciple who above all others, taught us of the loving heart of Jesus, does not contradict the synoptics here at all.

## Rubies:


Alex wrote : "Unbeknownst to the disciples at that point, when the Last Supper occurred they had no idea that their Messiah wouldn't be around 24 hours later to celebrate the first day of unleavened bread."
Jesus was not trying to trick His disciples by telling them to go and prepare the Passover so that they could eat it together, knowing that He would not be eating it Himself.(Mark 14;14; Luke 22:8,11.)
He was not kidding when at the meal, He said to them, "I have earnestly desired to EAT THIS PASSOVER with you BEFORE I suffer."(Luke 22:15.)
He really meant it when He said that He will EAT THE PASSOVER AGAIN when He comes in His kingdom.(Luke 22:18.)

## GE:

Absolutely!
Which was Jesus' Kingdom?
In fact, which - and what - was "the Kingdom of My Father"
Matthew 26:29 [once only]?
THE BATTLEGROUND OF THE GRAND CONFLICT AND FINAL AND DECISIVE ONCE FOR ALL BATTLE : in hell, hell itself, "IN THE HEART OF THE EARTH", "the Pit" --- "THIS IS THAT NIGHT TO BE SOLEMNLY OBSERVED" - "the Feast of the Lamb", 'the last Supper', "The Lord's Supper" --- THE NIGHT OF GETHSEMANE AND DAY OF GOLGOTHA.
"THAT DAY", "THE SELF-SAME-WHOLE-DAY", once "in the
end-times" IN CHRIST: "the fourteenth day", Exodus 12:18,6, and NEVER IN ALL ETERNITY AGAIN!
Yes, " $\sim$ Jesus was not trying to trick His disciples $\sim$ ".
He told them, "I have earnestly desired TO, eat this passover with you before my Suffering = Passover" (Infinitive of Noun Force Luke $22: 15$ ) meaning He would NOT eat the passover physically. Jesus' "Passover-Suffering" would be his "eating" the passover that night.
You are saying Jesus tried to trick his disciples and physically ate with them of the bread and wine on the table. You are the real trickster!
The Preposition, 'pro'-- "before my pascha" - 'pro mou me pathein' has the meaning of "instead of" --- "I wanted to eat this passover with you in stead of my suffering" or "as fulfilment of my suffering".
But Jesus obediently and believing, went throughout and "SUFFERING, SUFFERED INSTEAD"!

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2553
Alex wrote : "This means that the Last Supper occurred on Nisan 14 some 24 hours earlier."
This is simply not logical or possible Alex!
Agreeing that "prote" should be rendered, "BEFORE Unleavened Bread," you still have these verses :
Mar 14:12 And BEFORE Unleavened Bread, ON THE DAY WHEN THEY SACRIFICED THE PASSOVER LAMB,
his disciples said to him, "Where will you have us go and prepare for you to eat the Passover?"
Luk 22:7 Then came the day of Unleavened Bread, on which THE PASSOVER LAMB HAD TO BE SACRIFICED. As the Passover lamb HAD TO BE SACRIFICED on this day, it is
axiomatic that the meal eaten after sunset that night, MUST BE the Passover lamb.


#### Abstract

Alex: \#2394 Note Rubies - day of FirstFruits is Nisan 16 and does not necessarily fall on a Sunday. This is most important. FirstFruits is not the day after the weekly sabbath but the day after 1st day of ULB. I hope this clears the matter up.


## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2554
Thanks for your consideration Alex. But I wasn't asking for help; I was stating matters of scriptural fact. I believe you said you had been studying this topic for 2 years? That is not very long. And you quote from an eclectic mix of sources - as we all do - hut they do contradict each other. You need to decide which side of which fence you are on.
Alfred Edersheim whom you quote is excellent, an authoritative source of fascinating information on all things Jewish.
He takes it for granted that Jesus died at 3 pm on 15 th Nisan, on Friday, and he postulates the year was 29 AD.
He says that Jesus was buried during the 3 hours before sunset ( 3 $\mathrm{pm}-6 \mathrm{pm}$ ) on that same day, and that He was resurrected on Sunday morning.
This all agrees with my own independent research and calculations.
But Edersheim does favour the Pharisaic method of dating the 2 days of Firstfruits, which is unscriptural as I have pointed out at length many times, using $100 \%$ of the scriptural references. He acknowledges that the Karaites and Sadducees place Wave-sheaf \& Feast of Weeks invariably on the first days of the week, but he claims this is due to a wrong understanding of the
word "sabbath."
Well here, Edersheim himself is mistaken, for as I have repeatedly demonstrated from scripture, the Day of Atonement is the ONLY Appointed Feast Day which is EVER called a Sabbath, "SHABBATH."
The KJV mistranslates the word "SHABBATHON" (solemn rest or sabbatism) as "Sabbath" in 3 instances, which has led to the false doctrine of "ceremonial sabbaths" being applied to all holy convocations, as we see proliferating today.

## GE:

You have no idea what " $\sim$ mistranslate $\sim$ "is, milady ...
A "SHABBATHON" is (any) day observed as a 'sabbath'--exactly like in Hebrews 4:4-10 the day that "Jesus gave them rest ... He having entered in into his own rest as God in his own"***, is defined a 'sabbatismos' in Greek the equivalent of a 'shabbathon' in Hebrew.
*** through resurrection from the dead "on the Sabbath". A "SHABBATHON" is (any) day "observed a 'sabbath'"--exactly like in Hebrews 4:4-10 the day that "Jesus gave them rest ... He having entered in into his own rest as God in his own", is defined a 'sabbatismos'-"a keeping of the Sabbath Day for the People of God" in Greek, the equivalent of a 'shabbathon' in Hebrew.

## Rubies:


Then Alex, you also direct us to the site [Cut]
I am very familiar with this site. Of course, they teach us the scriptural basis for the days of Firstfruits falling inevitably on Sundays. The Essenes also invariably had Firstfruits fall on Sundays. And they referred to the Pharisees as,"The wicked priest who went wrong in their calendar."

Why else do you think that, of all the holy convocations, these are the only 2 which are NEVER DATED? Because unlike every other feast day, they fall on a DAY OF THE WEEK, rather than a DATE of the month.
Perhaps you should study the info on this Karaite site more closely!
You are correct about this distinction being "most important"- but you have the conclusion about-face.

## GE:

BUT YOU CONTRADICT YOURSELF ... and cannot even see you do. That, is bad!
Edersheim and the Pharisees at least believed in the resurrection --which is all this whole discussion is about. But you prefer to trust the anti-Christ Jewish martial arts Karateka's ... ts ts ..

## Rubies:


Lev 23:10 "Speak to the people of Israel and say to them, When you come into the land that I give you and reap its harvest, you shall bring the sheaf of the firstfruits of your harvest to the priest, 11 and he shall wave the sheaf before the LORD, so that you may be accepted. On the day after the Sabbath the priest shall wave it.
Lev 23:15 "You shall count 7 full weeks from the day after the Sabbath, from the day that you brought the sheaf of the wave offering. 16 You shall count 50 days to the day after the 7th Sabbath. Then you shall present a grain offering of new grain to the LORD."
Deu 16:9 "You shall count 7 weeks. Begin to count the 7 weeks from the time the sickle is first put to the standing grain. 10 Then you shall keep the Feast of Weeks to the LORD your God." I had this discussion with a Jewish teacher and he was absolutely
floored when I showed him the texts about how "~ counting 50 days FROM the Sabbath $=$ counting 7 Sabbaths from the DAY AFTER the Sabbath +1 day, both of which methods bring you to THE DAY AFTER the 7th Sabbath ... which is necessarily the first day of the week! Therefore both the Feast of Weeks and Wave-sheaf MUST fall on Sundays.
He was lost for words. He had never realised the implications of this simple arithmetic before. He had been taught the Pharisees' method, which was enshrined in what they called the oral traditions. The spoken traditions which the Pharisees claimed were handed down by word of mouth from Moses, until they were finally written after 70 AD.
The oral traditions are those which Jesus condemned!
"Beware the leaven of the Pharisees"!!
It is the SCRIPTURES, that is, the WRITTEN Word of God, which is authoritative. And using God's arithmetic, both Firstfruits CAN ONLY BE Sunday, every time.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p123
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p124

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p123 \#2556
Gerhard. I, like everybody else, state that your "Bone-Day" is nuts. It is the delusions of a carnal mind which slanders God's Chosen People Israel, pretending that they have no idea how to understand their very own Law and scriptures, and refusing to accept the simple truth of the bible.
I have also shown you many times that there is no such thing as "Passover Sabbath" on 15th Nisan.
Even if there was, and even if there was a "Bone-Day," 15 Nisan would not be "Bone-Day."

The scripture says that the Wave-sheaf was offered ON THE DAY AFTER THE SABBATH. That would make your fictional "Bone-Day" fall on 16 Nisan, the same as the false Pharisees' Wave-sheaf. This day is NOT a Holy Convocation.

## GE:

What on earth is this?
My goodness! " $\sim$ The scripture says that the Wave-sheaf was offered ON THE DAY AFTER THE SABBATH. That would make your [my] fictional "Bone-Day" fall on 16 Nisan, the same as the false Pharisees' Wave-sheaf. This day is NOT a Holy Convocation. "
Who said " $\sim 16$ Nisan $\sim$ ", is " $\sim$ a Holy Convocation $\sim$ ?
ME?! That'll be the day! Show me where I said 16 Nisan is " $\sim$ is a Holy Convocation $\sim$ "! Show --- quote --- me as saying 'my'
"~"Bone-Day" fall on 16 Nisan~"!

## Rubies:


I notice that you accuse the Bible verses in Leviticus 23:11-16 of having been artifically divided to "destroy the unity and wholeness" of the "whole section" which you imagine to be the "undivided description of the 15th and passover-sabbath day." Therefore you take it upon your own bad self to divide the verses so that they run out of order thus :
" $11,12,14,13,15,16$."
When you quote them, you add your own interpretations to the Word of God.(Big penalty for that Gerhard!)

## GE:

I do not "~accuse the Bible verses in Leviticus 23:11-16 of $\sim$ "anything. I blame the difficulty to translate the passage flowingly yet truthfully (partially) on the text-division(s). ALL
translators experience that difficulty. Some passages are only more complicated syntactically and idiomatically than others and on top are divided into man-made verses which sometimes makes it even more difficult to render a passage in good English or whichever language.
That you make issue of my acknowledging these linguistic factors JUST SHOWS THAT YOU ARE THE ONE WHO IS " $\sim$ OUT OF HER DEPTH~", pathetic patsy!
I know enough of Hebrew to know I know nothing. Yet your boasted superior knowledge of Hebrew scares me not. And I also know enough of psychology to know a superiority complex more often and much more likely than not is surest indication of a serious inferiority complex.

## Rubies:


I must protest that your comprehension of this chapter, and of the Appointed Feasts of the Lord in general, is abysmally skewed. You are so desperate to force your private interpretation on the Word of God that you ignore and mutilate any verses that do not suit you. You think you know better than ALL the scholars and translators. You live in the forlorn hope of the scriptures being altered to reflect your own peculiar doctrine of "Bone Day." Dream on! You admit you cannot read Hebrew! So why not admit that YOU ARE WAY OUT OF YOUR DEPTH, and MAKING A FOOL OF YOURSELF with your one-man campaign against the true rendering of "etsem yom," which means, "THAT VERY DAY"? I SHOWED YOU in Post \#2237, that the exact phrase which you imagine refers exclusively to "Bone Day," is either "ETSEM HA'YOM HA'ZEH" or "ETSEM YOM," and it is used EIGHTEEN TIMES, for many different days of the year, none of which is "Bone Day." Perhaps that post was also deleted; I have not checked page 107.

## GE:

You really hit my Achilles' heal when you let out that you are a female 'human' being. That really was devastating. I am unable to control myself. If we were bodily in one another's presence, I definitely would have strangled you.
I want to let the difference between you and me in EVERY
RESPECT be as clear as possible. Because as clearly different are our exegeses and, FAITHS. I cannot myself fathom the depths of my abhorrence for your touching the holy Ark of the Testimony were it with the tip of your left hand's little finger.
You, woman, cannot teach me one iota or tittle about Jesus'
"BONE-DAY", "THE WHOLE-DAY", SCRIPTURE-DAY. Silly shallow dom dolla!
Re:
"~"Bone Day," is either "ETSEM HA'YOM HA'ZEH" or "ETSEM YOM, "... it is used EIGHTEEN TIMES, for many different days of the year, none of which is "Bone Day."~"
"~"BONE-DAY" is ... none of ... "Bone Day"~"!!

## FANTASTIC!

"~"BONE-DAY"... is used EIGHTEEN TIMES ... none of which is "Bone Day" $\sim$ "! Brilliant donkey brain!
Thank you Faked Rubies. That was a bielie of a bibber's Bible squabble for me. Next time weave Isaiah 28 into it. Your dependence is conspicuous. Ladies are far worse than Jewish priests with the habit.

## Gerhard:

\# 2310
Leviticus 23:14- "Ye shall eat neither (unleavened) bread nor parched corn, nor green ears [which are eaten on the fifteenth day] until the Whole Day Bone-Day [of the fifteenth] THAT ye brought an OFFERING [of the "FIRST SHEAF]

13- with two tenth deals of fine flower mingled with oil-an offering made by fire unto the LORD for a sweet savour with the drink offering thereof of wine.
15- And from the day after [this] SABBATH THAT, ye brought the WAVE SHEAF offering, ye shall begin to count seven-times-seven-days [49 days] and the next day-fifty days in total."

## Rubies:


If you would just quit trying to translate the bible into your own likeness, you might understand it much better.
NOW let's try it without Gerhard's additions and disordered verses. ESV:
Lev 23:10 "Speak to the people of Israel and say to them, When you come into the land that I give you and reap its harvest, you shall bring THE SHEAF OF THE FIRSTFRUITS of your harvest to the priest, 11 and HE SHALL WAVE THE SHEAF before the LORD, so that you may be accepted. ON THE DAY AFTER THE SABBATH the priest shall wave it. 12 And on the day when you wave the sheaf, you shall offer a male lamb a year old without blemish as a burnt offering to the LORD. 13 "And the grain offering with it shall be two tenths of an ephah of fine flour mixed with oil, a food offering to the LORD with a pleasing aroma, and the drink offering with it shall be of wine, a fourth of a hin. 14 And you shall eat neither bread nor grain parched or fresh until THIS SAME DAY, until you have brought the offering of your God: it is a statute forever throughout your generations in all your dwellings. 15 "You shall count 7 full weeks from THE DAY AFTER THE SABBATH, from THE DAY THAT YOU BROUGHT THE SHEAF of the wave offering. 16 You shall count 50 days to the day after the 7th Sabbath. Then you shall present a grain offering of new grain to the LORD."

Basic arithmetic proves that the day when this token first sheaf of the new year's barley harvest (called the Wave-sheaf) was reaped and offered, was "THIS SAME DAY," SUNDAY. The DAY AFTER THE SABBATH.

## GE:

My post 4011 above, p190\#lastPost herewith cancelled and replaced!!!!
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p191\#lastPost
" $\sim$ Basic arithmetic $\sim "$ the Rubies way, yea. As follows :
" $\sim$ the day when ... the new year's ... Wave-sheaf was reaped ... was ... SUNDAY. The DAY AFTER THE SABBATH~".
But the " $\sim S A B B A T H \sim$ "the Sabbath of the week which not at all except by unconditional coincidence occurred on the " $\sim S A M E$ $D A Y \sim "$ as " $\sim$ the new year's [day when the] new year's Wave-sheaf was reaped. $\sim$ "
The " $\sim$ Wave sheaf was reaped $\sim$ ", Leviticus 23:21,22 tells, when "Ye shall proclaim on the BONE-DAY ... WHEN YE REAP (that) thou shalt not make clean riddance of the corners of thy field".
"Ye shall REAP the harvest, THEN ye shall BRING a sheaf of the firstfruits to the priest."
"On that day on which you REAP the sheaf, on that day you shall BRING the sheaf."
"And / then on the day after the sabbath"-Leviticus 23:10,11-
"after the sabbath" the "sabbath day" after you shall have reaped and brought the wave sheaf / first sheaf on:-
"the priest shall wave it (the first sheaf / the wave sheaf) before the LORD".
Now what day came before the passover sabbath?
The day the first sheaf was REAPED AND BROUGHT on!
Was that not the day they killed the sacrifice?
It was!
Which day did they kill the passover / offering / sacrifice on?
"On the fourteenth day of the First Month"!
What was the fourteenth day of the First Month's, passover- name?
"The BONE DAY ye have brought an offering / made a sacrifice / kept the passover unto your God." Leviticus 23:14.
What day came before the day Abib 16 that the priest waved the first sheaf on?
"The sabbath"-"that day great day of sabbath" of the passover Abib15. And before it:-
"YE, shall
"PROCLAIM, on the
"BONE-DAY, ...
:22
"WHEN YE REAP ...
:14
"the selfsame BONE DAY ... (that) it may be an
"holy convocation to you and (that) ye shall
"do no servile work therein-a
"STATUTE throughout your generations."
BOTH 14 and 15 Abib were "the selfsame BONE-DAY" in Exodus 12 and in Leviticus are separated and DATED respectively Abib 14 and 15.
God "carried (them) out on everlasting arms"; "Thou in Thy Mercy has led forth the people ... Thy Right Hand, O LORD, is become glorious in Power"; Exodus 12:13,6. His "Right Hand"-"Mighty Hand" Deuteronomy 5:15 et al brought God's People out. So "the sabbath" on which the first sheaf for one day rested over for to be waved on the day after it, was ALSO DATED "TO ITS SEASON" in Leviticus the same as "the selfsame BONE-DAY" in Exodus 12, the very day on which Israel-the typical first sheaf of "JOSEPH"-was "BROUGHT FORTH OUT [and rested from slave labour] on the fifteenth day of the First Month" already.
"Thus saith the LORD" in his WORD the Holy Scriptures of true and universal Christiandom:- "three days":- "the very first day removed leaven and killed the passover" Abib 14; "the next day"-"the first day seven days unleavened bread ... the sabbath", "that day sabbath great day" of the passover Abib 15 the first sheaf rested over for to be waved the next day;
"And on the day after the sabbath"- after "great day" of passover, the priest shall wave the first sheaf:- on Abib 16 which "TO ITS SEASON, YE, shall PROCLAIM". Israel / Joseph was not "brought forth out" on the Sabbath Day of the creation week, but on the day before the Sabbath Day of the creation week-the same day that Joseph buried the body of Jesus on.
Not, in the Name of God Almighty Lord of "the day The Seventh Day Sabbath OF THE LORD GOD", not, " $\sim$ after $\sim$ " "the day The Seventh Day Sabbath OF THE LORD GOD" : "~SUNDAY. The DAY AFTER THE SABBATH~"! In God's Name, NO!

The Lords Passover Day was on the 14th and the celebration of the Feast of Unleavened Bread on Passover night. Christ was alive for the first on the 14th hence the Last Supper but was buried by the time of the Feast on the 15th.

## GE:

First part, right!
Second part, wrong, and, AGAINST SCRIPTURE.
Christ was dead, by the time of the Feast on the 15th was to start or in fact "when evening had come already ... and since it was the Preparation and because that day was great day of sabbath (of the passover), the Jews asked Pilate to get the bodies removed on (that) sabbath (of the passover)." Mark 15:42 and John 19:31. Christ was finished buried, John 19:42, "by the time of the Jews' preparations to start"-‘dia tehn paraskeuehn tou Ioudaiohn';
"mid-afternoon (on the $15^{\text {th }}$ ) the (prospective) Sabbath ... according to the (Fourth) Commandment ... nearing". Luke 23:54. Alex, the evening came in between after Jesus had died and everybody had fled away and left Him forlorn on the cross until Joseph arrived after the Jews had asked Pilate to have the crosses and bodies removed and Joseph at last "IN THE FIRST NIGHT" of ulb could BEGIN his undertaking to bury Jesus. Joseph only finished to bury according to the Law and closed the grave "mid-afternoon on that day"-'Friday', and the TWO Marys could go home to prepare their spices and for the Sabbath, on which they "began to rest according to the Law", "from evening". Alex, no Gospel tells anything different than the others, and what the Gospels all four of them without any discrepancies between the four of them tell, is what you see here quoted.
Jesus' Passover of Yahweh must be your point of view into the past; not v.v.. But you can use the first passover as point of view on Jesus' Last Passover; it will make NO DIFFERENCE. It's all the same. He was NOT killed and buried the same day.
I know the Scriptures you may try to use to contradict the fact.
Bring them here, so we may search them as we are instructed to do as CHRISTIANS. YOU, will see yourself, they teach 1) "BURIED THE NEXT DAY" and 2) "STAY THE NIGHT ON THE TREE (but) NOT _ALL_NIGHT", and 3) "REMOVE BEFORE DAYLIGHT"—not "~remove before sunset $\sim$ " as usually alleged without ANY grounds!
Alex of TopixSDA, I cordially invite you. I beseech you! I beg you in the Name of Christ the Lord and Saviour.

## Alex:

I don't see a problem.

## GE:

I am sure GOD sees a BIG problem here.

Friday crossites and Wednesday crossites compete who can be the most holy haughtiest omniscient.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p123

## Rubies:

Wheat Firstfruits must necessarily have fallen on SUNDAY, as it was the day following the series of 7 full weeks or 7 complete SABBATHS. That is -49 days after the day following the SABBATH, is the day following the SEVENTH SABBATH. 50 days prior to the SUNDAY of the Wheat Firstfruits is the SABBATH (SATURDAY) during the Feast of Unleavened Bread. The next day, Wave-sheaf, is "the faday after the Sabbath," and it is also 7 complete Sabbaths $=49$ days before Feast of Weeks. There is simply no other way to add up the 7 full weeks (7 complete Sabbaths) and come to the day after the 7th Sabbath, which $=50$ days from the Sabbath during ULB, unless both days of Firstfruits fall on the FIRST DAY of the WEEK.

## Rubies: \#2558

NO DATES are ever given for these 2 Appointed Feasts.

GE: \#4052
That of course is not the case. In fact there are no feasts "appointed", without dates "appointed" "to its season".
" Appointed Feasts $\sim$ " are their dates.

## Rubies:

The only way to determine them, according to the Lord, is by COUNTING from the SABBATH.
First count ONE DAY from the SABBATH, then add on ANOTHER 7 SABBATHS $=49$ DAYS
$=50$ DAYS from the Sabbath
$=$ the DAY AFTER the 7th SABBATH.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p192 \#4051
" $\sim$ according to the Lord $\ldots$ the only way to determine $\sim "$ the fiftieth day, was, " $\sim$ first $\sim ", " \sim$ counting from $\sim ", "$ the day after the sabbath (to its season) ... the sabbath YOU must appoint to its SEASON"-"the fifteenth Day of the First Month". Therefore " $\sim$ first $\sim ", " \sim$ counting from $\sim "$ and including the sixteenth day of the month.
HOW must be counted? "seven Sabbaths-weeks complete, and, the day after the seventh Sabbath-week the fiftieth day." Leviticus $23: 15,16$. That is, $\sim$ first counting $\sim$ the seven weeks then add one day after them, the fiftieth day. It is not " $\sim$ COUNTING from the SABBATH~". It is not " $\sim 50$ DAYS from the Sabbath = the DAY AFTER the 7th SABBATH~". It is not " $\sim$ the DAY AFTER the 7th SABBATH~" or the First Day of the week. In Leviticus as during the exodus itself, God predestined "the day after the sabbath ... to its season", historically would be and, was, the Seventh Day Sabbath. Therefore in Leviticus the "seven sabbaths-weeks" began on and with the Seventh Day Sabbath so that the fiftieth day after "the sabbath to its season" of Abib 15, would again be and again was, the Seventh Day Sabbath and the fiftieth of the fifty days of the "seven Sabbaths-weeks and the day after the seventh Sabbaths-week".
Leviticus speaks about literal "seven Sabbaths'-weeks" every one ending with and on the Sixth Day of each literal "Sabbaths'-week". Changed in later times after the exodus, it became "weeks-of-sevens"-'hebdomas' referenced in Deuteronomy 16 instead of "Sabbaths'-week" referenced in Leviticus. Why? Only because "the sabbath to its season", Abib 15, through the years occurred on any day of the "Sabbaths'-week".
That is also why Deuteronomy speaks of the first day the harvest actually began full-day as the beginning-day of "seven of seven
(day)s-weeks"-forty nine days-, and does not " like Leviticus take into account that "the day after the seventh Sabbaths'-week", was "fifty days".
To God alone all glory
101114
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p123 \#2558
$\underline{\text { http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p192 }}$ \#4053

## Rubies:

The KARAITES (successors of the SADDUCEES) correctly observe both Feasts of Firstfruits on the first days of the week, following the scriptural admonition.
They also begin the year as God commanded, after the first Aviv barley has been found at the end of the 12th or 13th month Adar. Exo 9:31 The flax and the barley were struck down, for the BARLEY was ABIB
and the flax was in bud.
Exo 9:32 But the wheat and the emmer were not struck down, for they are late in coming up.
Exo 12:1 The LORD said to Moses and Aaron in the land of Egypt,
Exo 12:2 "THIS MONTH shall be for you the beginning of months.
It shall be the first month of the year for you."
The ESSENES also invariably observed both days of Firstfruits on SUNDAYS, and referred to the Pharisees as,"The Wicked Priest who went wrong in their calendar and kept the Lord's Feasts on the wrong days."
However, they began their Omer count from the Sabbath FOLLOWING the full week of ULB.
They began every year with 1 Nisan on Wednesday.
Their Feasts fell on the same days of the week each year, with 14 Nisan always being on Tuesday.

So the Essene Wave-sheaf was always on Sunday 26th Nisan, and their Feast of Weeks was always on Sunday 15th Sivan.

## GE:

...says Rubies ... says Rubies having heard something about Karaites and Essenes neither who believed in Christ the Substance.

## Rubies:

The PHARISEES (now RABBINS) are the odd men out here.

## GE:

No choice between the three groups of non-Christian unbelieving anti-Christs has been mandated the Christian.

## Rubies:

(The Pharisees) arbitrarily place Wave-sheaf on 16 Nisan and Feast of Weeks on 6th Sivan, whichever day of the week these dates may fall on, even though there is NO scriptural authority for this.

## GE:

In this matter, it is vice versa what Rubies claims. In this matter-believing Rubies about them-it is the Saducees and Karaites and Essenes who on own authority act ~arbitrarily~ and the Pharisees who act on " $\sim$ scriptural authority for ... plac(ing) Wave-sheaf on 16 Nisan~". Because the Scriptures place Abib 15 "the sabbath ... ye shall proclaim", "to its season"! It cannot be simpler or more unambiguous. Because God talks straight and single-minded; not like man with forked tongue from divided heart.
Glory to God alone
101114

Rubies: \#2558
They also determine their calendar in advance according to the 19 -year Metonic cycle, which means their year is mostly out of sync with the true visible New Moon, and often out of sync with the Aviv Barley in the first month.
Therefore, the Pharisees' Wheat Firstfruits almost never falls on the day after the 7th Sabbath, and it can sometimes fall in the wrong month.

## Rubies: \#2560

Reg, you are such a FRAUD.
Scores of times now, you have "CHALLENGED" the whole world to prove various things we tell you which you don't believe in. You often claim that you would debate anyone in the world face to face, and that you would win.
Then, just as often, you scoff that nobody has ever been able to meet your challenges.
What a LIE! You deceive yourself.
You are like a yapping chihuahua behind a big brick fence, telling the passing rottweilers what he is going to do to them if he could only get out there. What he doesn't realise is that the gate has fallen off its hinges, and that bumptious chihuahua is soon going to be mincemeat. All his grandstanding is nothing but hot air.
I have conscientiously and sincerely answered EVERY ONE of your "Challenges," and so have many others here. But you still pretend that nobody has ever responded and that "you have won." So this makes you a LIAR Reg.
But whenever someone lays out a logical, scriptural, scientifically verifiable argument that you cannot refute, you state that you refuse to even read it.
You say that these responses are full of errors, but how would you know, if you didn't read them? You never point out any error to back up your false claim.

Then you say that the truth is not in US.
You refuse to discuss any valid point we make.
Yet you still claim that WE are liars.

## Rubies: \#2561

Reg, How many times have you quoted 1 John 2:4?
THIRTY-EIGHT TIMES!!! I COUNTED THEM!
You just love doing that, saying, "Not my words but God's" as you call others LIARS. 38 times, you have quoted this verse from John, to make out that JOHN says we are all liars.
Like I truly said, you hide behind John to call people liars and judge whether or not they are saved. Then you tell us that God says you don't have to believe anyone who disagrees with your muck.
That makes you a JUDGEMENTAL COWARD Reg!
Which people have you called LIARS? I counted them too, and here is the list:
Everyone who believes in a Friday cross
Everyone who believes in a Sunday resurrection
Everyone who believes that God gave the Sabbath commandment as a sign of His covenant with Israel exclusively
Everyone who knows that there will never be a "Sunday Law"
Everyone who knows that worshipping the Lord on any day of the week apart from the Sabbath, has nothing to do with the Mark of the Beast
Everyone who believes that Adam was not given the 10
Commandments (How was he going to honour his father and mother? Whose ox was he going to covet? Who was he going to commit adultery with? How was he supposed to remember that he was a slave in Egypt? Foolishness!!)
Also you have called all these individuals, LIARS, countless times Rubies Birdman Doubting Thomas Shadrach Anon Gerhard Alex - which amounts to almost everybody who has contributed to this thread. You have made many lying statements and accusations

Reg, thus you are a liar, you are judgemental, you are a hypocrite. This means you are NOT keeping the commandments as you sanctimoniously imagine. You really are tempting God. He has allowed you 89 years now to humble yourself, but still you stubbornly keep telling the same lies and judging everyone who rejects your crackpot schemes. If you would just quit telling us that we have to believe YOUR fantasies to be saved, it would not be so bad for you. But like all your false prophets before you, you imagine YOUR false gospel is the saving truth.

## Joyce:

The problem for Rubes is that JESUS said 3 days AND 3 nights ant NOT 2 nights and 1 day! So Jesus was crucified Wednesday pm and the glorious resurrection was on Sabbath pm THEN the Lord went to Heaven to present Himself before the Father. COG has nothing to do with simple Bible maths confirmed by US Navy...

## Rubies: \#2562

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p123
I have no problem Joyce. But you will definitely encounter a problem if you try to find a single Christian authority from the first centuries AD who ever taught anything but a Friday Cross and a Sunday morning resurrection. There simply is NO early Christian author who ever wrote anything other than this. That is the reason for this tradition of the church! Because it happens to be true! Unanimously confirmed by all the earliest witnesses and their disciples!

GE: \#4054
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p192
Justin Martyr was the only second century politician-churchman who claimed " $\sim$ a Friday Cross and a Sunday morning resurrection.~"

## The only first century Christian authors there are or rather who left written record of Jesus' Crucifixion, Burial and Resurrection, are the Gospel writers, and they agree $100 \%$ on a Fifth Day of the week Crucifixion, Sixth Day of the week Burial, and "Sabbath's" Resurrection.

Abib 14, Wednesday night and Thursday day = Fifth Day ....
1A) HERE BEGINS the NIGHT and the FIRST of the "three days", "according to the Scriptures" - the passover-Scriptures :-
wherein Jesus ENTERED IN in "the Kingdom of my Father" (Jesus' Jonah's descent to hell) :-
Mk14:12/17; Mt26:17/20; Lk22:7/14; Jn13:1.
1B) HERE BEGINS the MORNING of the FIRST of the "three days", "according to the Scriptures" - the passover-Scriptures :-
in which Jesus was delivered and crucified :-
Mk15:1/Mt27:1/Lk23:1/Jn19:14
1C) HERE is the LATE NOON AND MID-AFTERNOON of the FIRST of the "three days", "according to the Scriptures" - the passover-Scriptures :-
when Jesus DIED and was deserted by all :-
Mk15:37-41; Mk27:50-56; Lk23:44-49; Jn19:28-30
Abib 15, Thursday night and Friday day = Sixth Day ....
2A) HERE BEGINS the SECOND of the "three days", "according to the Scriptures" the passover-Scriptures :-
the day whereon Joseph WOULD BURY the body of Jesus :-
Mk15:42/Mt27:57, Lk23:50-51, Jn19:31/38.
2B) HERE is the NIGHT of the SECOND of the "three days", "according to the Scriptures" - the passover-Scriptures :-
wherein Joseph begged the body, and according to the law of the Jews - the passover's law - undertook and prepared to bury Jesus:-
Mk15:43-46a; Mt27:58-59; Lk23:52-53a; Jn19:31b-40
2C) HERE is the LATE NOON AND MID-AFTERNOON of the SECOND of the "three days", "according to the Scriptures" - the passover-Scriptures :-
when Joseph and Nicodemus laid the body and closed the tomb; and men and women left for home :-
Mk15:46b-47; Mk27:60-61; Lk23:53b-56a; JN19:41-42
Abib 16, Friday night and Saturday day = Seventh Day Sabbath....
3A) HERE BEGINS the THIRD of the "three days", "according to the Scriptures" - the passover-Scriptures :-
THAT JESUS WOULD RISE FROM THE DEAD ON :-
Lk23:56b
3B) HERE is the MORNING of the THIRD of the "three days", "according to the Scriptures" - the passover-Scriptures :-

Pilate ordered a guard "for the third day" :-
Mt27:62-66
3C) HERE is "IN the Sabbath's Fullness MID-AFTERNOON" of the THIRD of the "three days", "according to the Scriptures" - the passover-Scriptures :-
First Sheaf Wave Offering Before the LORD :-
Mt28:1-4.
There simply is no early or first century Christian author who wrote anything than this. That is the reason for the Scriptures of the Church! Because it is the Truth "~unanimously confirmed by all the earliest witnesses and their disciples! $\sim "$

Rubies: \#2562 hitp://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-advenistTCMTOOQAME27RFJTT/p123 The Wednesday cross heresy only came about through false prophets such as Herbert W. Armstrong who defied the simple scriptural teaching and invented their own aberrant doctrines. Was HWA, "Elijah the prophet"? No? Obviously not! But he said he was! This proves him a liar and a deceiver. Everything he taught must therefore be discarded. You cannot pick and choose amongst the doctrines of false prophets. They must be stoned to death, kit \& caboodle, to "purge the evil from your midst."
As for the US Navy - they are beastly careless about the date of the Cross. Their impartial astronomical data is quoted and misapplied by heretics to befuddle others not clever enough to check these facts out for themselves. You cannot blame the USNO for other peoples' false doctrines. They are not responsible for what anyone else does with their accurate information.
The "New Moon" data given by the USNO is for
CONJUNCTION, not for the first visible crescent of the moon.
Therefore those using this info will be out by either 1 or 2 days in their dates for Jewish months.
Thus 14 Nisan in 30 AD was FRIDAY 7th April.
In 31 AD , it was TUESDAY 27th March, using the correct method of first visibility of the moon.
Also you fail to take into account that "opse" means "AFTER;

## LONG AFTER." And "epiphosko" means "GETTING LIGHTER; DAWN."

GE: \#4060
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p193\#lastPost
"~that "opse" means "AFTER; LONG AFTER" ", is not true. For many centuries Bible translators never gave this idea a thought and never allowed it to enter into their renderings of Mathew 28:1. Reg has with every right posted and reposted all the English Bibles from the first one by Tyndale until the last, translated in the nineteenth century and they like one, correctly translated 'opse' with "late" / "late in" / "late on" / "In the end of the Sabbath".

Then it is a fact of history and etymology as unmovable as the rock of Gibraltar that 'opse' has in all-yes ALL-the existence of the Greek language never been used in the way and in the sense that Rubies here arrogates falsely-NOT EVEN IN MODERN translations in Greek of Matthew 28:1.

Here is the perfect illustration of absolutely NO comprehension or knowledge of the Greek language-not only by Rubies, but by the quasi translators who since the twentieth century have been attempting to create a case for SUNDAY WORSHIP BY STEALING JESUS' RESURRECTION FOR IT.
And what could be easier than to pretend 'opse' in Matthew 28:1 truly means what they ABUSE THEIR HOLY DUTY AS TRANSLATORS OF GOD'S WORD, TO MAKE IT LOOK! They LIE in worship, and adoration and superstitious veneration and divining of their great idol and FALSE GOD, the lord Sun and his Day of worship. And they shall commit murder and atrocities unthinkable of Christians, for their god and its glorification. They shall even - they at present ARE - robbing Christ of the truth of
his "Sabbath's"-Resurrection from the dead to honour the god of all ages among pagans and heathens.

Rubies: \#2562
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p123
And "epiphosko" means "GETTING LIGHTER; DAWN."
GE: \#4060
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p193\#lastPost
This is Rubies' same lie and same abuse of truth and same show of incompetence in Greek or Bible.

Rubies: \#2562
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p123
... "3 days and 3 nights" is a Jewish idiom rather than a precise count of the number of hours.

GE: \#4062
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-d...
Rubies does not know what an 'idiom' is. Which is clear as daylight JUST by her reference here to the " $\sim$ " 3 days and 3 nights" $\sim$ " as " $\sim$ a Jewish idiom $\sim$ ".
The " 3 days and 3 nights" occurs ONCE in the New Testament. But an 'idiom' to be an 'idiom' is so often occurring in every day use of a language that it defies set rules of grammar, syntax and semantics.
For example, the word "week" in the New Testament from the New Testament IDIOMATIC Hebraism, 'sabbatou' Singular or 'sabbatohn' Plural --- NO DIFFERENCE it means and says the
same thing, "... of the week"-_"First Day of the week", or, "the Sabbath (of the week)".
So, the plain PHRASE, " " 3 days and 3 nights" $\sim$ " is no 'idiom' but a literal phrase of literal MEANING. It only and ONLY means what it says in the writing, which is "three days and three nights".

## Rubies:

" 3 days and 3 nights" is a Jewish idiom rather than a precise count of the number of hours.

GE: \#4063
Sure! That the phrase " 3 days and 3 nights" is not a precise count of hours, is so. If it were a precise count of hours that is expressed with saying " 3 days and 3 nights" (instead), then it might have been given second thoughts for going through for an 'idiom'. But now " 3 days and 3 nights" do not as conceived and does not as an 'idiom' refer to something else than what it spells in so many words, but simply is what it is: WORDS meaning what they mean.

## Rubies:

The true significance of Jesus' words here was that even though He appeared to be dead, yet He was still alive, and He returned to announce salvation. Just like Jonah. Just as Jonah was swallowed and believed dead, so Jesus was "swallowed" by the heart of the earth. The number of hours Jonah was in the fish, was immaterial to the inhabitants of Nineveh. That is not the point. It is the fact that against all the odds, Jonah returned from his watery grave, and preached the good news to them, which meant salvation for the Ninevites.
You also fail to factor in the 3 hours' darkness in the afternoon until Jesus died, which is technically "NIGHT" according to the Lord's definition on Page 1 of His Book.

No sunlight ="NIGHT."
Friday afternoon midday -3 p.m. $=$ NIGHT 1. Friday afternoon 3 p.m.- sunset $=$ DAY 1 .

Friday night $=$ NIGHT 2. Saturday $=$ DAY 2.
Saturday night $=$ NIGHT 3. Sunday morning $=$ DAY 3.
3 days and 3 nights, Jesus was alive in Spirit, "in the heart of the earth," that is, in HADES / PARADISE.
Then you misunderstand the Jewish term "in the heart of the earth," which does not mean "in the grave" and most definitely not "in Satan's clutches" or some such nonsense invented by those determined to disbelieve the truth. If you wish to know the truth about "in the heart of the earth," you need to read the testimony of Josephus, a Jewish priest contemporary with Christ, in "Discourse to the Greeks on Hades."

## GE:

Abomination!

## Reg:

all you Friday Crucifixionists are up to your neck in quicksand, Rubie's day by day post is her own interpretation, she is in over her head in error.

## Rubies: \#2564

What I wrote is not any "interpretation".
I merely systematically listed every relevant verse and provided the correct Greek translations given by esteemed scholars. This shows how they all unanimously tell the same story - that Jesus ate the Passover, was crucified, died \& buried the next day, and rose again on the 3rd day, early on the morning of the first day of the week, fulfilling the whole 8 days of Passover and Unleavened Bread as the Passover Lamb, as the daily burnt offering, and as High Priest offering the Firstfruits, the Wave-sheaf.

I'm only exegeting what is in the Word of God, Reg! Not aping the theories and methods of various false prophets as you do.

## GE:

Hahaha ... hear who talks!

## Rubies: \#2564

REG : STUDY GOD's WORD and LEARN! Sweep out that toxic mess that has filled your mind for the past 60 years. Fill it with the truth instead. Quit churning your same old tired arguments over and over. They are ALL FALSE!
STUDY what people have said to you. Many of us have explained to you how wrong you are, but you are stuck in a rut so deep you can't even see over the edge of it. It would make a refreshing change for you, to spend your last few days free of that festering nonsense you carry on with. You just have no idea how convoluted, contorted and anachronistic your theory is.

## Rubies: \#2565

Mark 15:25 :
Jesus was crucified at the Jewish 3rd hour (9 a.m.)
Matt 27:45:
The sun was darkened at the 6th hour (12 midday), 3 hours later
Matt 27:46-50 :
Jesus died at the 9th hour (3 p.m.), another 3 hours later Luke 23:44 :
The sun began to shine again
Luke 23:54:
There was only 3 hours left before the weekly Sabbath (that is ... the ONLY SABBATH EVER mentioned in the N.T.= the WEEKLY Sabbath) began,

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27REJT4/p193 \#4055
Yes, Luke 23:54 states "mid-afternoon the Sabbath nearing"-" $\sim$ the WEEKLY Sabbath $\sim "$-"the Sabbath according to the (Fourth) Commandment" Luke 23:56. That is true. But it is not true " $\sim$ the weekly Sabbath ... is ... the ONLY SABBATH EVER mentioned in the N.T. $\sim$ ".
John 19:31 defines "it was The Preparation and that day was great day sabbath-" of the passover naturally. Mark 15:42 defines "that day The Preparation": "which is the
Fore-Sabbath"-"Fore-Sabbath" definitely and definitively before the $\sim$ weekly Sabbath $\sim$.
So the New Testament also ~mentions~"sabbath-of-great-day" of the passover.
Luke also in Acts 13 referred to the "in-between-sabbath" of "Bone Day-Sabbath" at the Yom Kippur.
Luke also mentioned the "week" using just the Genitive word 'of-the-sabbath' for it.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p123

## Rubies: \#2565

There was only 3 hours left before the weekly Sabbath (that is ... the ONLY SABBATH EVER mentioned in the N.T.= the WEEKLY Sabbath) began, ANOTHER 3 HOURS LATER. SUNRISE + 3 HOURS $=3$ rd HOUR. 3 rd HOUR +3 HOURS $=6$ th HOUR. 6th HOUR +3 HOURS $=9$ th HOUR.
9th HOUR +3 HOURS $=12$ th HOUR
= SUNSET.
SUNRISE + 12 HOURS = SUNSET.
But you Reg, say SUNRISE +9 HOURS $=$ SUNSET!
Even Peter the fisherman could count to 12 every day.
Rubies: \#2566

REG!! Study these scriptures and correct your mind so it is in line with God's rather than with your delusions.
Mark 15:42 : Since it was ALREADY EVENING (this is the correct translation of "EDE OPSIAS") (OPSIAS : STRONG'S = afternoon; early evening; THAYER = between 3 p.m. \& 6 p.m.) John 19:42 : on FRIDAY, which was still PARASKEUE, the Preparation $=$ PROSABBATON, the day before Sabbath, John 19:38,39 : Joseph and Nicodemus took Jesus' body down and laid it in a new tomb close by.
Jesus DIED AT the NINTH HOUR of the day, Mark 15:34-37 (the HOUR of PRAYER, Acts $3: 1$ ) which is 3 p.m. at the equinox, 3 hours before sunset, on the day called PARASKEUE, John 19:31 (this is the Greek name for FRIDAY),
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p193
GE: \#4057/-8
Wait!

## Rubies:

"~Mark 15:42 : Since it was ALREADY EVENING (this is the correct translation of "EDE OPSIAS") (OPSIAS : STRONG'S = afternoon; early evening; THAYER = between 3 p.m. \& 6 p.m.) John 19:42 : on FRIDAY, which was still PARASKEUE, the Preparation $=$ PROSABBATON, the day before Sabbath, John 19:38,39 : Joseph and Nicodemus took Jesus' body down and laid it in a new tomb close by.
Jesus DIED AT the NINTH HOUR of the day, Mark 15:34-37 (the HOUR of PRAYER, Acts 3:1) which is 3 p.m. at the equinox, 3 hours before sunset, on the day called PARASKEUE, John 19:31 (this is the Greek name for FRIDAY),~"

## GE:

You cannot have Mark 15:42, then John 19:42, then John 19:38,39, then Mark 15:34-37!

You cannot have
"it was already evening" Mark 15:42, then suddenly be " $\sim$ on FRIDAY~"-_" $\sim$ before Sabbath $\sim "$, "mid-afternoon" Luke 23:54 "by the time of the Jews' preparation to begin" John 19:42 ---
then go back to "~Joseph ... took Jesus' body down~" John 19:38, when "it was already evening" Mark 15:42, "and Nicodemus also came to him that first night" John 19:39, then jump forward again to when " $\sim$ Joseph and Nicodemus took Jesus' body ... and laid it in a new tomb $\sim$ "_-"by the time of the Jews' preparation to begin", "mid-afternoon" "~before Sabbath~" John 19:41,42 Luke 23:54'
then again go back to "~Jesus DIED AT the NINTH HOUR of the day, Mark 15:34-37~"
The Gospels have in sequence of time as well as text-in sequence of context as well as content,
Mark 15:34-37, then Mark 15:42, then John 19:38,39, and last John 19:42 and Luke 23:54 !
The Gospels have in sequence of time as well as text, and in sequence of context as well as content,
" Jesus DIED AT the NINTH HOUR of the day, Mark 15:34-37~";
"and everybody forsook Him and left" Luke 23:48,49;
"It was already evening" Mark 15:42,
"and since the Preparation had begun the Jews asked Pilate" John 19:31;
"And after these things Joseph ... asked Pilate" John 19:38;
Then "~Joseph ... took Jesus' body down~" John 19:38;
"and Nicodemus also came to him that first night" John 19:39;
"and they prepared the body of Jesus to bury (him)" John 19:40;
And at last, " $\sim 3$ p.m. at the equinox, 3 hours before sunset, on the day called PARASKEUE ...this is the Greek name for FRIDAY ... Joseph and Nicodemus took Jesus' body ... and laid it in a new tomb $\sim$ ".

But-to mention but one example-, Rubies fraudulently smuggled in " $\sim$ Joseph and Nicodemus took Jesus' body down___ and laid it in a new tomb ... 3 p.m. at the equinox, 3 hours before sunset $\sim$ ".
God is watching you, Rubies!

Rubies: \#2566
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMTOQQAME27RETT4/p123
Jesus DIED AT the NINTH HOUR of the day, Mark 15:34-37 (the HOUR of PRAYER, Acts 3:1)
which is 3 p.m. at the equinox,
3 hours before sunset, on the day called PARASKEUE, John 19:31
(this is the Greek name for FRIDAY), also known as PROSABBATON
(the Greek word which means,"The DAY BEFORE the WEEKLY
SABBATH," Mark 15:42)
which must necessarily be FRIDAY, and "THE SABBATH WAS BEGINNING," Luke 23:54 which also proves this was FRIDAY.
Therefore HE HAD TO BE BURIED BY SUNSET, Joshua 8:29
in the 3 HOURS remaining before the 12th hour,
that same day as He was hung on the tree, Deut 21:22,23
FRIDAY, BEFORE SUNSET.
By commandment of the Lord.

## GE: \#4059

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p193
Rubies' big, lie:
"~Jesus DIED AT the NINTH HOUR of the day, Mark 15:34-37
"~(the HOUR of PRAYER, Acts 3:1)
" $\sim$ which is 3 p.m. at the equinox,
" $\sim 3$ hours before sunset,
" $\sim$ on the day called PARASKEUE, John 19:31~" ... "that day of sabbath great day" of passover.
Yes, this is Rubies' big lie-that she states that " $\sim$ Jesus DIED AT the NINTH HOUR of the day, Mark 15:34-37 ... on the day called PARASKEUE, John 19:31.~" This is Rubies' big lie because " $\sim$ Mark 15:34-37~" was the day before-the day before " $\sim$ on the day called PARASKEUE, John 19:31~".
This is Rubies' big lie because she makes " $\sim$ the day called PARASKEUE ... John 19:31 ... FRIDAY), also known as
PROSABBATON ... The DAY BEFORE the WEEKLY SABBATH," Mark 15:42) which must necessarily be FRIDAY~", " the day ... Jesus DIED AT the NINTH HOUR ... Mark 15:34-37.~"
She also lies because she says that " $\sim$ "THE SABBATH WAS BEGINNING," Luke 23:54~" while it was 'Friday' the Preparation which was ending or rather was beginning to end, "the Sabbath approaching mid-afternoon that day."
And yes, " $\sim$ which also proves this was FRIDAY~". But what does Rubies mean with " $\sim$ this $\sim$ "? That's her trick; her lie!
Because Rubies says " $\sim$ this ... $\sim$ "-, " $\sim$ the day Jesus DIED AT the NINTH HOUR of, Mark 15:34-37~"-, "~... this was FRIDAY~"! And yes, "~HE HAD TO BE BURIED BY SUNSET~". But that is not what " $\sim$ Joshua 8:29~" is about or says. And that is not in "~Deut 21:22,23~", "~in the 3 HOURS remaining before the 12th hour $\sim$ " or, the " $\sim$ same day as He was hung [Sic.] on the tree $\sim$ ".
Because none of all these faked rubies were on " $\sim$ FRIDAY, BEFORE SUNSET $\sim "$, or, " $\sim$ By commandment of the Lord $\sim "$, but by the wickedly lying mouth of one incognito Rubies on TopixSDA.
To the God of Truth only, glory and majesty through Christ raised from the dead "In Sabbath's-time before the First Day of the week".

## GE:

The more clever you try to be and hide your fraud the more obvious do you make it. It does not help you to surround your blatant LIE with a lot of irrelevancies. IT STANDS YOU LIE, STATING " $\sim$ Jesus DIED on the day called PARASKEUE, John 19:31 (this is the Greek name for FRIDAY), also known as PROSABBATON. $\sim "$
I told you at this point you go with God or against God!

## Rubies:

this is the Greek name for FRIDAY), also known as
PROSABBATON (the Greek word which means, "The DAY
BEFORE the WEEKLY SABBATH," Mark 15:42) which must necessarily be FRIDAY, and "THE SABBATH WAS
BEGINNING," Luke 23:54

## GE:

Luke 23 :54 says and means "the Sabbath was nearing"-‘sabbaton epephohsken', Imperfect Past Tense in English - more literally, "that day the Preparation mid-daylight-was-inclining (towards) the Sabbath."
"Mid-afternoon" is 3 p.m.; by then Joseph had closed the grave and had gone home "and the women also-who [a little earlier] followed after" in the procession to the grave-returned homeward and prepared sweet spices and ointments"-BEFORE the Sabbath would start after sunset and they would "begin to rest the Sabbath".
The Sabbath was NOT :beginning: in Luke 23:54. "That day the Preparation was declining towards the Sabbath" with THREE HOURS left before " $\sim$ the Sabbath was beginning $\sim$ ".

## Rubies:

which also proves this was FRIDAY.
Therefore HE HAD TO BE BURIED BY SUNSET, Joshua 8:29
in the 3 HOURS remaining before the 12th hour, that same day as He was hung on the tree, Deut 21:22,23

## GE:

There is nothing of it to be read in Joshua 8:29 or Deuteronomy 21:22,23. "The body shall not remain on the tree ALL NIGHT". That means the body hang on the tree during the night until before sunrise when it had to be removed before sunrise. See, http://www.biblestudents.co.za/docs/html/Buri...


#### Abstract

Alex: \#2844 http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p136


The Passover wasn't eaten on the 14th Nisan but in that night on the 15 Nisan. Yes the 15th is implicated in Exodus 12 thank you very much. Verse 8.
Neither Leviticus 23:5,6 or Joshua 5:12 refer to a changing of the eating of the Passover. It was always the 15 Nisan.
14 Nisan is not called a feast in Exodus 12:14. Verse 8 changes the day from the 14 to the 15 .

## GE:

You have a basic problem, Alex. In Exodus AT the exodus no date of Abib 15 YET existed. It had been, and was, "the fourteenth day". The ONLY explanation is that it was a SUNRISE day AT the exodus, which CHANGED - not the eating changed, but the day's date CHANGED as the result of the cultic CHANGE in dating from a sunrise to a SUNSET cycle of days.

## Rubies:

FRIDAY, BEFORE SUNSET. By commandment of the Lord. How many times have we explained this to you, Reg? Yet you ignore this testimony from the Word of God, and substitute your own perverted delusions.
Here is a word from the Lord for you, Reg!
Deu 31:27 "For I know how rebellious and stubborn you are." All of this is clearly written in scripture, but you stubbornly choose to rebel against the truth of it. Isa 46:12 "Listen to me, you stubborn of heart, you who are far from righteousness." You just refuse to believe the Word of God, for it messes with your pet quack theories.
Psa 81:12 "So I gave them over to their stubborn hearts, to follow their own counsels." You think you are King of Passover.
1Sa 15:23 "For rebellion is as the sin of witchcraft, and presumption is as iniquity and idolatry. Because you have rejected the word of the LORD, he has also rejected you from being king." Rubies: \#2567
God's Word in the book of Numbers also puts paid to your absurd idea that "EVENING" only comes after sunset. Chapter 29 gives the quantities of sacrifices to be offered every DAY (never "night") on the Appointed Feasts ... BESIDES the regular burnt offering (the TAMID), which was offered MORNING AND EVENING, of the SAME DAY.
Note well : The daily burnt offering was sacrificed MORNING and EVENING - so both lambs were killed during the daylight hours of the SAME day. The MORNING lamb was killed at sunrise; the EVENING lamb was killed about 3 pm (the 9th hour; the Hour of Prayer, Acts 3:1), so everything was completed before sunset, while there was still plenty of daylight. We know this for certain because of the thousands of pages of Jewish literature and history
which confirms this. See next Post.
It NEVER says they were killed "evening and morning," in which case the first lamb would have to be killed in the dark, for them both to be offered on the same Jewish day.
Just the Feast of Tabernacles alone proves, 8 times, that the "EVENING" sacrifice comes before sunset, on the same DAY as the MORNING sacrifice :
Num 29:12 "On the 15th DAY of the 7th month ... 13 And you shall offer ... 13 bulls from the herd, 2 rams, 14 male lambs a year old ... 16 also one male goat for a sin offering, besides the regular burnt offering (MORNING \& EVENING) ... 17 On the second DAY 12 bulls from the herd, 2 rams, 14 male lambs ... 19 also one male goat for a sin offering, besides the regular burnt offering (MORNING \& EVENING) ... 20 "On the third DAY 11 bulls, 2 rams, 14 male lambs ... 22 also one male goat for a sin offering, besides the regular burnt offering (MORNING \& EVENING) ... 23 On the fourth DAY 10 bulls, 2 rams, 14 male lambs ... 25 also one male goat for a sin offering, besides the regular burnt offering (MORNING \& EVENING) ... 26 "On the fifth DAY 9 bulls, 2 rams, 14 male lambs ... 28 also one male goat for a sin offering; besides the regular burnt offering (MORNING \& EVENING) ... 29 On the sixth DAY 8 bulls, 2 rams, 14 male lambs ... 31 also one male goat for a sin offering; besides the regular burnt offering (MORNING \& EVENING) ... 32 "On the seventh DAY 7 bulls, 2 rams, 14 male lambs ... 34 also one male goat for a sin offering; besides the regular burnt offering (MORNING \& EVENING) ... 35 "On the eighth DAY you shall have a solemn assembly. You shall not do any ordinary work, 36 but you shall offer ... 1 bull, 1 ram, 7 male lambs ... 38 also one male goat for a sin offering; besides the regular burnt offering (MORNING \& EVENING)..."

JOSEPHUS (A Levitical Priest) Antiquities of the Jews, Book 14:4 " 3 . And any one may hence learn how very great piety we exercise towards God, and the observance of his laws, since the priests were not at all hindered from their sacred ministrations by their fear during this siege, but did still TWICE A DAY, IN THE MORNING and ABOUT the NINTH HOUR, OFFER THEIR SACRIFICES on the altar."
Antiquities, Book 3:10 "CONCERNING THE FESTIVALS; and HOW EACH DAY OF SUCH FESTIVAL IS TO BE OBSERVED. " 1 . THE law requires, that out of the public expenses a lamb of the first year be killed EVERY DAY, at the BEGINNING and at the ENDING of the DAY."
" 5 . In the month of Xanthicus, which is by us called Nisan, and is the beginning of our year, ON the 14th DAY of the LUNAR MONTH, when the sun is in Aries,(for in this month it was that we were delivered from bondage under the Egyptians,) the law ordained that we should every year SLAY THAT SACRIFICE which I before told you we slew when we came out of Egypt, and which was called the PASSOVER; and so we do celebrate this passover in companies, leaving nothing of what we sacrifice till the day following.
The FEAST OF UNLEAVENED BREAD SUCCEEDS that of the PASSOVER, and falls ON THE 15th DAY of the month, and CONTINUES 7 DAYS, wherein they feed on unleavened bread; on every one of which days two bulls are killed, and one ram, and seven lambs."

GE: \#4064
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p193
New Testament Truth does not rely on traitor Jews or loyal Jews.

## Alex:

I am not convinced that PARASKEUE specifically means Friday. I will endeavor to research this further and get back to you. I have said before though that at the current time I am happy that it means a day of preparation before any Sabbath.
Which brings us to the next point, you listed earlier three holy days of convocation which are sabbath's none of which occurred in Passover week, except the weekly sabbath. So the question e have to ask is was 1st Day of ULB treated like a sabbath?

## Rubies:

There is only one "Sabbath day." The KJV has a lot to answer for with its misleading translation of the word "shabbathon"(H7677) as Sabbath instead of "sabbatism" or "day of solemn rest" in Leviticus $23: 24,39 \ldots$. The only word ever properly translated Sabbath is "shabbath," H7676. .... KJV's error. It only refers to 1 Tisri, 15 \& 22 Tisri, as "Sabbaths." But the various sabbatarian groups have expanded this false definition willy-nilly to include every holy day they choose.

## GE:

First, There's nothing wrong with the English use of "high day sabbath" instead of "great day sabbath". It's a matter of idiomatic difference; nothing else.

## Rubies:

Sabbath and the Day of Atonement are also designated a Miqra Qodesh and a Shabbath Shabbathon. But the reverse does not apply. Just because Trumpets, Tabernacles and Solemn Assembly are designated both a "shabbathon" and a "miqra qodesh," does not mean they are a SABBATH. 15 \& 21 Nisan, and Shavuot, are all a "miqra qodesh" but they are neither a "shabbathon" nor a "shabbath."

## GE:

" $\sim$ But the reverse does not apply $\sim$ "?? For what reason? Nonsense!
Of course because " $\sim$ Trumpets, Tabernacles and Solemn
Assembly~"are designated both a 'shabbathon' and a 'miqra qodesh' it means, that they are sabbaths! It means :Trumpets, Tabernacles and Solemn Assembly: are "sabbaths" by virtue of ONLY the fact that they are called 'shabbathon'. They being called 'miqra qodesh'-"convocational appointments", that, of course, does not make them "sabbaths"! But a 'shabbathon' means a "great day" or a "high day", is, a "sabbath". 'Shabbathon' applied to the Seventh Day Sabbath per se, also means it-the Seventh Day-is a 'sabbath'—THE, "Sabbath"-something I have not before taken proper cognisance of and which Rubies now has drawn my attention to. Now, Rubies wants me to return to my former ignorance!


#### Abstract

Alex: Rubies, I am not convinced that PARASKEUE specifically means Friday. I will endeavor to research this further and get back to you. I have said before though that at the current time I am happy that it means a day of preparation before any Sabbath. You tell me when you found anything more or better than what is found clear and comprehensively in CHRISTIAN, BIBLICAL, research!


## GE:

Meantime you again act the hypocrite, just waving what in this very thread has been provided --- here, htpr:/www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-d..

## Rubies:

Day of Preparation: "PARASKEUE" means, "Friday" in all cases, being the day that the Jews "prepared" for the weekly sabbath.

## GE:

'paraskeueh heh' used in the Tragedies of Herodotus; papyri inscriptions; Epiphanus; Aristotulus; Philo; Josephus, meaning, "strictly 'equipment' - 'eigentlich die Zurüstung'" [Bauer] as by Polyaenus 7, 21, 6 'tou deipnou'; 'polemou' 7, 27, 3 'preparation(s) for the feast'.
Re: Rubies, " $\sim$ "PARASKEUE " means, "Friday" in all cases $\sim "$ Scriptures disallowed! Don't mention
"Preparation-OF-THE-PASSOVER" John 19:14!
Exodus 35:24, "They brought offerings for all the works of the preparation (paraskeuehs) of the tabernacle."
Exodus 39:22, "As the LORD appointed Moses so did the children of Israel make all the preparations (paraskeuehn)."
Three Scriptures that agree " $\sim P A R A S K E U E \sim$ "means something which is not " $\sim$ "Friday" $\sim$ "in any case.
John 19:42-‘dia tehn paraskeuehn tohn Ioudaiohn'$\sim$ PARASKEUE $\sim$ "specifically indicates the LAST THREE HOURS on Friday having started, "by the time of the preparations of the Jews" for the Sabbath-"Due to the time of the preparations of the Jews having started they had Jesus laid down in the tomb because it was prepared and ready at hand."
$\sim P A R A S K E U E \sim$ "does not "~in all cases ... mean "Friday" $\sim "$. It's a freaking Friday LIE.

## Alex:

That's funny GE because the last time I said PARASKEUE was a day of preparation to mean not only the day before a Friday - you agreed with me !! Now you call me a hypocrite?
Here is a mirror.

## GE:

Yes, NOW, because you NOW have turned the blind eye to the confirmation I added to YOUR (previous) standpoint in post \#2571
" $\sim$ I am not convinced that PARASKEUE specifically means Friday. I will endeavor to research this further and get back to you. I have said before though that at the current time I am happy that it means a day of preparation before any Sabbath. $\sim$ " You pretend you knew not while you should know if you have read. But no, it's Eber's noise, so discard with it as said he never anything. And here you GO ON pretending not getting the message loud and clear.

## Steenberg:

OPSE means "toward" toward the first day...when Jesus was resurrected BY His Father late on Sabbath afternoon. Accept the truth and rest.

## GE:

All you two care about is WHERE EBER insulted us; where he misbehaves against us, NEVER MIND WHAT he has to say WITH SCRIPTURE to us.
Steenberg you got it right. Is it really you, Steenberg, saying?!

## Rubies:

OPSIAS : STRONG'S = afternoon; early evening; THAYER = between 3 p.m. \& 6 p.m.)

## GE:

BURN Strong's!! It's not worth for waste-paper.
'Opsia/s' is used fourteen times in the NT WITHOUT EXCEPTION meaning strictly, "evening" AFTER SUNSET. Refer, http://www.biblestudents.co.za/books/Book\ 1... pp 24f.

## Rubies:

Mark 15:42 : Since it was ALREADY EVENING (this is the correct translation of "EDE OPSIAS")

## GE:

Perfect!

## Rubies:

John 19:42 : on FRIDAY, which was still PARASKEUE, the Preparation $=$ PROSABBATON, the day before Sabbath, John 19:38,39 : Joseph and Nicodemus took Jesus' body down and laid it in a new tomb close by.

## GE:

O no! In God's Holy Name, I tell you, NO!
But will you hear?
No, you will SCORN!
Nevertheless I will tell you the truth as it is in Christ and as it is Scripture!
JOHN 19:31!!!!!... on FRIDAY, which was ... PARASKEUE, the
Preparation $=$ PROSABBATON Mark 15:42 Matthew 27:57, " $\sim$ the day before Sabbath,~" BEGINNING!!!!
NOT: " $\sim$ still $\sim$ ", but prospective,"since the Preparation had begun..." ['epei paraskeueh ehn', Ingressive Aorist of 'eimi']"...having already BEGUN EVENING"-['ehdeh opsias genomenehs'].
How dare you put " $\sim$ John 19:42 on Friday $\sim$ "as came it at the beginning of the day and not while " $\sim$ still_PARASKEUE, the Preparation $=$ PROSABBATON, the day before Sabbath $\sim$ " as though at its END!? It is FRAUD! John 19:31 Mark 15:42 Matthew 27:57 Luke 23:50 stand at the very BEGINNING of the Sixth Day of the week; and John 19:42 and Luke 23:54-56 stand at the beginning of the LAST THREE HOURS of the Sixth Day. .... began ending :"that day was the Preparation and the Sabbath MID-AFTERNOON WAS DRAWING NEAR" GOD IS WITNESS HERE.

Here you go with God or against God, and Christ, and the WORD of God. HERE! Where you are FACED with Jesus' "BONE-DAY" day of his BURIAL :"THE WHOLE-DAY" from where it BEGAN with "EVENING" up to where it began ending :"the Sabbath MID-AFTERNOON DRAWING NEAR" 'epephohsken sabbaton' Imperfect Indicative ONGOING ENDING until "the women the Sabbath according to the Commandment began to rest" 'ehsuchasan' Ingressive Aorist. How is it possible you ignore these BARE, WRITTEN, TRUTHS?
Because you prefer above God's Word any wisdom and traditions of Jews and Sabbath-hating Sunday worshippers.
BECAUSE YOU ARE ASHAMED TO SHOW THE SABBATH OF THE LORD GOD ITS DUE RESPECT BECAUSE YOU’RE ELEVATED FAR ABOVE SUCH STUPIDITY.

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122
\#2536 There are not 2 days -"High Sabbath" \&"Shabbat
Hagadol." They are one \&the same!

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p189
Correct. Why do you mention? Because you speak from both corners of your mouth.
$\sim$ High Sabbath $\sim "=" \sim$ Shabbat Hagadol $\sim "=$ "great day of sabbath" = "sabbath of great day" ... no diffs
-the passover's was Abib 15 and at Jesus' last passover Abib 15 was on Friday.
Goodness gracious what a mountain of a mole's heap!
That "~this day inevitably falls on Saturday $\sim$ " is the biggest bull-your, cowdust!
That " $\sim$ this day inevitably falls on...the 2nd Sabbath of the year $\sim$ " if possible is even more ridiculous.

But that " $\sim$ John 19:31 is unequivocal evidence for the year...of the crucifixion $\sim$ " is not funny; it is plain haughty groundless arrogance.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122

## Alex:

note erev pesach - Lord's Passover / no meat eaten and pesach 1st day of unleavened bread."

## Rubies:

I note you have mentioned this before, the idea that that there was no lamb eaten at the last Passover. I wonder where you get this idea? Surely not from scripture, for Matthew, Mark \& Luke all tell us that on the day the Passover must be sacrificed, the disciples "prepared the Passover," which necessarily means, they sacrificed their own Passover lamb.

## GE:

That's what she, says, she is wrong.

## Rubies:

Of course, there is no lamb eaten at Passover NOW, since 70 AD, but in Jesus' day, it was obligatory for all Israelites to eat the lamb. If they were unclean or absent on the first Passover in Nisan, then they were obliged to attend the second Passover in Iyyar. There was no provision for squeamish vegetarians! If you wanted the angel of death to pass over your house, you must kill the lamb by sunset on 14th Nisan and eat the lamb after sunset on the night of 15 th Nisan. Failing to eat the Passover lamb was a sin for which you would be cut off from Israel (Numbers 9:13).
I have also seen others claim that at the time of the Cross, there was only a single token lamb killed at Passover for the entire nation.

Then you have Reg's wacky idea that the priests spent several days prior to the Passover, slaughtering all the lambs for the feast themselves, when he writes, "Those lambs would have been dead for days."\#1278.
This of course is in direct denial of the Lord's command that the Passover lambs must ALL be sacrificed late afternoon on 14 Nisan, eaten on the night of 15 Nisan, and that NONE of the sacrifice was to be allowed to remain to the morning after it was killed.
There is also Gerhard's absurd theory that the Israelites hung about for an entire day to bury the remains of the lamb on the afternoon of the 15 th. See the strange heresies and private interpretations that proliferate when the simple meaning of the scripture is confused and disordered?

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190\#lastPost
You mentioned Reg's post.
You do not mention my post.
Now give my post or and quote it!
YOU CANNOT BECAUSE YOU'RE A LIAR.
My " $\sim$ theory $\sim$ "is not that " $\sim$ that the Israelites hung about for an entire day to bury the remains of the lamb on the afternoon of the 15th.~"
The SCRIPTURES state that the Israelites after they the night before, before midnight, had eaten the first "unleavened bread with the flesh", "next morning burned with fire that which remained" of the sacrifice. Exodus 12:8,9.
Then, "THAT SELFSAME WHOLE DAY BONE-DAY", "~the Israelites~"" with all their might" moved from Rameses to Succot where they before sunset "baked unleavened cakes" THEN MOVED ON and "brought (it) forth out of Egypt ... because they were THRUST OUT of Egypt"-by God! Exodus 12:37,39.

Nothing of "~hung about for an entire day to bury the remains of the lamb on the afternoon of the 15th~" which is the faked Rubies' grotesque LIE not against me, but against God's truth of Jesus' Christ's DAY OF BURIAL ON "BONE-DAY" " $\sim$ the $15 t h \sim "$. Exodus 12:51.
Shameless brainwashed parrot Rubies! My parrot has been taught to swear and fight by myself; YOU, have been taught TO LIE AND LIE DOWN like a lame pretending parrot yourself.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190\#lastPost

## Rubies:

Exo 12:6 "You shall keep it until the 14th day of this month, when the whole assembly of the congregation of Israel shall kill their lambs at twilight. ... 8 They shall eat the flesh that night, roasted on the fire; with unleavened bread and bitter herbs they shall eat it. ... 10 And you shall LET NONE OF IT REMAIN UNTIL THE MORNING; anything that remains until the morning you shall burn."
Exo 34:25 "You shall not offer the blood of my sacrifice with anything leavened, or let the sacrifice of the Feast of the Passover remain until the morning."
Is this "meatless Passover" some COG doctrine?
Josephus is our best source of info, and he tells us that at one of the final Passovers before the Temple was destroyed (which of course the Cross Passover was NOT), there were 256,500 lambs slaughtered to feed about 3 million Jews who had gathered in Jerusalem from all the countries to which they had been scattered.(Josephus, Wars of the Jews, Book 6 Chapter 9:3.) This was the means by which the Romans were able to kill so many of them, a ghastly irony indeed. Having rejected the Passover Lamb of God who takes away the sin of the world, the Jews were shut up in a siege of Jerusalem on the day the Passover was sacrificed, 14 Nisan, and about $1,300,000$ of them subsequently perished.

## GE:

Josephus may be YOUR "~best source of info~". I prefer not to consult him. I believe all his figures were inflated. He was on the enemy's side in any case. Like in my perception you are.

Reg:
Hello Gerard post 2469 you read Gods word to suit your theories the bible DOSENOT SAY you shall kill the Passover on the 14th DAY AT EVEN that is the END of the 14th when the sun had disappeared over the horizon and the 15 th day has begun the same LEV 23:27.....

## GE:

Was it the FIRST day in Genesis 1:5?
Was it the SECOND day in Genesis $1: 8$ ?
Was it the THIRD day in Genesis $1: 13$ ?
Was it the FOURTH day in Genesis $1: 19$ ?
Was it the FIFTH day in Genesis 1:23?
Was it the SIXTH day in Genesis $1: 31$ ?
Yes, it was. It was both early -'boqer' and late -'ereb' ON every day in those verses.
But Reg denies it and says it was after every of those days and ON the next!
Reg alleges it was 'on the second day' in Genesis 1:5;
Reg alleges it was 'on the third day' in Genesis 1:8;
Reg alleges it was 'on the fourth day' in Genesis $1: 13$;
Reg alleges it was 'on the fifth day' in Genesis 1:19;
Reg alleges it was 'on the sixth day' in Genesis 1:23;
Reg alleges it was 'on the seventh day' in Genesis 1:31.
HOW CAN REG CLAIM IT?
Reg claims Exodus 12:6 and 18 it says ::you shall kill the Passover
... AT EVEN that is ... when the sun had disappeared over the
horizon and the 15 th day has begun::
Reg does not see that he contradicts himself, saying, " $\sim$ you shall kill the Passover on the 14th DAY AT EVEN ... that is the END of the 14th $\ldots$ and the 15 th day has begun $\sim "$.
Reg makes this blunder BECAUSE he misinterprets the Hebrew word 'ereb', which means " $\sim$ AT EVEN ... that is the END of the $14^{\text {th }} \sim$ " of any day, and claims it means " $\sim$ when the sun had disappeared over the horizon $\ldots$ and the 15 th day has begun $\sim$ ". That Reg further claims " $\sim$ AT EVEN ... that is the END of the 14th is the same as LEV 23:27~"- which mentions the TENTH day of the month-, is so absurd it is beyond comment. How can the fourteenth or the fifteenth day of the month be same as the tenth day of the month?!
The truth is the Hebrew word 'ereb', means " $\sim A T E V E N . .$. that is the END of the $14 t h \sim$ "ON THE FOURTEENTH DAY ... not "~when the sun had disappeared over the horizon ... and the 15th day has begun~".
Reg, you are wrong. Face the fact and don't waste everyone's time, please.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p122

## Rubies:

There is only one time in the English translation of the NT where the term "high day" is found.
The word "DAY" is not in the Greek, but was added by the KJV.

## GE:

## BULL!

"The DAY -'heh hehmera'("the Preparation Day")—Subject-
"was"___ehn'__Predicate_
"of that sabbath"-'ekeinou tou sabbatou' Predicative Adjectival
Pronoun with bearing on and with reference to "THAT DAY"
which "WAS","The Preparation".
THERE IT STANDS WRITTEN, THE WORD "DAY" which you, faked rubies, claim, " $\sim$ is not in the Greek, but was added by the KJV~".
Wise guystess Rubies, INSTIGATOR of conceitedness, mean-mindedness, nastiness, sanctimoniousness, profaneness, anger, unforgivingness, accusatoriness, judgementalism, superciliousness, pride, vengeance, irrationality, un-lovingness, dishonesty, SELF-RIGHTEOUSNESS, HYPOCRISY, self-complacency, smugness and SPITEFULNESS.
All because you hate Sabbath-loving Christ-loving and worshipping CHRISTIANS.


#### Abstract

Alex: How can you say the Jews know less than the Wednesday crucifixionists know about Passover etc when you yourself advocate a FRIDAY crucifixion? This is placing yourself at the pinnacle of us all. Can you pease refrain from such egotistical comments. Both Jewmand Gentile need to accept Yeshua as their Machiach.


## Rubies:

I think you will find, trying to decipher GE's tangled posts, that he advocates a Thursday Cross, that they all went home leaving Jesus hanging on the cross all night, then came back the next day and conducted a full-blown procession and day-long fuenral. Seems it was quite some party. Surprising that there is nothing about it in the Bible, really.

Rubies:
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p122
\#2544

I think you will find, trying to decipher GE's tangled posts, that he advocates a Thursday Cross, that they all went home leaving Jesus hanging on the cross all night, then came back the next day and conducted a full-blown procession and day-long fuenral. Seems it was quite some party. Surprising that there is nothing about it in the Bible, really.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190
Oh shameless liar and libeller! But you preach to me? Abominable YOU!
" $\sim$ they all went home leaving Jesus hanging on the cross all night, then came back the next day $\sim$ ".
God not only hears; He also reads!
Your day is coming ....
" $\sim$ Surprising that there is nothing about it in the Bible, really. $\sim "$ Shows the sad state of your knowledge of both the Bible and my " $\sim$ posts $\sim$ "both of which clearly you have not read-no one can have read both and lie that much about both. If you have read my posts it would be as good as having read the Scriptures. My posts virtually always, contains virtually nothing than what is in the Bible, really.
Despicable nincompoop!

## Reg:

"the Jews also made it a rule to bury the dead the same day it would have been twilight when He was put in the tomb not fully buried that day
you think they would leave Him lay on the ground for 3 days the tomb was very close and they just wrapped cloth around Him until they could buried Him properly which they intended to do the next day after the passover Sabbath
on Thursday on Friday"

## Rubies:

Only the first line of your statement is true. Despite what Dr Borbarygamus says, burial on the day death ocurred was mandatory for ALL Jews, according to the command of the Lord.

## GE:

Not "~according to the command of the Lord $\sim$ "I beg your pardon.

## Rubies:

YES, Jesus WAS "fully buried that day." It was not "twilight" but sometime between 3 pm (the 9th hour) when Jesus died, and 6 pm (the 12th hour) when the sun set around the equinox in Jerusalem, that Jesus was buried.
The NT accounts of the burials of Jesus, Lazarus, Ananias and Sapphira tell us precisely how Jewish burials were conducted. Everything was completed on the same day of death, within the space of less than 3 hours.[Acts 5:6,7.]

## GE:

Answered long ago. You go on to put Jesus' burial on par with sinners' burials.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122

## Rubies:

Everything was completed on the same day of death, within the space of less than 3 hours.[Acts 5:6,7.]
In that stony land they could not dig a hole 6 feet deep, then put a coffin into it and cover it over as we do.

## GE:

Contradicting yourself .... You cannot even see it!

## Rubies:

They first washed the body, then wrapped it up in a linen shroud [Matt 27:59],
A separate cloth was placed over the head and face [John 20:7]. All was covered in many pounds of fragrant spices, then bound up in linen.[Luke 24:12; John 11:44; 20:5,6.]
The body was laid on a stone bench inside the tomb.[Mark 16:5; Luke 23:55; John 20:12.]
All tombs had numerous shelves that could hold many bodies while they were decaying.[Luke 23:53; John 19:41; John 20:12.] The entrance to the tomb was sealed up with a stone to prevent anything getting in or out.[Mark 15:46.]
Each body was left there for about a year until the soft tissues had decomposed. Then the dry bones were removed, and placed in a small stone or wooden box.[Exodus 13:9.] This was a way of saving precious land.

## GE:

Joseph buried Jesus' body is his new sepulchre hewn out of solid rock wherein no one was buried before.

## Rubies:

Also, unoccupied tombs were whitewashed every year before the Feasts, to let travellers know that they were available for sleeping in on their journey to Jerusalem.[Matthew 23:27.] Budget motels. So Jesus being "wrapped in cloth" and spices and laid on the bench in the tomb, WAS the complete burial. The women intended to bring extra spices to cover Him after the Sabbath - in addition to the 75 or 100 lbs that Nicodemus had already supplied. This was their offering to the Lord, much as we might place flowers on a
grave today. But Jesus HAD been "properly buried" and nobody intended to remove His body and put it in a hole in the ground.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190\#lastPost
Yes, " $\sim$ Everything was completed on the same day $\sim$ " everything from that Joseph appeared on the scene-the same day that as soon as it had started Joseph started to initiate undertaking to bury the body of Jesus until he three hours before the Sabbath had closed the grave. All within the confines of the single "Selfsame day BONE-Day-of-BURIAL! Not "~on the same day of death $\sim$ " but all on the one day after death.
Then you shade your story as if "~the complete burial $\sim$ " was conducted and "~everything was completed $\sim$ " inside the sepulchre! Which you attempt to do to support the false impression which you wish to create about the true events of "that day" the fifteenth day of the First Month.
You ascribe the Law's prescriptions for the fifteenth to the fourteenth; you see yourself doing it; yet you will not acknowledge it. And that is how the whole falsity of a one day crucifixion, death and burial, is concocted and maintained and has been popularised and promoted to worship status.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190
Man's burial is the utmost depth of his deserved humiliation.
Christ's Burial was the utmost depth of his deserved Exaltation. The first planes to the height of His Exaltation at the right hand of God in heavenly Glory of His Majesty scaled, were Christ's Burial and Interment. God not after Jesus died, forsook Him nor in death or grave, ceased vigil over his body. As Jesus was victorious in suffering of our death, so was God victorious in suffering of Jesus' death.
"For David speaketh concerning Him, I foresaw the LORD always before my face, for He is on my right hand, that I shall not be moved: ..."
Jesus foresaw He would not suffer the fate of the dead as Rubies described above that the flesh of the dead would corrupt away in their graves and that in time their bones would be disturbed and moved away. Jesus foresaw it would not happen with his body of flesh and bone. Jesus' day of burial would be the day his bones would be moved with his body-"his head with his legs-no bone of his broken or severed-with the purtenance thereof", "once for all", "without blemish", "without spot or wrinkle", to await his Resurrection from the dead and mortal and corruptible. Foresaw Christ, "I shall not be moved: Therefore did my heart rejoice ... also my FLESH shall rest in hope because Thou wilt not leave my soul in hell, neither wilt thou suffer Thine Holy One to see CORRUPTION."

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122
\#2537 ... the idea that that there was no lamb eaten at the last Passover. I wonder where you get this idea? Surely not from scripture, for Matthew, Mark \& Luke all tell us that on the day the Passover must be sacrificed, the disciples "prepared the Passover," which necessarily means, they sacrificed their own Passover lamb.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190
\#3997 What do you mean by " $\sim$ the last Passover $\sim$ "?
All post-Egypt passovers had TWO meals, the first, in the night first halve of the fourteenth day of the First Month a LEAVENED meal; and the second in the night first halve on the fifteenth day of the First Month an UNLEAVENED meal.
Source of information?

None other than God's Written Word The New Testament Gospel Story of Our Passover, the Son of God, Jesus Christ.
No good for faked Rubies.
If you mean by " $\sim$ the last Passover $\sim$ " the 'Last Supper', 'The Last Supper' was the first passover meal-JESUS' New Testament new, Christian, institution of "The Lord's Supper"-as you Rubies know but pretend was the first "~passover's meal~".
Here you are the best of Roman Catholic arguing for two passover's sacrifices, the first on the thirteenth day of the First Month and the next one on the fourteenth day of the First Month. ANATHEMA!
So you openly LIE again, telling us "~Matthew, Mark \& Luke all tell us that on the day the Passover must be sacrificed, the disciples "prepared the Passover," which necessarily means, they sacrificed their own Passover lamb. $\sim$ "

## RUBBISH!

Matthew, just like Mark just like Luke all tell us that on the day the Passover would like always had to be sacrificed-Imperative in Luke and Imperfect in Mark and Matthew, the disciples "prepared", "SO THAT"-Subjunctive or Future Subjunctivelythe passover could be eaten BY THE JEWS, after sunset that day. Which did not happen because

1) NO ONE COULD MOVE so thick was the darkness exactly the time of day everybody would have been busy with their passover drills under normal circumstances on the day they KILLED the passover.
And which did not happen because
2) of all the Supernatural, Predestined, Divine upsetting of all official temple and sacrificial services on That Day.
The Jews did not eat the passover in that first night of ULB because
3) Jesus was THE END OF THE LAW and the Fulfilment of all Types and Prophecies "concerning the Christ" IN HIMSELF.

The last passover of Jesus Christ the Lamb of God Our Passover was the Passover of Yahweh. Jesus had departed on his long journey to "a far land", "The Kingdom of God" - "The Kingdom of My Father", "to prepare a place for you"-from which He would after a short while "IN THREE DAYS", "ON THE SABBATH", come back again, and his disciples would be where He would be : "in the Kingdom of God’s Dear Son", "The Kingdom of LIGHT."
And they, and Jesus would "in the Kingdom of God again with you eat of the Bread, and again with you, drink of the Wine that He "GAVE THEM AND SAID, EAT, THIS IS MY BODY; AND DRINK, THIS IS MY BLOOD".
IN THIS Jesus fulfilled the Whole-Day BONE-DAY of the Passover of Yahweh, IN THAT He fulfilled BOTH the fourteenth day of the First Month and the fifteenth day of the First Month, IN ONE DAY-, IN "THE GREAT AND TERRIBLE DAY OF THE LORD"-, yea, indeed in that He fulfilled "THE GREAT AND TERRIBLE DAY OF THE LORD" : "IN THREE DAYS".
The "three days" of "three days and three nights" in their
"~God-given and therefore eschatological IMPERATIVE WHOLE AND WHOLENESS $\sim$ [*] fulfilled in the "APPOINTED", "GREAT AND TERRIBLE DAY OF THE LORD".
[* Lohmeyer]

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122
\#2549 Luk 24:34 saying, "The Lord has risen indeed, and has appeared to Simon!"

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190
\#3998 The too clever faked Rubies again!
Hahaha you think everything you LIE will pass for truth, you cunning witch! As I said before, God does not only listen and hear
you speak lies; He READS and pays ATTENTION to your lies. THIS lie of yours could not elude me; how do you think it is going to get past God? You act like a fearless unbeliever and atheist would.

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122
\#2549 Luk 24:36 As they were talking about these things, Jesus himself stood among them, and said to them, "Peace to you!" John tells us that this day was still the same day, the THIRD DAY, SUNDAY, in the evening (which is NOT "after sunset"): Joh 20:18 MARY MAGDALENE went and announced to the disciples, "I have seen the Lord"-and that he had said these things to her. 19 ON THE EVENING \{opsios\} of THAT DAY, the FIRST DAY of the week, the doors being locked where the disciples were for fear of the Jews, Jesus came and stood among them and said to them, "Peace be with you."

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190
\#3999 Now I can see why John wrote in Revelation 22:18, "For I testify unto every man that heareth the Words of the Prophecy of this book [concerning "the Everlasting Gospel" of Jesus Christ]: If any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that were written in this book : And if any man shall take away from the words of this book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part out of the Book of Life."
I have always wondered why. Now I can see why: It is because God is not a God of confusion. That is why God shall take people's names out of the Book of Life if they will take away or add to his written Word.
Just read, " $\sim$ Luk 24:36 As they were talking about these things, Jesus himself stood among them, and said to them, "Peace to you!" John tells us that this day was still the same day, the THIRD DAY,

SUNDAY, $\sim$ " If this were what " $\sim$ John tells $u s \sim "$, why did he not write it to his own generation?! Why did John not write anything near? Because he simply did not tell, or write, anything near, to anyone near or far.
Rubies is blatantly taunting God as He most definitely did make it clear what will happen to anyone who added to or took away from his Word!
Meantime all this brazen confusion is hailed for worship of God; but in reality it is open worship of Sunday.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190
A little Greek erudition may be appropriate ...
Quote Rubies:
" $\sim$ ON THE EVENING \{opsios\} $\}$
In Rubies' English we see a Dative Noun with Article rendering one 'Greek', 'word', " $\sim\{$ opsios $\} \sim "$
$\sim o p s i o s \sim "$ is a Greek word; but not a Noun-not " $\sim E V E N I N G \sim "$, not " $\sim$ THE EVENING $\sim$ ", not " $\sim$ ON THE EVENING $\sim "$.
Because " opsios $\sim$ " is not a Noun, but an Adverb.
From " $\sim$ opsios $\sim$ " comes the Adjective 'ópsimos', in James 5:7 "until he receives the early and the late rain"-'héohs lábehi próïmon kai ópsimon (huetós)-Singular Masculine Accusative.

Therefore, If "~John tells us that this day was still the same day, the THIRD DAY, SUNDAY, ... THAT DAY, the FIRST DAY of the week $\sim "$ (" $\sim d a y \sim "$ six times!), the " $\sim$ Greek word $\sim "$ would have been,
A) an Adjective not an Adverb, " $\sim$ opsios $\sim "$ and it would have been,
B) Feminine not Masculine " $\sim$ opsios $\sim$ " and it would have been,
C) Dative not Nominative " $\sim$ opsios $\sim$ ".

It would have been --- NOT Rubies’ contraption, " $\sim$ opsios $\sim$ "!

So Rubies again shows and proves she does not know what she is talking, never mind all the other irrelevancies she depends on and all the contradictions she creates through her anachronistic failed attempt at correlating Luke 24 and John 20.

## Reg:

Hello Gerard by your post you are saying you only believe parts of the bible that John 10:22-12:11 is out numbered 3 to 1 with the first 3 gospels when you can tell me you accept God's 10C of how we are to live in harmony with God and our fellow man you will know the truth and it will set you free to know it then you will be able to discuss truth and you will be led by the Holy Spirit your comments to others give you away Jesus NEVER SPOKE LIKE YOU brother if your aiming at eternal life read
MT 6:33 But seek ye first the kingdom of God, and his righteousness; and all these things shall be added unto you.

## GE:

Thanks for courtesy returned ...

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122
\#2549 OPSIOS means, "afternoon (early evening) or nightfall (later evening)." That is from Strong's dictionary, which YOU say is correct. NOT "after midnight" as you would have it by this time, Reg. YOUR crank theory Reg, says that MARY MAGDALENE met the risen Jesus after sunset on SATUIRDAY NIGHT. But the Word of God tells you that is a LIE.
Joh 20:1 Now ON THE FIRST DAY OF THE WEEK
MARY MAGDALENE came to the tomb early, $\{$ proi $\}$ while it was still dark, and saw that the stone had been taken away from the tomb. 2 So she ran and went to Simon Peter and the other disciple,
the one whom Jesus loved, and said to them, "They have taken the Lord out of the tomb, and we do not know where they have laid him."
"While it was STILL dark" means, as it was dawning after the night. NOT "as it was getting darker after daylight."

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190
You both lie. Rubies and Reg.
And you lie, Rubies, that " $\sim$ OPSIOS means, "afternoon (early evening) or nightfall (later evening) " in " $\sim$ Strong's dictionary $\sim "$ ! First quote Strong's verbatim, letter for letter here-'copied \& pasted'-, that we all can read Strong's and not Rubies' faked rubies.
This mess of yours is purposely so messed up that it should be unanswerable. You disqualified yourself as qualified for this conversation.
But there it stands written in John itself, the ONLY authority in this matter. And it does not stand written " $\sim\{O P S I O S\} \sim$ " like you Rubies - typed it here. John 19:20 wrote "Being then / therefore ‘o-p-s-i-a-s""-with an '-a-', not an " $\sim o \sim "$ ".
O yes, it makes a big difference! It makes the difference between 'afternoon' (or 'nightfall') - 'opsi-o-s' -_'late' in the day, and
"(the) evening"-'opsi-a-s' in John 19:20- 'early' in the night, -which is the same difference between
"it BEING EVENING with reference to that day the First Day of the week" in John 20:19, and

[^0]
## Rubies:

\#2549 Reg, you tell us that Mary Magdalene saw Jesus AFTER sunset on Saturday night, BEFORE the men walked to Emmaus. But the men travelling to Emmaus said the women had discovered the tomb empty and Jesus risen, EARLY THAT SAME MORNING, which according to you ought to be SATURDAY MORNING.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190
Yes, again, you as well as Reg are confused. Expert theologians and you still cannot understand the simplest biblical concepts, yet you imagine that you alone, of all the billions who ever lived, understand the chronology of Jesus Last Passover correctly. What is more, you both believe that your mess of lies is the saving Gospel. That means you are preaching "A DIFFERENT GOSPEL"-the traditions and commandments of men and inspiring devils.

## Rubies:

htpp//www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-dav-adventistTCMT00QAME27RFTT4/p122 \#2549
Joh 20:1 Now ON THE FIRST DAY OF THE WEEK MARY MAGDALENE came to the tomb early, \{proi\} while it was still dark, and saw that the stone had been taken away from the tomb. 2 So she ran and went to Simon Peter and the other disciple, the one whom Jesus loved, and said to them, "They have taken the Lord out of the tomb, and we do not know where they have laid him."
"While it was STILL dark" means, as it was dawning after the night. NOT "as it was getting darker after daylight." The word "early" is PROI, which means, "early in the morning; dawn; the daybreak watch." Put that in your Newberry KJV and smoke it.

GE:
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190
Rubies' first lie, here, "~Joh 20:1 Now ON THE FIRST DAY OF THE WEEK MARY MAGDALENE came to the tomb early, \{proi\} while it was still dark~".
The truth is, here, John 20:1, "Now on the First Day of the week Mary Magdalene comes EARLY OF DARK STILL
BEING"- 'prohï skotias eti ousehs'— not just "~early, \{proi\} $\sim "$ ". It is no truth if it is not the WHOLE truth.
Rubies’ second lie, here, " $\sim$ ON THE FIRST DAY ... early, \{proi\} while it was still dark~".
Truth is, here, "on the First Day being early of dark still"- not "~ON THE FIRST DAY early, \{proi\} ~", but "DARK / DARKNESS / NIGHT being early still".
Rubies’ third lie, here, "~early, \{proi\} while it was still dark ... as it was dawning after the night. $\sim$ "
Truth here, again is, "on the First Day being early dark still" ... as it was dusk before (the rest of) the night-IN FACT, " $\sim$ as it was getting darker after daylight $\sim$ ".
Rubies' fourth lie, here, is, "~early, \{proi\} while ... it was STILL dark~"
Truth here, again, is, "on the First Day BEING still early dark"-"STILL (the) early OF dark /".
Rubies' fifth lie, here, is, "~early, \{proi\} while it was STILL dark~" Truth here, again is, "on the First Day being still EARLY dark"-"being still (the) EARLY OF, dark"-"being still (the) early (evening) of the NIGHT / dark / darkness".
Rubies' sixth lie, here, is, " $\sim$ The word "early" is PROI, which means, "early in the morning; dawn; the daybreak watch." Put that in your Newberry KJV and smoke it. $\sim$ "
The truth here, is, it is NOT " $\sim$ the WORD "early" ... proi $\sim$ " here; it is the PHRASE, 'proï skotias eti ousehs'-"(the) early of dark
still being" ... which means just what it literally spells-, no twisting or cutting out or adding to just what it says-, which does NOT mean "~early in the morning; dawn; the daybreak watch $\sim$ ".

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2549
Reg, you tell us that Mary Magdalene saw Jesus AFTER sunset on Saturday night, BEFORE the men walked to Emmaus.
But the men travelling to Emmaus said the women had discovered the tomb empty and Jesus risen, EARLY THAT SAME
MORNING, which according to you ought to be SATURDAY MORNING.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190
\#4007 Thus do true chums in fraud stick together in their lying - their lying never is the same; the one's lying always gives away the lies and lying of the other and his own.
VERY EFFECTIVE spying and double-crossing Crossites' lies!
Rubies ALSO maintains that John 20:1 " $\sim$ tell(s) us that Mary Magdalene saw Jesus $\sim$ " -, only not " $\sim$ AFTER sunset on Saturday night ", but BEFORE sunrise " $\sim$ SATURDAY MORNING $\sim$ ". It's like the two thieves who shared their bottle of stolen sherry. Said the one to the other, You choose first which halve you want. I want the top halve, said his mate. That's fine with me, said the one, But now it's my turn to choose, and I choose to I drink first. Reg chose Mary saw Jesus the first halve of night while Rubies chose she saw Him the last halve of night.
But John chose Mary not at all saw Jesus the whole of night! Because John tells us that Mary saw the STONE cast away from the tomb "while still being early of dark (that) night"; not that she saw Jesus at all!

Then John again tells us that Mary saw Jesus while thinking she saw the gardener in the garden - which Mark tells us, was-, "early on the First Day of the week" at sunrise AFTER the whole of that night. CRAZINESS of the Crossites!

## Rubies:

hhtp://www.topix.com/forum/religion/severnt--day-adventistTTCMT00QAME27RETT4/p123 \#2556
I SHOWED YOU in Post \#2237, that the exact phrase which you imagine refers exclusively to "Bone Day," is either "ETSEM HA'YOM HA'ZEH" or "ETSEM YOM," and it is used EIGHTEEN TIMES, for many different days of the year, none of which is "Bone Day."

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190 \#4007
I, told you - and everybody everywhere else IN THE WHOLE WORLD at any time ever else, that "ETSEM YOM" is used "~EIGHTEEN TIMES $\sim$ ". You informed me, on NOTHING concerning the "bone-day" in the Scriptures, EVER!
Now what is it after all that you " $\sim S H O W E D \sim$ " me, if you showed me exactly what I showed you, that " " "ETSEM HA'YOM
HA'ZEH" or "ETSEM YOM" is used EIGHTEEN TIMES~"?!
Vanity! Vanity which you "~showed $\sim$ " me FALSELY claiming it
"~is used for many different days of the year, none of which is "Bone Day." $\sim$ "
" $\sim$... none of which is "Bone Day" $\sim$ " while _you_ write, it is
" $\sim$ Bone Day $\sim "-" \sim E T S E M \sim "-" \sim$ Bone $\sim ":$
" $\sim Y O M \sim "-" \sim D a y \sim "!?$

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2546
Luk 24:29 but they urged him strongly, saying, "Stay with us, for it is TOWARD EVENING and the DAY is now FAR

SPENT." So this was late afternoon, not yet sunset, several hours after MARY MAGDALENE went to the tomb EARLY THE SAME MORNING and found that Jesus had risen.

## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p190 \#4008
NO! "~MARY MAGDALENE (had gone) to the tomb EARLY THE SAME MORNING $\sim$ " when together with all the other women she " $\sim$ THE SAME MORNING $\sim$ " had gone to the grave with them and THEY " $\sim$ found $\sim$ ", not, that Jesus " $\sim$ had risen $\sim$ " [ [He would have had to appear to them the same morning the same visit the same time if they had "~found that Jesus had risen~".]] But all they " $\sim$ found $\sim$ ", was that "they found not the body". It does not say or mean they " $\sim$ found $\sim$ " with their own eyes " $\sim$ that Jesus had risen~" or 'had raised' or 'rose' whatever.
No; "But entering they found not the body of the Lord Jesus", which means they had come to the grave LOOKING FOR THE BODY. That all the women came to find the BODY, is obvious in that Luke says "they came to the sepulchre BRINGING WITH THEIR SPICES, PREPARED AND SURE" : TO USE IT on the body - "... but they found not the body." "Now as they were much perplexed by this (that they found not the body) - Ah indeed! THEN two men stood in front as it were over them in shining apparel! Terrified, they bowed down and covering their faces (in their hands); the men told them, He is not here but was raised."-of course, "was raised" BEFORE! In other words Jesus had been raised before "DEEPEST OF MORNING"- 'orthrou batheohs’ just after midnight! "He was raised" and had been risen BEFORE just after midnight! That's what the women "upset us" the disciples with as soon as they returned from the grave and told them.
Jesus therefore long BEFORE "just after midnight morning on the First Day of the week", already "late on the Sabbath mid-afternoon
before the First Day of the week", "was RAISED"-_'ehgértheh'. Luke 24:5.
And Jesus FROM "late on the Sabbath mid-afternoon before the First Day of the week" by, "just after midnight morning on the First Day of the week", long since already "was RISEN" ('anastás'). Mark 16:9.

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2551
Alex, re your post \#2261, as you requested. I agree with you about the misleading translation "on the first day of unleavened bread," which technically should read, "before unleavened bread." But also let us remember that in the vernacular, "Pesach" and "Azumon" had both come to be interchangeable terms referring to the entire 8 days from 14-21 Nisan.

## GE:

\# " $\sim$ The misleading $\sim$ " about " $\sim$ the misleading translation "on the first day of unleavened bread" $\sim$ " is not that it " $\sim$ technically should read, "before unleavened bread." $\sim$ " That is sheer faked Rubies nonsense. " $\sim$ The misleading $\sim$ " about " $\sim$ the misleading translation "on the first day of unleavened bread" $\sim "$, is that there are no words 'day', bread' or 'feast' as found in the KJV in Mark 14:12 and Matthew 26:17. [Like I explained in this discussion more than once before.]
The correct translation translates only what is written in these texts, and that is that it was "on the first day when they REMOVED LEAVEN AND KILLED the passover" --- which things were on and were one, and the same day the fourteenth day of the First Month when "they kept passover" which was "on the fourteenth day" per se Exodus 12:5 Leviticus 23:6 et al; as well as "on the fourteenth UNTIL the one and twentieth day of the (First) month." Exodus 12:18 et al. --- 'passover' per se Abib 14 plus
passover "seven days ULB" on Abib 15 until 21. Exodus 12:15,19 et al.
There is therefore no indication of, or reference to, or connection with, or provocation for, that it "~technically should read, "before unleavened bread." "~" Absolutely "~technically tripe~" to swallow before breakfast Grammar, brunch Syntax, longer Linguistic lunches, and before later and darker dinner and soiree Semantics.
\#4010
But HISTORICALLY, it is unambiguous and undeniable that these texts, Mark 14:12 Matthew 26:17 Luke 22:7 IN PASSOVER SEQUENCE fell "on the day BEFORE the Feast" John 13:1, "in the same night in which He was betrayed (and in which) He took bread." 1Corinthians 11:23.
Because these texts Mark 14:12 Matthew 26:17 Luke 22:7 John 13:1 1Corinthians 11:23 strictly-i.e., technically-i.e., stripped of bias, historically and numerically WERE, THE DAY "~"before unleavened bread"~" AND REFERRED TO IT.
\#4013 "The " $\sim$ Wave sheaf was reaped $\sim$ ", Leviticus 23:21,22 tells, when "Ye shall proclaim on the BONE-DAY ... WHEN YE REAP (that) thou shalt not make clean riddance of the corners of thy field". "Ye shall REAP the harvest, THEN ye shall BRING a sheaf of the firstfruits to the priest." "On that day on which you REAP the sheaf, on that day you shall BRING the sheaf." "And / then on the day after the sabbath"-Leviticus 23:10,11—"after the sabbath" the "sabbath day" after you shall have reaped and brought the wave sheaf / first sheaf on:- "the priest shall wave it (the first sheaf / the wave sheaf) before the LORD".
Now what day came before the passover sabbath?
The day the first sheaf was REAPED AND BROUGHT on! Was that not the day they killed the sacrifice? It was! "

## Rubies:

IT WAS NOT!!!
THERE IS NO 'BONE-DAY'!!!
You cannot read or understand or write plain English, and you have no idea what you are talking about.
The Wave-sheaf was reaped on the first day of the week, the day following the Sabbath which fell during the week of Unleavened Bread ... whether that Sabbath fell on the 15th, 16th, 17th, 18th, 19th, 20th or 21 st.

## GE:

I am so glad I am not a born and bred Englishman or American or Anglo-Saxon or whatever sort of Soutie but a BOER who can write 'proper English' only well enough to frustrate and anger and cause havoc and desperation among all SUNDAY
CRUCIFIXIONIST CROSSITES ! ---- it's their fault, not mine!

## Rubies:

At your perilously advanced age, you ought to remember the motto, "Never lose your dignity."

## GE:

\#4027 At my perilous advanced age, I have learned man has no dignity, what dignity to loose!
Madam, In Christ Jesus the Saviour of his own, I AM PERFECT and have ALL DIVINE DIGNITY, having been forgiven through God's grace every and all my inherited as well as cultivated INDIGNITY IN MYSELF.
Proud am I to say like Paul: I, am the chief of sinners.
So forget to try to improve me, please?
\#3960 Friday Oct 31 "---- an interesting development I see --Rubies conceded to a NIGHT undertaking by Joseph and

Nicodemus to bury the body of Jesus --- quite revolutionary and ALARMING!"

## Rubies:

WOE to those who put good for evil and evil for good. What a very pertinent proof you have given us, of your most appalling comprehension! I never wrote any such thing! I wrote that YOU use this falsehood to prop up your gimpy "BONE-DAY" heresy. WHAT I WROTE was this ... that NIcodemus was the man who FIRST CAME TO JESUS BY NIGHT, after teh FIRST
PASSOVER of His ministry ... and that JOSEPH \& NICODEMUS BURIED JESUS between 3pm \& 6pm on FRIDAY.
This is what I have ALWAYS maintained in crystal clear black \& white.
A LIAR is someone who deliberately tells falsehoods. This simply does not apply to me. If at any time you imagine that I have told a lie, then you are within your rights to respectfully query what I have said, but any accusation that I am a LIAR, is purely a vindictive fabrication on your part.
Gerhard, for a theologian, you make a passable plumber. Any chance of checking out my septic tank? You may be qualified to do that, but where scriptural comprehension is concerned, your brain is in the sewer.

## GE:

htw://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-dav-adventistTCMT00QAME27RFJTT/p191 \#4029
Excellent post, Rubies. Your best so far on TopixSDA for sure. Quite pithy I must say. Only you are yourself again even in this post, the lying deceiver with "~DELIBERATE FALSEHOODS~" you are.
Proof: Quote: "~NIcodemus was the man who FIRST CAME TO JESUS BY NIGHT~", Rubies part quoting John 19:39 WHILE

## INSIDIOUSLY FRAUDULENTLY COUPLING John 19:39 to

 John 7:50.In John 19:39 it stands written, "Nicodemus who came to him THE FIRST NIGHT" --- NOT IN John 7:50!
Does it say in John 7:50, 'Nicodemus who came to him THE
FIRST NIGHT'? No! So why say " $\sim$ NIcodemus was the man who FIRST CAME TO JESUS BY NIGHT~"?! It is not " $\sim$ Nicodemus the first $\sim$ " it is "the NIGHT the first"-literally!
In any case, was Nicodemus the first man who came to Jesus by night? What about the three wise men who came to Jesus by night the night He was born---in fact 'the first night' in his life? The word 'Jesus' is absent in the best and oldest and, in most, manuscripts. Remember Erasmus was a Roman Catholic who naturally would prefer any exceptional manuscript that gives Mary ---'Mary the mother of God'--- prominence regardless its age or quality or genuineness.
The word 'Jesus' is absent in original and authentic Greek. You can kick your heels mushy against the pricks --- just like you do with Jesus' passover Bone Day --- it won't help you or change the truth of the Scriptures. It was Joseph of Arimathea to whom "the first night" of seven no leavened bread was to be eaten, "Nicodemus also, came". I'll stake my reputation as a 'back-bush rhetorician' and 'sewer theologian' without par in all history of mankind on it. So did theologians and text-critics the greatest of all time and nations and tongues. I won't mention names because hearing them scares all witches devils and good theologians off like came judgment day.

## Rubies:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p122 \#2551
It was forbidden to kill the passover on one day and eat it at any other time than the evening immediately following sunset of that day.

Therefore the meal that Jesus ate with His disciples, MUST have been the Passover lamb.

## GE:

To eat is to assimilate corruptible with corruptible-to bury. Therefore " $\sim$ the evening immediately following sunset of that day $\sim$ " "they killed the passover on", was the day they buried the passover sacrifice which remained over on, in this case, "the body of Jesus" in full substance of flesh and "no bone of Him broken or severed".

## Rubies:

Indeed, the descriptions of the meal confirm that they followed the traditional procedure for eating the Passover lamb, gathered in a company of at least 10 people, drinking the 4 cups of wine, dipping the unleavened bread into the dish and sharing it, saying prayers and singing psalms etc. Alfred Edersheim and Joseph S. C. F. Frey both wrote comprehensively about this.

## GE:

Many other misapprehending and misinformed did.

## Rubies:

Also, "before the feast of the Passover" takes us right up to the time on the night of 15 Nisan as everyone was about to eat the Passover lamb, doesn't it?

## GE:

It sure does! Which makes your claim that the passover lamb was eaten at its beginning in the "evening" of the fourteenth day, null and void. Cant' you see, " $\sim$ right up to the night of 15
Nisan~"-right from, the night of the fourteenth!

## Rubies:

It was at this meal that Jesus, according to the other gospels, humbled Himself to the status of a servant and washed the disciples' feet - which is surely as loving a thing as could be imagined on such an occasion.
So John, the disciple who above all others, taught us of the loving heart of Jesus, does not contradict the synoptics here at all.

## GE:

hitp://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-advenistTTCMTOQQAME27REJT4/p192 \#4049
Yes, " $\sim$ It was at this meal $\sim$ " "on the first day they killed the passover ... when it was already evening and the Preparation Day" that Jesus according to the Gospel of John, "~humbled Himself to the status of a servant and washed the disciples' feet $\sim$ ".
101114 Gloria in excelsis Deo et in terra pax hominibus bonae voluntatis.
hitp://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMTOOQAME27RFJT4/p192 \#4038
There are so many discrepancies in this one post of Rubies they can fill books when exposed. It's wormwood from the first to the last words.
" $\sim$ It was forbidden to kill it on one day and eat it at any other time
than the evening immediately following sunset of that day. $\sim "$
"~kill it on one day ... eat it ... the evening ... of that day~"?!
" $\sim$ that day $\sim "$, Abib 14 or Abib 15?!
No.
Kill on Abib 14; eat it on Abib 15.
Kill 3 p.m. "mid-afternoon" on Abib 14'
But:
Eat in the "evening" / "night" on Abib 15.
Eat "the hour" "when having become evening already" on Abib 15.

Therefore

Kill 3 p.m. "on the first day the REMOVED leaven and killed the passover" on Abib 14. Mark 14:12,17 Matthew 26:17,20 Luke 22:7,9 John 13:1,30 1Corinthian 11:23. Before the meal-"the Lord's Supper" Jesus said to them, "My, hour is come." But
Eat "evening already having come" on Abib 15. Luke 23:50 Mark 15:42 Matthew 27:57 John 19:31,38,39. After the Lord's Supper Jesus said to them, "This is your, hour", and Luke said, "their day came".

At the first and last passovers "on the first day seven days ulb shall be eaten : WITH THE FLESH" : "the first night" : "this that BONE DAY : NIGHT" : "evening already having come" on Abib 15, "there came to him (Joseph) Nicodemus."

Therefore:
At the first and last passovers on Abib 14 "on the first DAY ye shall remove leaven" Exodus 12:15b.
At the first and last passovers on Abib 14, 3 p.m.: "Ye shall KILL the passover". Numbers 28:16.
"Ye shall kill it late"-'ereb' Exodus 12:6,15a
"Ye shall kill it mid-afternoon"-'behn-ha-arba-yim' Leviticus 23:5
At the first and last passovers on Abib 14, 3 p.m., "on the first day they removed leaven and killed 'Our' Passover", "late", "mid-afternoon", "the ninth hour"-Mark 14:12; 15:34 Matthew 26:17; 27:46 Luke 22:7; 23:44 John 13:1; 19:30 1Corinthian 15:3.

At the first passover "this day-the FOURTEENTH day-shall be to you a FEAST" Exodus 12:14 -EAT unleavened bread LATE" ['ereb’] Exodus 12:18.

But on all passovers after, "On the FIFTEENTH day of the month is the FEAST seven days ye must EAT unleavened bread the first day." Numbers 28:17a.
So from "the first day unleavened bread on the FIFTEENTH" in Leviticus 23:6,7, until "the one and twentieth day" in Exodus $12: 18 \mathrm{~b}$ are "seven days unleavened bread", was eaten. It was eaten "late"-_'ereb' in the OT = "evening"-_'opsia' in the NT. Numbers 28:17b.

At in between passovers on Abib 14, 3 p.m., they KILLED the passover, "late"-_'ereb' Deuteronomy 16:6 Numbers 9:3,5.
But AFTER the exodus:
"ye shall EAT late
And after the exodus:
EAT it on Abib 15 "the hour" "when having become evening already".
Rubies therefore speaks against her own better knowing and conscience, having posted, " $\sim$ Therefore the meal that Jesus ate with His disciples, MUST have been the Passover lamb. $\sim$ "
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/T4UE2RGPMV7P95GAJ \#1
[QUOTE who="Kevin McMillen"] Luk 22:15
And he said unto them, With desire I have desired to eat this passover with you before I suffer:
Luk 22:16
For I say unto you, I will not any more eat thereof, until it be fulfilled in the kingdom of God.
For those of you who think the Passover is ceremonial, why does Jesus say he will eat it again "when it is fulfilled" in the Kingdom of God?
For those of you who think God's old law including the Passover is done away I ask again, why does Jesus say he will eat it again "when it is fulfilled" in the Kingdom of God?

It get's clearer and clearer every day that you guys don't know your bible.
You know proof texts but you don't know your bible.[/QUOTE]

## GE:

\#3
I don't know whom you are speaking to or who " $\sim$ you guys $\sim$ " are or who "~those of you who think God's old law including the Passover is done away~", except perhaps myself.
So I'll take the liberty to try answer your challenge.
First, may we start by CORRECTLY quoting Jesus.
Yes, you misquoted Him. If you realised what his actual words are and mean, you would not have asked or proposed your challenge. Luke $22: 16$, "For I say unto you, I will not any more eat thereof, until it be fulfilled in the kingdom of God."
"...not any more..."-'ouketi ou meh', a ‘Double (here, literally a triple,) Negation', is a peculiar Greek idiomatic way to negate emphatically.
Luke 22:16 therefore in other words will read, "For I say unto you, I certainly ['ouketi] by no means ['ouketi ou meh'] will eat it, until it be fulfilled in the kingdom of God." You will note the Greek does not say "~until it is fulfilled $\sim$ ", but "until it be fulfilled". Yes, the KJV-"until it be fulfilled", is correct, and Marshall-" $\sim$ until it is fulfilled $\sim$ ", is wrong. "Until it be fulfilled"-Aorist Subjunctive : "so that it fulfilled, may be". This is also a way to emphasize-"so that it fulfilled shall, be".
"Until"-'heohs hotou' : 'utterly' / 'completely' / 'to the end'.
"I will not : eat"-'phagoh', also an Aorist Subjunctive Emphatic!
Why "~does Jesus say he will eat it again $\sim$ "?
No. Jesus does not say that. He says just the opposite.
These things all put together, and Luke 22:16 reads,
"For I say unto you, I certainly by no means will eat it, to the end in the kingdom of God it utterly fulfilled, shall be."
It means just one thing, Jesus tells his disciples HE, is the fulfilment of that prophetic passover the Passover of Yahweh. "You, take and eat; it is my, body; You, drink; it is my, blood." There and then Jesus entered into his "Father's Kingdom", and walked straight into the hell of the "Kingdom of God's" battlefield where "all that night" He "solemnly observed the Passover-Suffering, of the LORD".
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p124
GE: \#2579
... so that nobody can get me wrong ...
Here you go with God or against God, and Christ, and the WORD of God. HERE! Where you are FACED with Jesus'"BONE-DAY" day of his BURIAL:"THE WHOLE-DAY" from where it BEGAN with "EVENING" up to where it began ending :"AND that day was the Preparation and the Sabbath MID-AFTERNOON WAS DRAWING NEAR", 'epephohsken sabbaton', Imperfect Indicative ONGOING ENDING until "the women the Sabbath according to the Commandment began to rest"'ehsuchasan’ Ingressive Aorist.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p125

## Rubies:

Therefore you take it upon your own bad self to divide the verses so that they run out of order thus :
" $11,12,14,13,15,16 . "$
When you quote them, you add your own interpretations to the Word of God.(Big penalty for that Gerhard!)

GE: \#2601
I $\sim$ added $\sim$ nothing that essentially is not contained within these verses. I re-arranged or re-positioned the different factors, facts and facets as in their literal Hebrew content into a more suitable English arrangement of word - and logical ORDER. I re-arranged or re-positioned or re-phrased.

## Rubies:

So why not admit that YOU ARE WAY OUT OF YOUR DEPTH, and MAKING A FOOL OF YOURSELF with your one-man campaign against the true rendering of "etsem yom," which means,"THAT VERY DAY"?

GE: \#2604
So, if "etsem yom" means,"THAT VERY DAY", then I the one man with the courage of his conviction by the grace of God committed myself to add to "etsem yom," which means,"THAT VERY DAY", its most recent DISCOVERED LITERAL AND FULL AND SPECIFIC MEANING of "etsem yom,""that very selfsame WHOLE-DAY-BONE-DAY" OF THE BURIAL OF THE PASSOVER OF YAHWEH.
Now I can tell you, specifically, faked Rubies, I have given my testimony and witness to the truth and have done.

> Alex: \#2606
> GE I have extended enough grace with you and deflected your insults numerous times.
> NO MORE. I am fed up with your behaviour both towards myself
and to other people.
Rubies I propose we convene in another forum not even on Topix. Can you create a temporary email address which can be used for communication initially to send each other a main secondary email? Then post the temp email on here. Then once we agree on a method of exchange then we delete the temporary email. Thoughts? Your ISP should allow up to 6 or more email addresses or alaises or create a Gmail for the initially temporary one. Regards
Alex

GE: \#2607
Cheers. I wish you all the bad luck. Featherweights ....
-----
Next!

Rubies: \#2624 http:/www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p126
Alex, that is a civilised idea!
Or, something I have tried to do but which has so far failed - we could both register ID's here and exchange info per P.M. Then progress to email if necessary. My username currently claims to be taken already but it doesn't show on the page as used. This is certainly not the easiest forum to communicate on. I will let you know if I can wangle something.

## Reg: \#2609

Hello Gerard and Rubie you nor anyone else can get anything to disprove unless you can show me how you believe the same 9 days texts agree, it is usless for me to answer you
John 12:1 Then Jesus six days before the passover came to Bethany, where Lazarus was which had been dead, whom he raised from the dead.
6 days before passover Friday to Wednesday 14th preparation John

19:14
EX 12:3 Speak ye unto all the congregation of Israel, saying, In the tenth day of this month they shall take to them every man a lamb, according to the house of their fathers, a lamb for an house:
The 10 to
EX 12:6 And ye shall keep it up until the fourteenth day of the same month: and the whole assembly of the congregation of Israel shall kill it in the evening.
Is the evening of the 15 th Passover which takes place at Midnight Ex 12:29
MK 14:1 After two days was the feast of the passover, and of unleavened bread: and the chief priests and the scribes sought how they might take him by craft, and put him to death.
MK 14:2 But they said, Not on the feast day, lest there be an uproar of the people.
Tuesday to evening of 15 th
MT 12:40 For as Jonas was three days and three nights in the whale's belly; so shall the Son of man be three days and three nights in the heart of the earth.
Evening of 15th Thursday to Sabbath afternoon Not 72 Hr . probably closer to 68 hrs
Luke 23:50-54 the Passover Sabbath Saturday had already begun Mat 27:57, Mark 15:42 twilight sun had set 15th had begun Friday John 12:1 Wednesday 15th I Preparation I 6 days Preparation
Sabbath to Thursday 15th Passover I I 6 days
Tuesday 13th to Thursday I 15 the passover I 2 days
Thursday 15th to Sabbath afternoon 17th resurrection 3 days
John 12:1 9th day Friday 6 days to Wednesday preparation 14th Ex 12:3 10th day Sabbath 6 days to Thursday passover 15th
Mark 14:1,2 13th day Tuesday 2 days to passover 15th
Mat 12:40 15th eve of Thursday 3 days to Sabbath afternoon resurrection 17th show me how all these texts fit what you present
you cannot produce them
PRESENT THESE SAME TEXTS PLEASE
 Reg, I have shown you, over and over. Nobody has been more conscientious and methodical than me in responding to your posts with many texts and ogical progressions. But you just keep telling me you are not even ging to read them, and that it is a waste of your time to reply. You have never properly discussed anyone else's point of view. You just keep pasting your stock standard replies dozens of times, and it is so boring. Why not actually go back and READ some of the posts I have carefully composed specially for you? I learned a lot doing them. I am cetain that youwould learn something if you would actually read them and get another perspective instead of that blinkered view you are locked into. Such as "WHAT MARY MAGDALENE DID \& WHEN SHE DID IT," and "A VERSE FOR EVERY DAY FOR 9 DAYS." etc etc etc.

## Reg: \#2610

Those of you who are interested in the truth about the passover it is absolutely impossible to get a Friday Crucifixion
These 6 texts have to be used
John 121 Friday 9th 6days before Passover Wednesday
John 19:14 preparation to passover Wednesday
Ex 12:3 Sabbath 10th Abid 6 days to Passover Thursday
Mark 14:1,2 Tuesday 13th 2 days to passover'
Mat 12:40 Eve of Thursday 3 days to Sabbath resurrection
DAN 9:27 And he shall confirm the covenant with many for one week: and in the midst of the week he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease, and for the overspreading of abominations he shall make it desolate, even until the and it did happen in the middle of the week consummation, and that determined shall be
poured upon the desolate.
Use my texts and try and prove me wrong

Rubies: \#2627 http:/www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p126
These stmetnts are not true, Reg. You twist scriptures around and use false translations of Greek \& Hebrew words, also false definitions of simple concepts like "14th of the frst motnh $=$ the 15th."
So your claims are all invalidated. You do not operating on the same basis as anyone else. We cannot all redefine the parameters just to suit your theories. You are deceiving yourself when you say nobody else can prove anything. It is just that you refuse to listen to common sense!

## Reg: \#2611

Hello Alex Gerard's post from 2486 - 2488 are ridicules hardly a text only Gerard's belief Christ in Ex 12 of NT did not die on the 14th but the beginning of the 15th the first day of unleavened bread did not begin until after the Angle of Death had come at midnight Ex 12:29 and Pharaoh told Moses to go before day light Verse 30 feast of unleavened bread began at sunrise on 15th the same day they left Egypt

## Reg: \#2612

Gerard cannot get his rendering of the Passover to synchronize making the 14th the day Jesus died is against Gods word the passover is only 7 days EX 12:18 In the first month, on the fourteenth day of the month at even, ye shall eat unleavened bread, until the one and twentieth day of the month at even.
And the lamb was killed between the 2 evenings or as some bibles put it at twilight Christ said Himself that day is 12 hr that is half the time of a rotation of the Earth so even or night can be no longer
then 12 hr make even begin at 3 pm and evening is 15 hr a day would have to be 9 hrs . it would add up to 24 hr but 4 verses can settle all this confusion
John 121 is Friday +6 days Wednesday 14th
Ex 12:3 Sabbath to 14th day at even 6 days is Thursday Passover
Mark 14:1,2 Tuesday 2 days to Thursday
Mat 12:40 eve of Thursday to Sabbath afternoon 3 days and 3 nights

## Reg: \# 2617

Hello Gerard Your post 2583 you say the body should hang on the tree until after sunrise---DEUT 21:22 And if a man have committed a sin worthy of death, and he be to be put to death, and thou hang him on a tree:
DEUT 21:23 His body shall not remain all night upon the tree, but thou shalt in any wise bury him that day; (for he that is hanged is accursed of God;) that thy land be not defiled, which the LORD thy God giveth thee for an inheritance
my bible says NO 1 remaining on the tree---- JOSH 8:29 And the king of Ai he hanged on a tree until eventide: and as soon as the sun was down, Joshua commanded that they should take his carcass down from the tree, and cast it at the entering of the gate of the city, and raise thereon a great heap of stones, that remaineth unto this day.
JOSH 10:26 And afterward Joshua smote them, and slew them, and hanged them on five trees: and they were hanging upon the trees until the evening.
JOSH 10:27 And it came to pass at the time of the going down of the sun, that Joshua commanded, and they took them down off the trees, and cast them into the cave wherein they had been hid, and laid great stones in the cave's mouth, which remain until this very day.
The last passover had to be exactly the same as the first, the lamb
was killed at twilight when the Israelites came home from work Sun had disappeared over the horizon between the two evenings Mark 1:32, Job 3:9
Your 3pm evening is your own time not God's no one could miss when evening began because it was only 12 hrs long and began exactly when Gods Clock the sun disappeared in
EX 5:5 And Pharaoh said, Behold, the people of the land now are many, and ye make them rest from their burdens.
EX 5:8 And the tale of the bricks, which they did make heretofore, ye shall lay upon them; ye shall not diminish ought thereof: for they be idle; therefore they cry, saying, Let us go and sacrifice to our God.(Moses who was a Sabbath keeper probably from the time he met his wife ) tried to get the Sabbath free for the Israelites to worship on that day
Now you tell us how the Israelites got home from work just after midday to kill the lamb at 3pm in the afternoon Gerard you are the one who is lost in the Passover you have a 15 hr evening and a 9 hr day how does that work? Like your Bone dry day and 3 days from Friday with the full day for the burial put it in Chronological order short more texts then words
PLEASE let us see your proof instead of many words
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p126
Alex:
Hi Rubies, I will answer within the text as there are a few questions.
Having the right tools is definitely the best way to go! I haven't delved into the astronomical cycle much though. Tell me does this software account for Joshua's sun stood still day or Isaiah's sun shadow moved back 10 hours?

Rubies: \#2622

HI Alex, I donwloaded Kaluach years ago andhave been running it ever since. I have lost track with Facebook so I wouldn't know what to do there. But here is a link to try downloading it. [Cut] PLSV from [Cut] is great fun and easy to use. It will teach you a lot as you play with it. No, I don't believe it takes Joshua's Long Day into account.
And as for Hezekiah's shadow, I am working on the assumption that this was a solar eclipse which altered the apparent "sunset" time to where the sahdow disappeared so many "steps" earlier than it normally would at sunset. Then when the sun shone again, the sun's shadow appeared to have "gone back" those so many steps, before setting at the regular place on the (?) stairway later in the day. You can bring up interactive eclipse pics for any date on this program, and test your theories.

## Bill:

The wct is is the product of wild speculation. it cannot be either proven or disproven as such.

## Rubies: \#2625

Well I believe that numerous claimed dates can at the very least be DISproved, according to various lines of evidence for Christ's age, historical events, and the use of the first visibility of the moon in the month of Nisan around the possible years of the Cross. That is at least, for someone who does not put the bible through the shredder before selecting which texts to believe.
This leaves a shortlist of likely candidates.

## Reg: \#2628

Hello Gerard this is Ex 12: 29-31 EX 12:29 And it came to pass, that at midnight the LORD smote all the firstborn in the land of Egypt, from the firstborn of Pharaoh that sat on his throne unto the firstborn of the captive that was in thedungeon; and all the
firstborn of cattle.
EX 12:30 And Pharaoh rose up in the night, he, and all his servants, and all the Egyptians; and there was a great cry in Egypt; for there was not a house where there was not one dead. EX 12:31 And he called for Moses and Aaron by night, and said, Rise up, and get you forth from among my people, both ye and the children of Israel; and go, serve the LORD, as ye have said.
You don't believe everything in the bible you said that is why you left the SDA Church
I would say back in Egyptian time they would have had midday mid-afternoon and midnight BACK IN Egypt 1200 years before Rome existed
How do you get Roman time out of midnight?

## GE:

O my o my .... You, Reg, said it!

Jim: \#2630
The Wednesday Crucifixion Theory, as I recall, was preached very strongly by Herbert Armstrong. Given the fact that the WORLD TOMORROW program was very popular at one time, I have no doubt that many Adventists picked it up from television.
The problem with the theory is that the gospels actually say that the Crucifixion was on Friday. So there is a contradiction between "three days and three nights" and one day and two nights.
\#2660
A simple explanation:

1. Adventists keep the Sabbath.
2. Herbert Armstrong and Garner Ted Armstrong kept the Sabbath.
3. Herbert and Garner ted were on TV for many years.
4. herbert and garner ted taught the Wednesday crucifixion theory.
5. Some Adventists believed them.

Reg: \#2642
you are right Armstrong did present it but there was a lot he did not know
My mother as an SDA tried to prove him wrong and she couldn't then I tried toprove her wrong I have grandchildren 20 years your senior and it was in the early 50s that I became involved look at the posts I have put on the last 2 pages and the last days of Jesus life from John 12:1 to Mat 28:1 it is impossible to fulfil Christ our Lord and Saviours Prophecy in---MT 12:40 For as Jonas was three days and three nights in the whale's belly; so shall the Son of man be three days and three nights in the heart of the earth.---on a Friday Crucifixion you can only get 1 night and 1 day in the Grave---MT 27:57 When the even was come, there came a rich man of Arimathaea, named Joseph, who also himself was Jesus' disciple:--- was after sunset so you cannot get Friday as day 1 and the resurrection was on Sabbath and you can't get Sunday who is right you or the Lord Jesus who said 3 days and 3 nights it was long before TV

Alex: \#2631
Hi JM, well I'm not SDA or CoG but I do believe at this time that a WCT is sound. Where do the Gospels say Jesus was crucified on a Friday?

GE: \#2634
Dear Alex, please understand, that is not the point.
The point is, All four Gospels unanimously and incontrovertibly say Joseph BURIED Jesus on a Friday. ---'Friday' the Sixth Day of the week "The Preparation which is the Fore-Sabbath".
\#2638
The real problem, Jim, is, the Gospels actually do NOT say that the Crucifixion was on Friday.
The Gospels actually say, the BURIAL was ~on Friday~ ...

HERE:
Mark 15:42 to before Mark 16:1;
Matthew 27:57 to Matthew 28:1-4;
John 19:31 to John 19:42;
Luke 23:50 to Luke 23:56a.
Reg: \#2640
where does the Gospels actually say the burial was on Friday
Mistake (1) Jesus said--- MT 12:40 For as Jonas was three days and three nights in the whale's belly; so shall the Son of man be three days and three nights in the heart of the earth.
Gerard said
Died Friday ----------1 day
Sabbath euening----------1 evening
Sabbath ----------2 days
Gerard has posted many times the sabbath resurrection so Jesus was in the tomb 1 evening and 2 days or 1 day and $2 / 12$ ths of a day your words Gerard the fact is this
John 12:1 9th Friday 6 days ----------is Wednesday 14th
Ex 12:3 10th Sabbath 6 days ----------to Passover Thursday
DAN 9:27 And he shall confirm the covenant with many for one week: and in the midst of the week he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease, and for the overspreading of abominations he shall make it desolate, even until the consummation, and that determined shall be poured upon the desolate.
Double application Prophetic Last week and between Sunday and Sabbath Wednesday on the cross
\#2641
There are 3 sabbaths or Holy Convocations in the 7 days of the passover the 15 th the 7th day Sabbath and the 21 st so in NT times they called passover Sabbath and as my last post shows it was from sunset Wednesday preparation day John 19:14 to sunset Thursday on that sabbath---LK 23:54 And that day was the preparation, and the sabbath drew on.---
the Jews went to Pilot to have a watch put on the tomb Mat 27:65,66 on Friday
LK 23:56 And they returned, and prepared spices and ointments; and rested the sabbath day according to the commandment. MK 16:1 And when the sabbath was past, Mary Magdalene, and Mary the mother of James, and Salome, had bought sweet spices, that they might come and anoint him.
The women bought spices and prepared them and the women who came to the tomb at daylight---MK 16:2 And very early in the morning the first day of the week, they came unto the sepulchre at the rising of the sun.---(not Mary Magdalene)on Sunday morning took the spice to finish the burial which began on Thursday evening when Jesus was put in the tomb after sunset (eve of Thursday) Mat 27:57, Mark 15:42, Like 23:50, John 19:31, \#2632
just read these texts they show that the lamb was killed and eaten on the 15 th
EX 12:21 Then Moses called for all the elders of Israel, and said unto them, Draw out and take you a lamb according to your families, and kill the passover.
EX 12:22 And ye shall take a bunch of hyssop, and dip it in the blood that is in the basin, and strike the lintel and the two side posts with the blood that is in the basin; and none of you shall go out at the door of his house until the morning. EX 12:23 For the LORD will pass through to smite the Egyptians; and when he seeth the blood upon the lintel, and on the two side posts, the LORD will pass over the door, and will not suffer the destroyer to come in unto your houses to smite you.
EX 12:24 And ye shall observe this thing for an ordinance to thee and to thy sons for ever.
The end of V 22 AND NONE of you shall go out of THE DOOR of his HOUSE until MORNING
And V 24 says the last Passover would have to be the SAME

Gerard you have built your knowledge of the passover with cards and there foundation has collapsed
God's word is true and to be sure we have it we have to obey the rules an accept it all
The men that translated the bibles into English like KJV and others were not just one man's opinions but many
Your quotes of the Greek is your translation many died to have a bible in their own language
I trust there leading by the Holy Spirit you reject that leading John 14:15

GE: \#2633
Reg, I want to point out two things to you with reference to THIS post.
One:
You accuse me of " $\sim$ quotes of the Greek $\sim$ " that are my
"~translation $\sim$ ".
Which $\sim$ quotes $\sim$, Reg?
And which $\sim$ Greek quotes $\sim$ of mine from the HEBREW texts
which you, quoted, Reg?

## WHICH?

Two:
YOU write: " $\sim$ these texts they show that the lamb was killed and eaten on the 15 th $\sim$ ".
Which ~texts $\sim$, Reg?
Reg, There are TWO texts in Exodus 12 and they both show that the lamb was killed and eaten on ... "THE FOURTEENTH". They are: Exodus 12:6,8 and 12:18.

Reg: \#2639
Gerard wants the text that the lamb was killed and eaten the same day 15 th, I have posted the text dozens of times.
I am about at the stage that bible study this way is useless it has to
be at the table one on one with the bible only so that all answers come from there now I will answer his demand with a bible text same one as I always use
LEV 23:27 Also on the tenth day of this seventh month there shall be a day of atonement: it shall be an holy convocation unto you; and ye shall afflict your souls, and offer an offering made by fire unto the LORD.
LEV 23:32 It shall be unto you a sabbath of rest, and ye shall afflict your souls: in the ninth day of the month at even, from even unto even, shall ye celebrate your sabbath.
IF THE 9th THE SAME DAY AT EVEN is the beginning of THE 10 TH day then the 14 th day at EVENING is the 15 th the Israelites killed the lamb just after they arrived home from work at dusk some bible saying twilight which is between sunset and dark Re post 2633 Gerard dose not represent the Holy Spirit
1JN 2:3 And hereby we do know that we know him, if we keep his commandments.
1JN 2:4 He that saith, I know him, and keepeth not his commandments, is a liar, and the truth is not in him.
$1 \mathrm{JN} 2: 5$ But whoso keepeth his word, in him verily is the love of God perfected: hereby know we that we are in him.
$1 \mathrm{JN} 2: 6 \mathrm{He}$ that saith he abideth in him ought himself also so to walk, even as he walked.
God said don't believe Him
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p127
Reg: \#2644
Gerard asked me for proof that the lamb was killed on the 15th as answer to his question in post 2841with
LEV 23:27 Also on the tenth day of this seventh month there shall
be a day of atonement: it shall be an holy convocation unto you; and ye shall afflict your souls, and offer an offering made by fire unto the LORD.
LEV 23:32 It shall be unto you a sabbath of rest, and ye shall afflict your souls: in the ninth day of the month at even, from even unto even, shall ye celebrate your sabbath.
Also the time the Jews got home after their forced work for Pharaoh there is something I did not show him DAY AT EVEN he says this is still the 14th if it was the evening of the 14th the son had just disappeared over the horizon at the end of the 13th evening is only 12 hr long like day
JN 11:9 Jesus answered, Are there not twelve hours in the day? If any man walk in the day, he stumbleth not, because he seeth the light of this world.
It takes earth 24 hours for one rotation Gerard says evening begins around 3pm that's afternoon and it would make evening 15 hr instead of 12 ...

Rubies: \#2664 hitp://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMTOOQAME27REJTT/pl128 Reg, You keep writing stuff like this. But you are wrong. That is not in the bible. It is a mess of your many false assumptions. To be strictly correct, there are 12 hours between the Bible's sunrise and sunset (which includes the morning, noon, afternoon and early evening). This is because no matter how much actual time of sunshine there was in a day, the Jews divided it equally into 12 "hours." A winter hour was much shorter than a summer hour. Yes, Jesus said "There are 12 hours in a DAY."
But He never said there were 12 hours in a NIGHT, did He ? He did not say "There are 24 hours in a day and night."
That is a Roman convention, not a Jewish one.
The only way they could divide a day into 12 equal segments, was to use a sundial which obviously did not work at night. Instead, they divided the night into FOUR WATCHES.

Luk 12:38 If he comes in the 2 nd watch, or in the 3 rd , and finds them awake, blessed are those servants!
Mat 14:25 And in the 4th watch of the night he came to them, walking on the sea.
Reg, You have been asked many times already, to explain your absurd idea, since Jesus died at the 9th hour ... HOW COULD THIS BE SUNSET!
You keep telling us "The bible explains itself," and you KNOW there are 12 hours in a day $\ldots$ yet you perpetually contradict yourself by saying that sunset is the NINTH hour!
How is this possible? Everybody but you, understands that sunset is the TWELFTH hour.
But you are so all-fired determined to protect your fable that "Jesus had to still be alive at sunset," that you keep repeating this other lie, that the NINTH hour was sunset.

## YOU HAVE LOST 3 HOURS! YOU HAVE 9 HOURS IN THE DAY, and 12 HOURS IN THE NIGHT. TELL US ... WHERE DID THEY GO?

Put up or shut up, once and for all, Reg!

## Rubies: \#2669

Reg, You are blind to how illogical your whacko "challenges" are.
You avoid answering any of the responses made to you.
You have never answered a single one of my requests to PROVE your false claims.
You sssslither right by every one of my diligently researched and carefully composed replies to you. You just continue cut-and-pasting your illogical stock arguments which prove nothing at all and are irrelevant to anyone else's comments. Man up and ANSWER me for a change! YOU are the one who is publicly "challenging" ANYONE IN THE WORLD to PROVE you are wrong. Dozens of times you have made this challenge, yet you pike out of keeping your end of the bargain!

I have proved you wrong, WITH SCRIPTURE as you demand, hundreds of times. But you ignore every proof! You even twist the Word of God to deny the concrete evidence against you. That is blasphemy, Reg. So much for you being "led by the Holy Spirit." I have posted this next proof many times before already. WAKE UP AND DEAL WITH THIS REG!!! It is not me who claims that believing your drivel means the difference between salvation and hell-fire, but YOU. So YOU are honour-bound to prove your preposterous claims. Do not ignore this! And do NOT post more of your nauseating regurgitated processed cheese blurb.
Actually STUDY the scriptures again, humbly asking the Lord to open your blind eyes to see where you have been WRONG for 60 years!! Start by emptying your head of your FALSE definitions of "DAWN" and "EVENING."

## GE:

What a bunch of straw ... Rubies is a compulsory prattler.

## Reg:

... the 14th day at EVEN is the end of the 14th day and the beginning of the 15 th like Lev 23:27,32 where
The 9th day AT EVEN is the beginning of the 10th day
The evening of the 14th was 24 hr previous as the bible time of the beginning of the day is the same time as the end of the previous day and is governed by the sun disappearing also the 14th day at even is pointing out what part of the 24 hr it means it is the daylight half the 14th day at even the end of that day also the lamb was killed at TWILIGHT in many versions or in the margin of some bibles between the two evenings
MK 1:32 And at even, when the sun did set, they brought unto him all that were diseased, and them that were possessed with devils. JOB 3:9 Let the stars of the twilight thereof be dark; let it look for light, but have none; neither let it see the dawning of the day:

Gerard and others bypass these verses because they don't agree with what they believe John said that if we don't live up to Christ standards they are liars not my words as Rubies post 1 John 2:3-6 and both Gerard and Rubie don't and they have to take up what I said with Christ not me for they are not my words also if they think they belong to God they will have to change their way of speaking to others if there is not a Christ like attitude in our behaviour here now no way will Christ allow us to be in the first resurrection we are told SIN WILL NOT ENTER INTO THE WORLD a second time Mat 7:21-23 show us we haveto have more then knowledge but a personal working relationship which includes
1 JN 1:9 If we confess our sins, he is faithful and just to forgive us our sins, and to cleanse us from all unrighteousness.
1JN 2:1 My little children, these things write I unto you, that ye sin not. And if any man sin, we have an advocate with the Father, Jesus Christ the righteous:

GE: \#2646, 2647
It took me loooong to seeeeee!
NOW I see!
'Reg' is their Prophet!!!!!!
Ahhhhh! Congrats old chap! It becomes you!
Reg, by the way, did you know there is a First Month and there is a Seventh Month in Leviticus 23?
Ja?
Good!

Rubies: \#2652
Oh do get over yourself Reg, and quit quoting the same scriptures tortured out of shape to suit your own quack theories!
And please learn to count!
The 14th IS NOT the 15 th!
The 9th IS NOT the 10th!!!

Only AFTER sunset on the 14 th, is it the 15 th.
Only AFTER sunset on the 9th, does it then become the 10th.
Proper modern bible versions give the TRUE translation of
Leviticus which is FROM the 9th at evening UNTIL the 10th at evening. 24 hours precisely $=$ the 10th. You cannot include ANY of the 9th within the Day of Atonement.
BUT when God says, "SLAY THE PASSOVER ON the 14th," then it means ... ON the FOURTEENTH!

GE: \#2654
Qoute $\sim$ as dawn was breaking $\sim \mathrm{EQ}$ is false.
Qoute $\sim$ as dawn was breaking $\{E P I P H O S K O U S E\} \sim \mathrm{EQ}$, is false.
Qoute $\sim E P I P H O S K O U S E \sim E Q$ is Quote $\sim$ very early at daybreak \{LIAN PROI\} Mark 16:2~EQ, is false.
Qoute $\sim E P I P H O S K O U S E \sim E Q$ is Quote $\sim$ at the rising of the sun \{ANATEILANTOS TOU HELIOU\} Mark 16:2~EQ, is false.
Qoute $\sim E P I P H O S K O U S E \sim E Q$ is Quote $\sim$ very early at sunrise \{ORTHROU BATHEOS\} Luke 24:1~EQ, is false.
Quote Luke 24:22; very early in the morning at dawn \{PROI\} John 20:1;~EQ is false.
Quote~while it was still dark \{SKOTIAS ETI OUSES\} John 20:1.~EQ is false.
Quote~Luke 24:22; very early in the morning at dawn \{PROI\} John 20:1; while it was still dark \{SKOTIAS ETI OUSES\} John 20:1.~EQ is false.
Quote $\sim S U N D A Y$ AFTERNOON. 2 men walked from Jerusalem to Emmaus, that same day. \{EN AUTO TE HEMERA\} Mark 16:12; Luke 24:13. This was still the 3rd day since Jesus was crucified (1 $=$ PROSABBATON; 2 = SABBATH; 3 = FIRST DAY of week). Luke 24:21. It was late afternoon \{PROS HESPERAN; toward evening\} and the day was declining \{KEKLIKEN HE HEMERA\} when they arrived at Emmaus.
Luke 24:29.

The 2 men returned to Jerusalem that same hour. Luke 24:33. SUNDAY EVENING before sunset. Jesus appeared to the 2 men from Emmaus, the 11 disciples and all the others, in the locked room as they were eating, on the evening \{OPSIOS\} of that same day \{HEMERA EKEINE\}, the first day of the week \{MIA TON SABBATON\}. Mark 16:14; Luke 24:33-36; John 20:19~EQ IS FALSE!
'Ousehs oun opsias tehi hehmerai ekeinehi tehi miai sabbatohn'-‘ekeinehi' being a RELATIVE Pronoun of REFERRAL TO the First Day of the week,"being EVENING" and NOT 'being the First Day', 'Ousehs oun opsias tehi hehmerai ekeinehi tehi miai sabbatohn' translates "therefore being evening with reference to THAT day the First Day of the week" AND AFTER it. Mark 16:14 recording the same events on the First Day as Luke 24, states "AFTERWARDS"-'husteron' which was in the NIGHT AFTER the events as well as the First Day of the week. Mark 16:14 confirms 16:9, that the women had seen Jesus "after He had had raised" and in fact "after He had been seen by (the women) RISEN"-'theasamenois auton egehgermenon'-Mark 16:14c. 'egehgermenon' Perfect is the perfect equivalent of 'anastas' in 16:9.
It simply means for God's truth Jesus ROSE BEFORE any of the times referred to in Rubies woefully disordered sick and sorry joke as rose the Lord on the First Day of the week.

## Steenberg: \#2655 http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p127

 You are wasting our time with your unending ramblings. You copy from others and clearly has mo knowledge of the Bible languages. Did you ever get matric? Sies old vuilbek..(dirty mouth )and stop putting my friends down! Luis!
## Rubies:

\#2651
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p127

A VERSE for EVERY DAY for 11 DAYS, Part 2.
Previously posted as \#941 then as \#1126.
FIRST DAY of the WEEK Saturday night - SUNDAY
Some women bought spices as soon as Sabbath had ended, on SATURDAY NIGHT.
Mark 16:1.
Jesus rose very early at dawn \{PROI\} on SUNDAY MORNING.
Mark 16:9.
Mary Magdalene \& other women went to the tomb on SUNDAY MORNING:
on the first day of the week \{TE DE MIA TON SABBATON\}
Matt 28:1; Mark 16:2; Luke 24:1; John 20:1;
 Never has any single person managed to fit such a fylfot of fumbling lies together, as in this contraption,
"~Mary Magdalene \& other women went to the tomb on SUNDAY MORNING : on the first day of the week \{TE DE MIA TON SABBATON\} Matt 28:1; Mark 16:2; Luke 24:1; John 20:1;~". Rubies, you are the best!
Matthew 28:1 ... "Mary Magdalene" and one, other woman-"the other Mary"- "set out to (go have a) LOOK at the tomb ON THE SABBATH".
They never arrived at the tomb because they "set out to go have a look at the tomb WHEN SUDDENLY THERE WAS A GREAT EARTHQUAKE" which must have prevented them to do what they "set out TO" do: "TO see the grave" Intentional Infinitive
-"when there was a great earthquake" that was not " $\sim$ on SUNDAY MORNING~"-"BUT, LATE ON THE SABBATH"! How many lies so far has Rubies taken the pains to compose? 1) " $\sim$ Mary Magdalene \& other women $\sim " ; 2$ ) " $\sim$ went to the tomb $\sim$ "; 3) " $\sim$ went on SUNDAY $\sim " ; 4$ ) " $\sim$ went on Sunday MORNING $\sim$ ";
and the crowning lie, 5) and " $\sim$ Jesus rose $\ldots$ on SUNDAY MORNING~".
Rubies never tires of her lying! Unbelievable! So Rubies elaborates on her 'consistent' lying and sommer places on par as one and the same in every aspect and respect, " $\sim$ Matt 28:1; Mark 16:2; Luke 24:1; John 20:1~". Which exponentially multiplies all her lies which we have so far identified. Try work it out, they very quickly become MILLIONS of ACTUAL, FACTUAL, PRACTICAL, LIES!
Have you in your life come across a rotten carcass in the vast open plains of nowhere? Have you seen how innumerable are the maggots and flies in that carcass? And have you smelled how it STINKS miles off?!
Worse, have you felt how those swarms of most beautiful green and purple and blue insects can afflict and scourge you?! Well, then you have now seen the religious replica of it.

## Rubies:

\#2651
Jesus rose very early at dawn $\{$ PROI $\}$ on SUNDAY MORNING. Mark 16:9.
Mary Magdalene \& other women went to the tomb on SUNDAY MORNING:
on the first day of the week \{TE DE MIA TON SABBATON\} Matt 28:1; Mark 16:2; Luke 24:1; John 20:1; after the Sabbath / after that week \{OPSE SABBATON\}, Matt 28:1;
as dawn was breaking \{EPIPHOSKOUSE\}, Matt 28:1;
very early at daybreak \{LIAN PROI\} Mark 16:2;
at the rising of the sun \{ANATEILANTOS TOU HELIOU\} Mark 16:2;
very early at sunrise \{ORTHROU BATHEOS\} Luke 24:1; at first dawn / very early in the morning \{ORTHRIAI\} Luke 24:22;
very early in the morning at dawn \{PROI\} John 20:1; while it was still dark \{SKOTIAS ETI OUSES\} John 20:1. SUNDAY AFTERNOON.
2 men walked from Jerusalem to Emmaus, that same day. \{EN AUTO TE HEMERA
Mark 16:12; Luke 24:13.
This was still the 3rd day since Jesus was crucified ( $1=$ PROSABBATON; 2 = SABBATH; $3=$ FIRST DAY of week ).
Luke 24:21.
It was late afternoon \{PROS HESPERAN; toward evening \} and the day was declining \{KEKLIKEN HE HEMERA\} when they arrived at Emmaus.
Luke 24:29.
The 2 men returned to Jerusalem that same hour. Luke 24:33. SUNDAY EVENING before sunset.
Jesus appeared to the 2 men from Emmaus, the 11 disciples and all the others, in the locked room as they were eating, on the evening \{OPSIOS $\}$ of that same day $\{$ HEMERA EKEINE $\}$, the first day of the week \{MIA TON SABBATON \}.
Mark 16:14; Luke 24:33-36; John 20:19.
Reg: \#2661
Christ rose on Sabbath Mary met Him an hour or so after dark near the tomb John 20:17 before He presented Himself to the Father Rev 5 the next ones Jesus met were the 2 on the road Emmaus

## GE:

BULL!! Don't connect my name with your SDA BULL, you fool!

## Reg:

Luke $24: 21$, But we trusted that it had been he which should have redeemed Israel: and beside all this, today is the third day since these things were done.

Is before daylight Sunday and verse 22 Yea, and certain women also of our company made us astonished, which were early at the sepulchre;
This verse is refusing to Marys meeting not long after dark at the close of Sabbath, woman here is in the singular she was alone. Now if you look at the visit to the 11 in the upper room which included the 2 on the road to Emmaus just before daylight on Sunday morning
John 20:9 Then the same day at evening, being the first day of the week, when the doors were shut where the disciples were assembled for fear of the Jews, came Jesus and stood in the midst, and saith unto them, Peace be unto you.
Now to be evening on the first day of the week Saturday night before daylight
Look at --- Acts:20:7 And upon the first day of the week, when the disciples came together to break bread, Paul preached unto them, ready to depart on the morrow; and continued his speech until midnight.
In the New English Bible it says on Saturday night
No---Luke 24: 21 But we trusted that it had been he which should have redeemed Israel: and beside all this, today is the third day since these things were done.
Becomes plain like in John 20:19 being Saturday night when in Luke the disciples said and today is the 3rd day they were referring to Sabbath which had just finished
Proof these verses were spoken on the evening of the day that had just begun
Mark 11: 11 And Jesus entered into Jerusalem, and into the temple: and when he had looked round about upon all things, and now the eventide was come, he went out unto Bethany with the twelve. 12 And on the morrow, when they were come from Bethany, he was hungry:
Acts20:7And upon the first day of the week, when the disciples
came together to break bread, Paul preached unto them, ready to depart on the morrow; and continued his speech until midnight. Acts 23:31 Then the soldiers, as it was commanded them, took Paul, and brought him by night to Antipatris.
32 On the morrow they left the horsemen to go with him, and returned to the castle:
Luke 13:31 The same day there came certain of the Pharisees, saying unto him, Get thee out, and depart hence: for Herod will kill thee.
32 And he said unto them, Go ye, and tell that fox, Behold, I cast out devils, and I do cures today and tomorrow, and the third day I shall be perfected.
33 Nevertheless I must walk to day, and tomorrow, and the day following: for it cannot be that a prophet perishes out of Jerusalem. All of them were spoken on the eve of the day spoken of and they all called the daylight half of the day the morrow
But in 24: 21 But we trusted that it had been he which should have redeemed Israel: and beside all this, today is the third day since these things were done.
It was the day that had just finished Sabbath like
John 20: Then the same day at evening, being the first day of the week, when the doors were shut where the disciples were assembled for fear of the Jews, came Jesus and stood in the midst, and saith unto them, Peace be unto you.
That same day (Sabbath) at evening is the beginning of the first day of the week Sunday before morning
\#2662
Rubie In your post 2648 I challenge you or anyone else to get to get anything but the last 3 months of Jesus life in hiding from the Pharisees and the raising of Lazarus from John 10:22 to John 12:1 He went over the Jordan and back to Bethany raised Lazarus then to the wilderness of Eritrea from there to Lazarus home John 12:1 \#2663
your answer on post 2652 I keep giving the same texts because there are no others, for instance you insist with Gerard the 14th day at evening is still the 14th let's get things straight EVENING IS THE BEGINNING OF THE DAY Eve was called that name because she was BEFOR all other women. THE DAY STARTS AT SUNSET Gods clock is the sun Day is only 12hours John 11:9 so evening is only 12 hour when the sun disappears over the horizon Evening begins when the sun rises in the morning day begins it's a fact, get up before daylight and watch the morning twilight begin in the east then see the start of day especially at sea you can watch it rise day has come evening has finished just that plain
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p128
Rubies: \#2670
MARY MAGDALENE was one of the many women who came to the tomb very early on Sunday morning to anoint Jesus' body, according to ALL the concordant accounts of Matthew, Mark, Luke and John.

GE: \#4066 http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-dav-adventistTCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p193 Denied!
Mary Magdalene was one of the women mentioned in Luke 24:10 who came to the tomb very early "deepest of morning"-_'orthrou batheohs' on Sunday morning to anoint Jesus' body, according to ONE account-that of Luke in 24:1,2.

## Rubies:

This was the FIRST time she had come to the tomb, obviously, as she had brought that alabaster flask of pure nard...

## GE:

Denied!
" $\sim$ This ... $\sim ", \sim$ account $\sim$ in Luke 24:1,2, was the first time "THEY", had come to the tomb as "they, had brought their, spices prepared and ready with them, ..."

## Rubies:

This was the FIRST time she had come to the tomb, obviously, as she had brought that alabaster flask of pure nard, which Jesus had told the disciples she must be allowed to use to anoint Him after He died. John 12:7 Jesus said, "Leave her alone, so that she may keep it for the day of my burial."

## GE:

Why must you always add a twist to the text?!
Jesus did not say, "~Leave her alone, so that she may keep it for the day of my burial. $\sim$ "
He said just what the KJV says, "Let her alone: AGAINST (that is, "before" and "for") the day of my Burying (Burial) she kept (or "did") this (which she had done)."
Therefore no; Mary Magdalene "brought / carried with" her to the grave, the "spices and sweet ointments" which she and "the other Mary" on the Friday after "mid-afternoon" after "they had left" and "had gone home" after Joseph had closed the grave, "PREPARED". Luke 23:54-56a. They on Friday prepared their spices and "after the Sabbath" on 'Saturday evening', "bought" some more "spices, so that, when they (together with Salome) would go, they could / might anoint Him." Mark 16:1. Which
according to Luke, they did, "just after midnight ['orthrou batheohs'] on the First Day of the week.
Luke $24: 1,2$ on the visit of the several women together at the tomb tells that at this visit, "they (the women) saw the stone [as Mary Magdalene must have told them] but on entering into the grave, they found not the body". Therefore Luke 24:1,2 and 22,23 make mention of the first visit at and inside the tomb.
The two men told these women at this first visit by them all: "that He was alive ['dzehn']. "But Him they saw not", the women themselves witnessed. Luke 24:23,24.
So why has Rubies said, " $\sim$ Mary Magdalene was one of the many women who came to the tomb very early on Sunday morning to anoint Jesus' body, according to ALL the concordant accounts of Matthew, Mark, Luke and John. $\sim$ "?
Not for no reason at all but on the contrary to CREATE THE IMPRESSION Mary Magdalene was one of the many women who came to the tomb very early on Sunday morning to anoint Jesus' body, and according to all the concordant accounts of Matthew, Mark, Luke and John: WITNESSED JESUS' RESURRECTION OCCURRING.
False Rubies!

Rubies: \#2672 http:/www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p128 Reg, YOU claim that Mary Magdalene saw Jesus on SATURDAY NIGHT. But LUKE says that she first saw the empty tomb on SUNDAY MORNING AT DAYBREAK. THEN Mary Magdalene told the disciples. THEN Peter ran to the tomb and found it empty. \{Luke here omits the sightings of Jesus.\} THEN the 2 men met Jesus on the road to Emmaus, DURING the DAY, AFTER the morning when Mary Magdalene found the tomb empty, ON THE VERY SAME DAY, BEFORE THE AFTERNOON. BEFORE THE EVENING, of the FIRST DAY off the WEEK, on which JESUS ROSE from the dead.

GE: \#4068 http///www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-dav-adventistTCMTOQQAME27REJT4/p103 FALSE RUBIES!

## Rubies:

THEN the 2 men walked another 2 hours back to Jerusalem, where it was almost sunset, and the disciples were all gathered together for their evening meal :
Luk 24:33 And they rose that same hour and returned to Jerusalem. And they found the eleven and those who were with them gathered together, Luk 24:34 saying, "The Lord has risen indeed, and has APPEARED TO SIMON!"
Get yourself a pen and paper Reg, and draw up a diagram of how these things all fit together. But make sure you leave out your crank theory that "EPIPHOSKOUSE eis mian Sabbaton" (BECOMING LIGHT; DAWNING on the first day of the week, Matt 28:1) means "getting darker after sunset on Sabbath."

GE: \#4069 htp://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-dav-adventistTCMT00QAME27RFJTT/p193
As little as " $\sim$ EPIPHOSKOUSE eis mian Sabbaton $\sim$ " means "~getting darker after sunset on Sabbath~", as little-in fact as nothing-, does it mean " $\sim$ BECOMING LIGHT; DAWNING on the first day of the week $\sim$ ".
Must I rewrite the whole Grammar Book on this? Go read http://www.biblestudents.co.za/books/Book\ 2.\ Resurrectio
n.pdf (pages from p 63).

First get it right, it is not " $\sim$ EPIPHOSKOUSE eis mian
Sabbaton $\sim$ ". There is nothing right in this, " $\sim$ EPIPHOSKOUSE eis mian Sabbaton~"; everything in it is ignominious ignoramus stupidensis.
It is
'tehi'—Dative Article, "in the"
'epiphohskousehi’—Dative Noun, "while / being in mid / height daylight inclining (over)".
In fact, this, " $\sim$ EPIPHOSKOUSE eis mian Sabbaton $\sim$ " is more wrong than meets the eye wrong, in " $\sim$ EPIPHOSKOUSE eis mian Sabbaton~".
Because it is not " $\sim$ EPIPHOSKOUSE eis mian Sabbaton $\sim$ ", but it is "SABBATH'S"-'SABBATOHN tehi epiphohskousehi eis mian sabbatohn'-"SABBATH'S-time while being in the mid height daylight inclining (over)".
In fact it is more than just "SABBATH'S-time while / being in mid / height daylight inclining", because it indeed is, "IN FULLNESS of Sabbath's-time while being in the mid height daylight of the Sabbath inclining"-"IN FULLNESS"-'OPSE sabbatohn tehi epiphohskousehi'!
In fact it is more than just "In fullness of Sabbath's-time while being in mid height daylight of the Sabbath inclining", because it actually was "... BUT, in the fullness of the Sabbath Day while being in mid height daylight of the Sabbath inclining"-_'opse DE, sabbatohn tehi epiphohskousehi'.
It was IN SPITE OF the fact a few hours earlier on "in the morning"-'tehi epaurion'- on that Sabbath Day "after the Jews' Preparation Day", the Jewish and Roman bosses have tried their best to make it impossible for Jesus' body to get out of the tomb. "They made the sepulchre SURE, sealing the stone and setting a watch."
"BUT DESPITE"-_'DE'_-"In the fullness of the SABBATH being in mid height daylight of the Sabbath inclining towards the First Day of the week, THERE WAS GREAT EARTHQUAKE ... and the angel of the Lord CAST THE STONE AWAY from the door" and Christ rose from the dead "IN THE SABBATH'S FULLNESS BEING IN THE HEIGHT OF INCLINING DAYLIGHT OF THE SABBATH over towards the First Day of
the week ... the angel of the Lord cast the stone away from the door and sat on it." Matthew 28:1,2.

## Rubies:

\#2672 http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p128
Reg, YOU claim that Mary Magdalene saw Jesus on SATURDAY NIGHT. But LUKE says that she first saw the empty tomb on SUNDAY MORNING AT DAYBREAK. THEN Mary Magdalene told the disciples. THEN Peter ran to the tomb and found it empty. \{Luke here omits the sightings of Jesus.\} THEN the 2 men met Jesus on the road to Emmaus, DURING the DAY, AFTER the morning when Mary Magdalene found the tomb empty, ON THE VERY SAME DAY, BEFORE THE AFTERNOON. BEFORE THE EVENING, of the FIRST DAY off the WEEK, on which JESUS ROSE from the dead. THEN the 2 men walked another 2 hours back to Jerusalem, where it was almost sunset, and the disciples were all gathered together for their evening meal : Luk 24:33 And they rose that same hour and returned to Jerusalem. And they found the eleven and those who were with them gathered together, Luk 24:34 saying, "The Lord has risen indeed, and has APPEARED TO SIMON!"
Get yourself a pen and paper Reg, and draw up a diagram of how these things all fit together. But make sure you leave out your crank theory that "EPIPHOSKOUSE eis mian Sabbaton" (BECOMING LIGHT; DAWNING on the first day of the week, Matt 28:1) means "getting darker after sunset on Sabbath."

## GE:

Fine Rubies; you have taken us through the whole of Saturday night and the day of Sunday.
First you denied what Reg "~claim(s) that Mary Magdalene saw Jesus on SATURDAY NIGHT. $\sim$ " Fine, I agree with you, John did not write that.

Then you led us through all the events afterwards (your version), and ended with saying, " $\sim$ Reg, and draw up a diagram of how these things all fit together. But make sure you leave out your crank theory that "EPIPHOSKOUSE eis mian Sabbaton" (BECOMING LIGHT; DAWNING on the first day of the week, Matt 28:1) means "getting darker after sunset on Sabbath." $\sim$ " Which implies that you - correctly - understand that the Resurrection occurred in Matthew 28:1, " "EPIPHOSKOUSE eis mian Sabbaton" $\sim$ " ... Fine! But let us wait a bit with your 'translation', " $\sim$.. BECOMING LIGHT; DAWNING on the first day of the week $\sim$ " for a while so that we can test it if it not also like Reg's, is only " $\sim$ your crank theory~".
OK, you have taken us through Saturday night. Like this:
"~SATURDAY NIGHT.
... LUKE says that she (Mary) first saw the empty tomb on SUNDAY MORNING AT DAYBREAK. THEN Mary Magdalene told the disciples. THEN Peter ran to the tomb and found it empty.
\{Luke here omits the sightings of Jesus.\}
... the morning ... Mary Magdalene found the tomb empty, ON THE VERY SAME DAY, ... of the FIRST DAY off the WEEK, on which JESUS ROSE from the dead. ...Luk 24:34 saying, "The Lord has risen indeed, and has APPEARED TO SIMON!" "" Get yourself a pen and paper Rubies, and draw up a diagram of how these things all fit together $\sim$ " according to yourself, Rubies, will you? Make sure WHERE "~"EPIPHOSKOUSE eis mian Sabbaton"(BECOMING LIGHT; DAWNING on the first day of the week $\sim$ " fit in! Remember, the " $\sim$ BECOMING LIGHT $\sim$ ",
" $\sim$ DAWNING on the first day of the week $\sim$ "!
\#4083
Alright; I'll try to draw up a $\sim$ diagram $\sim$ making use only of, what you gave me to work with ...
" $\sim$ SATURDAY NIGHT.
... LUKE says that she (Mary) first saw the empty tomb on SUNDAY MORNING AT DAYBREAK.~"
Sorry, denied! Luke does not say " $\sim$ she (Mary) first saw the empty tomb $\sim$ "-that is Rubies saying.
But let Rubies explain herself further here; it is just fair.
Rubies: " $\sim$ very early at sunrise \{ORTHROU BATHEOS\} Luke 24:1; at first dawn / very early in the morning \{ORTHRIAI\} Luke 24:22~"
Maybe Rubies took "~at first dawn~" for Mary "~first saw the empty tomb $\sim$ "? Even so, then Mary " $\sim$ first saw the empty tomb $\sim$ ",
" at first dawn $\sim$ " which is " very early in the morning \{ORTHRIAI\} ... \{ORTHROU BATHEOS\} Luke 24:1...Luke 24:22~"! Rubies explains on, " $\sim$ THEN Mary Magdalene told the disciples. THEN Peter ran to the tomb and found it empty. \{Luke here omits the sightings of Jesus.\} ... the morning...Mary Magdalene found the tomb empty, ON THE VERY SAME DAY,...of the FIRST DAY off the WEEK, on which JESUS ROSE from the dead....Luk 24:34 saying, "The Lord has risen indeed, and has APPEARED TO SIMON!" $\sim$ "
We ask Rubies now to please explain the time of day and the day of the week "referred to" in " $\sim$ Luk 24:34 saying, "The Lord has risen indeed, and has APPEARED TO SIMON!" ""? Does not Luke 24:34 tell about events of that past day on the First Day of the week "late, the day far spent"?
So WHEN did Jesus appear to Simon-before or after He appeared to Mary Magdalene, "first"? Rubies LIES therefore stating for fact
"~Luke omits ...the sightings of Jesus $\sim$ " - sightings $\sim$ aka appearances to Simon (and others) before He appeared to Mary
Magdalene! Outside red and sweet inside always blue Lying Rubies lips.
But this has been only the beginning.

Rubies stated " $\sim$ that "opse" means "AFTER; LONG AFTER." And "epiphosko" means "GETTING LIGHTER; DAWN." ""
 confirmed above, " " "EPIPHOSKOUSE eis mian Sabbaton" (BECOMING LIGHT; DAWNING on the first day of the week $\sim$ ". That is when Rubies has claimed, Jesus rose from his grave. Therefore, according to Rubies, He rose long after He had appeared to Simon and some other people to whom He already had appeared, "~very early at sunrise \{ORTHROU BATHEOS\} Luke 24:1; at first dawn / very early in the morning \{ORTHRIAI\} Luke 24:22~".
And " very early at sunrise~" is an incorrect interpretation of " $\sim$ ORTHROU BATHEOS $\sim$ " in Luke 24:1 and 22 because " $\sim$ ORTHROU BATHEOS...ORTHRIAI $\sim$ " is long before " $\sim$ at first dawn...very early in the morning $\sim$ ", 'orthros' or / and 'batheohs' being used throughout Greek history for the 'after-midnight DEEPEST' quarter or watch of night.
As Rubies pointed out herself, Luke recorded the women's first and earliest visit at the tomb, " $\sim$ This was the FIRST time she had come to the tomb, obviously, as she had brought that alabaster flask of pure nard $\sim$ ". Rubies, \#2664
 they could divide a day into 12 equal segments, was to use a sundial which obviously did not work at night. Instead, they divided the night into FOUR WATCHES. $\sim "$
It is just logical that Luke's visit was the earliest in the "deepest of night morning" long before, " $\sim$ SUNDAY MORNING AT
DAYBREAK...BECOMING LIGHT; DAWNING on the first day of the week, Matt 28:1~" when supposedly according to Rubies and the whole world, there came a great earthquake and Jesus rose from the dead.
It therefore is just logical that the women's visit was the earliest in the "deepest of night morning on the First Day of the week" Luke

24:1, long AFTER, "Sabbath mid-afternoon in the bright daylight inclining towards the First Day of the week", Matthew 28:1 when according to God's Eternal Purpose, "there came a great earthquake" and Jesus rose from the dead "on the Sabbath Day"-"and God from all his works RESTED". \#4084
As Rubies pointed out herself, Luke recorded the women's first and earliest visit at the tomb, " $\sim$ This was the FIRST time she had come to the tomb, obviously, as she had brought that alabaster flask of pure nard $\sim$ ".
WOULD SHE HAVE COME IF JESUS ALREADY HAD APPEARED TO HER?!
No; Jesus only could have appeared to Mary much later --- when it is claimed He rose from the dead!
Or, Jesus long BEFORE already had raised from his grave nobody having seen Him rise or just conscious of the fact He HAD RAISED ALREADY.

James Whitehair: \#4069
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p193
Why are you so rude to every person? You must be a terrible grumpy old man to live with or know in person. Ever lead a soul to Christ? Probably not!
OPSE $=$ TOWARD. Elder Coulter is still alive and you better pray he does not find out what you are saying behind his back, as copy-artist. He is a scholar and you are a copy-artist who knows NOTHING about the Bible languages yourself. Try and be goode and not so rude, mate. Steinberg?

## GE:

Yes, You are Steenkamp. You can't fool me.
Do you see those dust-fluffies doer over the horizon?
That is Fred Coulter, mate!

Why don't you fight your own battles, sonny mate?
I'm here!
Ja, HERE!
That's it, here!
By the way, although the CONTEXT in Matthew 28:1,2 DOES implicate that " $\sim$ OPSE $=$ TOWARD $\sim$ ", it is not the literal or semantic meaning of the word 'opse' as such.
Why, are you blind that you have not noticed that I have SUPPORTED the notion that "~OPSE= TOWARD~"?
Are you not prepared to give me that much credit?
Why are you so stuck up prejudiced against me?
What have I done you wrongs?
That I called you MATE?! Sorry old chap. I'm very sorry my mate

## CORRECTION:

[QUOTE who="Gerhard Ebersoehn"]By the way, although the CONTEXT in Matthew 28:1,2 DOES implicate that "~OPSE= TOWARD $\sim$ ", it is not the literal or semantic meaning of the word 'opse' as such.
Why, are you blind that you have not noticed that I have
SUPPORTED the notion that "~OPSE= TOWARD~"?
Are you not prepared to give me that much credit?
Why are you so stuck up prejudiced against me?
What have I done you wrongs?
That I called you MATE?! Sorry old chap. I'm very sorry my mate
... \#4073
Come to think of it ... I RETRACT THIS 'NOTION' OF
MINE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
The idea that " $\sim$ OPSE $=$ TOWARD $\sim$ " definitely does not work in Matthew 28:1.
If " $\sim$ OPSE= TOWARD~" in Matthew 28:1 it would have meant time on the Sixth Day BEFORE and "~OPSE= TOWARD $\sim$ " the Sabbath and not "IN the Sabbath" or "ON the Sabbath"; and the
"Sabbath's-time" would not be "~OPSE= TOWARD~" the First Day of the week.
So no, " $\sim$ OPSE = TOWARD $\sim$ " is an absolute MESS, and I RETRACT and declare null and void that I ever wrote such NONSENSE as this post, \#4072
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p193!
'opse' = "LATE ON the Sabbath" in Matthew 28:1 : finish en klaar!

Rubies: \#2651 hitp://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMT00QAME27RFTT4/p127
Jesus rose very early at dawn \{PROI\} on SUNDAY MORNING.
Mark 16:9.
Mary Magdalene \& other women went to the tomb on SUNDAY MORNING :
on the first day of the week \{TE DE MIA TON SABBATON\}
Matt 28:1; Mark 16:2; Luke 24:1; John 20:1;
after the Sabbath / after that week \{OPSE SABBATON\}, Matt 28:1;
as dawn was breaking \{EPIPHOSKOUSE\}, Matt 28:1;
very early at daybreak \{LIAN PROI\} Mark 16:2;
at the rising of the sun \{ANATEILANTOS TOU HELIOU\} Mark 16:2;
very early at sunrise \{ORTHROU BATHEOS\} Luke 24:1; at first dawn / very early in the morning \{ORTHRIAI\} Luke 24:22;
very early in the morning at dawn \{PROI\} John 20:1; while it was still dark \{SKOTIAS ETI OUSES\} John 20:1.

GE: \#4076 hitp://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventl-dav-adventistTCMTOOQAME27RFJT4/p194
First corruption:
" $\sim$ Jesus rose very early at dawn $\{P R O I\}$ on SUNDAY
MORNING. Mark 16:9.~"
Jesus did not rise "~very early at dawn $\{P R O I\}$ on SUNDAY MORNING. Mark 16:9~".
"He, RISEN, early on the First Day, appeared."-_'anastas de prohï prohtehi sabbatou ephaneh'.
KJV word order adapted,
"Now when Jesus was risen (he) early on the First Day, appeared." Or,
KJV punctuation adapted,
"Now when Jesus was risen(,) early on the First Day, he appeared." "~Jesus rose $\sim$ " not "~very early at dawn $\{P R O I\}$ on SUNDAY MORNING. Mark 16:9~"!
Jesus rose "when there came a great earthquake in the end of the Sabbath as it began to dawn towards the First Day mid-afternoon of the Sabbath"-'opse de sabbatohn tehi epiphohskousehi eis mian sabbatohn'.

Rubies: \#2651 hitp://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-ddventistTCMTOOQAME27REJTT4/ 127
Jesus rose very early at dawn $\{\mathrm{PROI}\}$ on SUNDAY MORNING. Mark 16:9.
Mary Magdalene \& other women went to the tomb on SUNDAY MORNING :
on the first day of the week \{TE DE MIA TON SABBATON\}
Matt 28:1; Mark 16:2; Luke 24:1; John 20:1;
after the Sabbath / after that week \{OPSE SABBATON\}, Matt 28:1;
as dawn was breaking \{EPIPHOSKOUSE\}, Matt 28:1;
very early at daybreak \{LIAN PROI\} Mark 16:2;
at the rising of the sun \{ANATEILANTOS TOU HELIOU\} Mark 16:2;
very early at sunrise \{ORTHROU BATHEOS\} Luke 24:1;
at first dawn / very early in the morning \{ORTHRIAI\} Luke 24:22;
very early in the morning at dawn \{PROI\} John 20:1;
while it was still dark \{SKOTIAS ETI OUSES\} John 20:1.

## GE:

\#4078 http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p194
Second corruption:
Sequence:
"~Mark 16:9 ... Matt 28:1; Mark 16:2; Luke 24:1; John 20:1~"
True sequence:
Matt 28:1-4 "Late on Sabbath mid-afternoon earthquake" John 20:1 "Mary on First Day being early dark still sees stone" Luke $24: 1$ "Women after-midnight morning find not the body" Mark 16:2 "They came very early before sunrise, inspected stone, afraid, fled, told no one"
Mark 16:9 = John 19:11 "Jesus early appeared risen to Mary" Matthew 28:5,6 "Explained the angel to the (other) women: He was raised-late on the Sabbath (verse 1) as He said (verse 6) the third day" (27:64)
 Jesus rose very early at dawn $\{$ PROI $\}$ on SUNDAY MORNING. Mark 16:9.
Mary Magdalene \& other women went to the tomb on SUNDAY MORNING:
on the first day of the week \{TE DE MIA TON SABBATON\}
Matt 28:1; Mark 16:2; Luke 24:1; John 20:1;
after the Sabbath / after that week \{OPSE SABBATON\}, Matt 28:1;
as dawn was breaking \{EPIPHOSKOUSE\}, Matt 28:1;
very early at daybreak \{LIAN PROI\} Mark 16:2;
at the rising of the sun \{ANATEILANTOS TOU HELIOU\} Mark 16:2;
very early at sunrise \{ORTHROU BATHEOS\} Luke 24:1;
at first dawn / very early in the morning \{ORTHRIAI\} Luke 24:22;
very early in the morning at dawn \{PROI\} John 20:1;
while it was still dark \{SKOTIAS ETI OUSES\} John 20:1.

## GE:

\#4080 http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT00QAME27RFJT4/p194
Third corruption:
Translations:
"~Mark 16:9.~"
Rubies' 'translation',
" $\sim$ Jesus rose very early at dawn $\{P R O I\}$ on SUNDAY
MORNING.~"
Correct translation:
"Jesus, risen, early ['prohi'] on the First Day APPEARED."
"~Matt 28:1;~"
Rubies' 'translation',
" $\sim$ Jesus rose ... $\sim "$
when " $\sim$ Mary Magdalene \& other women went to the tomb after the Sabbath / after that week \{OPSE SABBATON\} : on SUNDAY MORNING : as dawn was breaking \{EPIPHOSKOUSE\} : on the first day of the week \{TE DE MIA TON SABBATON\}~"
(Rearrangement of Rubies' confused concoction above, is mine. GE)
Correct translation:
Jesus rose ...
"when there was a great earthquake and the angel of the Lord descended late ON THE SABBATH in the mid-afternoon before the First Day and Mary Magdalene and the other Mary set out to go see the tomb"
There is no " $\sim$ TE DE MIA TON SABBATON $\sim$ " in Matthew 28:1!
"~Mark 16:2;~"
Rubies' 'translation',
" $\sim$ Jesus rose ...~"
"~on the first day of the week \{TE DE MIA TON SABBATON\} ... very early at daybreak \{LIAN PROI\} ... at the rising of the sun \{ANATEILANTOS TOU HELIOU\}~"
There is no " $\sim$ Jesus rose...$\sim$ " in Mark 16:2;
there is not even "Jesus appeared" in Mark 16:2.
There is just "women (who) deliberately came on, to the tomb"-_'erchontai epi, to mnehma'.
"~Luke 24:1;~"
Rubies' 'translation',
" $\sim$ Jesus rose ...~"
"~very early at sunrise \{ORTHROU BATHEOS\} ... at first dawn /
very early in the morning \{ORTHRIAI\} Luke 24:22~"
There is no " $\sim$ Jesus rose $\ldots \sim$ " in Luke 24:1;
there is not even "Jesus appeared" in Luke 24:1.
There is just "women (who) came to the tomb and found the stone was away from the sepulchre, entered in, but did not find the body", confirmed negative in 24:23.
"~John 20:1;~"
Rubies' 'translation',
" $\sim$ Jesus rose ...~"
"~very early in the morning at dawn $\{P R O I\}$... while it was still dark \{SKOTIAS ETI OUSES\} \}~"
There is no darkness proper-'skotias' in John 20:1;
there is no " $\sim$ very early morning at dawn $\{$ PROI $\} \sim "$ " in John 20:1;
no " $\sim$ while it was still dark $\{$ SKOTIAS ETI OUSES $\} \sim "$ ".
Just "being still early of dark on the First Day"-_"being still dusk" before, darkness proper-'skotias'.
There is no " $\sim$ Jesus rose $\ldots \sim$ " in John 20:1; there is not even "Jesus appeared" in John 20:1;
there is no entering into the grave;
not the grave per se is seen, but the stone away from it.
There is no finding out that the body was gone in the end!
Just doubt and perplexity left in the mind of ONE women.
The rest did not believe her, or her suspicions or her conjectures.

Rubies: \#2673 hitp://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-ddventistTCMTOOQAME27REJT4/p128

JOHN'S ACCOUNT of the SAME EVENT [as Luke 24:1 to 34 \#2672]:
Joh 20:1 Now ON THE FIRST DAY OF THE WEEK MARY MAGDALENE came to the tomb EARLY, \{PROI means,"AT DAWN;""'DAYBREAK WATCH;""EARLY IN THE MORNING"\} while it was STILL dark, \{NOT, "getting darker"\} and saw that the stone had been taken away from the tomb. MARY MAGDALENE (and JOANNA and the other MARY and the OTHER WOMEN, Luke 24:10) ran to tell the disciples that the tomb was empty.
THEN Peter and John ran back to the tomb.
MARY MAGDALENE also returned to the tomb.
THIS was when she first met Jesus, early on SUNDAY
MORNING, the only time that gardeners might possibly be "supposed" to begin their week's work :
Joh 20:10 Then the disciples went back to their homes. 11 But Mary stood weeping outside the tomb, and as she wept she stooped to look into the tomb. 12 And she saw two angels in white, sitting where the body of Jesus had lain, one at the head and one at the feet. 13 They said to her, "Woman, why are you weeping?" She said to them, "They have taken away my Lord, and I do not know where they have laid him."
NOW she sees Jesus for the first time, early on Sunday morning : Joh 20:14 Having said this, she turned around and saw Jesus standing, but she did not know that it was Jesus.
Joh 20:15 Jesus said to her, "Woman, why are you weeping? Whom are you seeking?" SUPPOSING HIM TO BE THE GARDENER, she said to him, "Sir, if you have carried him away, tell me where you have laid him, and I will take him away." 16 Jesus said to her, "Mary." She turned and said to him in Aramaic, "Rabboni!" (which means Teacher). 17 Jesus said to her, "Do not cling to me, for I have not yet ascended to the Father; but go to my brothers and say to them, 'I am ascending to my

Father and your Father, to my God and your God.'" 18 Mary Magdalene went and announced to the disciples, "I HAVE SEEN THE LORD"- and that he had said these things to her.
So this was the second time Mary Magdalene went to tell the disciples. Still early on Sunday morning.

Rubies: \#2672, " $\sim$ LUKE says that she first saw the empty tomb on SUNDAY MORNING AT DAYBREAK. THEN Mary Magdalene told the disciples. THEN Peter ran to the tomb and found it empty.~"
Rubies: \#2673, " $\sim$ So this (JOHN'S ACCOUNT...Joh 20:1-18) was the second time Mary Magdalene went to tell the disciples. Still early on Sunday morning $\sim$ " According to Rubies $\sim$ this $\sim$ was the $\sim$ same event $\sim$ as in Luke's $\sim$ account $\sim$ in 24:1,23 " $\sim J O H N ' S$ ACCOUNT of the SAME EVENT : Joh 20:1 Now ON THE FIRST DAY OF THE WEEK MARY MAGDALENE came to the tomb~" So Mary "came" once, but "went" twice? No; because Rubies tells us "~JOHN'S ACCOUNT of the SAME EVENT~" as in Luke 24:1,23 " was the second time Mary Magdalene WENT to tell~". Still, Mary 'came' once because it was the 'same event', but 'went' twice because she 'went to tell', twice?.
In fact, Rubies got it right and also not right!
In fact Mary 'went to tell' before the Lord appeared to her; and, she 'went to tell' after the Lord had appeared to her.
And that means, Rubies is wrong in that she identifies Luke 24 and John 20 and calls them " $\sim$ the $\boldsymbol{S A M E}$ EVENT~". They were not " $\sim$ the $\boldsymbol{S A M E} E V E N T \sim$ ". In fact, they were THREE 'events', John 20:1,2; then Luke 24:1,2(,23); then John 20:11-18! Which explains the 'coming once' but 'going twice' of May Magdalene to be incorrect, because she 'came' MORE THAN ONCE!

And: it explains how Mary 'went' ONE TIME LESS than she 'came'!
So, $\sim$ Get yourself a pen and paper, and draw up a diagram of how these things all fit together $\sim .$.

## Visits at the tomb "on the First Day"

1) "Mary sees the stone removed", "while being early darkness still", dusk. Then Peter and John go to the tomb to see what Mary has told them. (Jn20:1-10)
2) "Earfiest morning- darkness", just after midnight, "the two women" (variant - the two Marys), "and certain others with them", for the first time, "came to the sepulchre, Gringing the spices they had prepared". (Lk24:1) "They returned from the sepulchre, and told all these things to the eleven and to all the rest." ("Then Peter stood up and ran to the tom6; and Gending low over, he saw the linen clothes. He went back, wandering by fimself about that what had happened." (Lk24:9-12) Cf. John's account in 20:1-10. I can't say Luke talks of another visit by Peter, but it seems true because Luke doesn't mention John.)
3) These women to make sure, a second time came to the tomb "very early before sunrise". (Mk16:2)
4) Mary from after the others had fled in fear (Mk16:8) "had had stood without at the grave" (Jn20:11). At the time a gardener should begin work, about sunrise, Jesus "early ... first appeared to Mary". (Mk16:9)
5) Soon after - after they a third time have visited the tomb and "the angel explained" to them what had happened during the Resurrection Jesus appears to the other women "as they went to tell his disciples". (Mt28:5, 9)

Mary went to the tomb, three times, Jn20:1, Lk24:1, Mk16:2, and Mk16:9 when she "had remained standing 6ehind" until, Jn20:11,

Jesus appeared to her, "first", Mk16:9, and alone, "at the grave", Jn20:16.

The other women also went to the tomb, three times, Lk24:1, Mk16:2, and Mt28:5 when "the angel explained" to them what had happened during the Resurrection, and Jesus, as "they went to tell his disciples", appeared to them. (Mt28:5, 9)

The answer to the 'Easter enigma' (John Wenham) is simple: Each Gospel contributed to the whole with one of several sources; each added a personal part that, put together, will bring the whole story of the Resurrection into proper perspective.

Tradition - that is, the Sunday-resurrection approach - make of these several stories of several visits, the one and simultaneous occasion of Jesus' resurrection. Contradictions, discrepancies and total confusion are the inevitable result! It was bad enough that this 'solution' to a self-created 'riddle' was ever offered just to protect Sunday's presumed status of being the day of the Resurrection. It became a comedy of tragic proportions when Sunday-protagonists began to defend their presumptuousness through unlawful improvements on the Scriptures.
So Mary 'saw' in John 20:1, "then ran" "in the dusk" in verse 2; but in John 20:11, "Mary HAD HAD STOOD /STAYED AFTER at the sepulchre" since her visit in Mark 16:2-8 some 3 hours earlier after she some 9 hours before had seen "the stone away from the grave" in John 20:1.

Rubies: \#2670 http:/www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventl-day-adventistTCMT00QAME27RFJT44p128 " $\sim$ This [ $\sim$ same event $\sim$ in Luke 24:1,2] was the FIRST time she had come to the tomb, obviously, as she had brought that alabaster flask of pure nard $\sim$

Which was the " $\sim$ FIRST time she had come to the tomb $\sim$ ", Rubies, and which, her " $\sim$ second time $\sim$ "? The one in Luke 24 , or the one in John 20:1?

## Rubies:

\#2673 http//www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMTOOQAME27REJT4/p128

## GE:

\#4088 http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p194
You also posted, Rubies, " $\sim M A R Y$ MAGDALENE was one of the many women who came to the tomb very early on Sunday morning to anoint Jesus' body, according to ALL the concordant accounts of Matthew, Mark, Luke and John. $\sim "$ "

That is the basic underlying principle of all as yet attempted explanations for the 'Easter enigma' (as Wigram referred to them). The FAILED principle-the failed principle which has not solved a single 'discrepancy' or 'enigma' as yet, but so far has increased many times the myriads of existing ones. All, supposed or imagined or assumed 'contradictions' or 'irreconcilabilities' or whatever 'impossibilities' based on and derived from and caused by THIS VERY underlying principle seen here for example in your own futile undertaking to explain them-right here now quoted from you.
The solution?
John's account is NOT " $\sim$ the same event $\sim$ " in any of the other Gospels and no Gospel's $\sim$ account $\sim$ is the same event in John's or any other Gospel's ~account $\sim$. Each Gospel's ~account $\sim$ is its own $\sim$ account $\sim$, different from but not differing with any other Gospel or Gospels', $\sim$ accounts $\sim$.
It is not one and " $\sim$ the same $\sim$ " event recorded in all the Gospels. Yes, the larger picture is that of the same WHOLE; but the larger picture is made up of the individual Gospel's smaller pictures, " $\sim$ PUT TOGETHER $\sim "$.
Therefore, " $\sim$ John's account $\sim$ " in chapter 20 , is NOT " $\sim$ the same event $\sim$ " found in Luke 24 in chapter 24.
And therefore, WHY is it so taken for granted that " $\sim A L L$ the concordant accounts of Matthew, Mark, Luke and John~" are the "SAME EVENT"?

It is silly actually, to phrase this statement like it is phrased. Why call then " $\sim$ concordant $\sim$ " if they are the " $\sim$ same event $\sim$ "? It requires DIFFERENT and MORE than the one $\sim$ SAME EVENT~ to make up " $\sim$ concordant accounts $\sim$ ". Again, the Gospels are different but not differing, stories.
But to get back to my question, WHY is it so taken for granted that
" $\sim$ ALL the concordant accounts of Matthew, Mark, Luke and John $\sim$ " are the "SAME EVENT"?
Because it is so TOTALLY taken for granted that all four Gospels are $\sim$ eye-witness events $\sim$ of the $\sim$ same event $\sim$, the Resurrection of Jesus!
Which is DENIED:
Because ...
First, the Gospels are NOT 'eye-witness accounts' OF Jesus'
Resurrection. They are Inspired Witness-SCRIPTURE-TO, Jesus' Resurrection.
Next, NO human being, 'witnessed' with sight, the event of Jesus' Resurrection; nobody saw Jesus rise from his grave or inside his grave,
Three, No Gospel recorded the Divine Act of Christ's Resurrection as such.
Four, Only Matthew recorded the CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVENTS AT THE TIME AND PLACE of Jesus' Resurrection: "FROM the dead" i.e.

1) inside "the SEPULCHRE";
2) "when there was a great earthquake";
3) "and the angel of the Lord descended"; and
4) "Mary Magdalene and the other Mary set out to go see the sepulchre";
5) "Late on the Sabbath in the mid-afternoon before the approaching First Day of the week."


MARK'S ACCOUNT.
Mar 16:1 WHEN the SABBATH was PAST, MARY MAGDALENE,
MARY the mother of James, and SALOME bought spices, so that they might go and anoint him.
Mar 16:2 And VERY EARLY
(LIAN ="exceedingly"; PROI ="dawn"; "daybreak watch"\}
ON THE FIRST DAY OF THE WEEK, WHEN THE SUN HAD RISEN,
THEY went to the tomb.
The "THEY" who went to the tomb to anoint Jesus' body early at daybreak on Sunday morning, includes MARY MAGDALENE. REG, you imagine Mary Magdalene was so witless that although she supposedly had found the empty tomb the previous night, and then gone back and spoken with the risen Jesus that she returned for a THIRD time on Sunday morning, to anoint Jesus' dead body!
Then you condemn all of us who know she could not have been so stupid and faithless.

## Rubies:

\#2675 http://www.topix.com/forum/relipion/seventh-dav-advenistTCCMTOOQAME27RFJT4/0128
YOU twist the antiquated KJV scripture here, and refuse to read a modern, accurate translation such as the ESV, which clearly says : Mar 16:9 Now WHEN HE ROSE EARLY ON THE FIRST DAY OF THE WEEK,

## GE:

\#4089 hitp://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-dav-adventistTCMTOOQAME27RETT4/p194
Yes, Rubies likes a modern, biased adaptation such as the ESV, which clearly corrupts the Text, "Risen he appeared" in Mark 16:9, into an inaccurate quasi translation, " $\sim$ Now WHEN HE
ROSE EARLY ON THE FIRST DAY OF THE WEEK~"

## Rubies:

...read a modern, accurate translation such as the ESV, which clearly says :
Mar 16:9 Now WHEN HE ROSE EARLY ON THE FIRST DAY OF THE WEEK, HE APPEARED FIRST TO MARY
MAGDALENE ... 10 She went and told those who had been with him, as they mourned and wept. It dos not matter where you put the comma, Reg! You do not even need a comma. It is blindingly obvious to all but the wilfully blind, that JESUS ROSE EARLY ON SUNDAY MORNING, that MARY MAGDALENE was the FIRST to see Him on Sunday morning, and that MARY MAGDALENE told the disciples this, EARLY ON SUNDAY MORNING.

## GE:

Nobody is " $\sim$ wilfully blind $\sim$ " for the truths here,
" $\sim$ that MARY MAGDALENE was the FIRST to see Him on Sunday morning, and that MARY MAGDALENE told the disciples this, EARLY ON SUNDAY MORNING.~"
It is you who are " $\sim$ wilfully blind $\sim$ "-no, PRETEND, blind for your own, covered up subtle sly and immoral perversion " $\sim$ that JESUS ROSE EARLY ON SUNDAY MORNING $\sim "$.
\#2676 http://www.topi.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-dventistTCMTOQQAME27RFTT4/p128
Reg, you wrote \#2641 :
"MK 16:2 And very early in the morning the first day of the week, THEY came unto the sepulchre at the rising of the sun.---(not Mary Magdalene) on Sunday morning took the spice to finish the burial"
But you deny the fact that Mark 16:1 says this DID include Mary Magdalene.
And you deny the fact that John 20:1 says it WAS Mary

Magdalene who came early in the morning on Sunday. And you deny the fact that Luke 24:10 says it WAS Mary Magdalene who came to the tomb at early dawn on Sunday morning.
And you deny the fact that Matthew 18:1 says that Mary Magdalene DID go to the tomb at dawn on Sunday morning. And you deny the fact that Luke 24:22 says the women, INCLUDING Mary Magdalene, found the tomb empty, early on Sunday morning.
Basically Reg, you are in denial! You deny that the many excellent scholars of our day, who dedicate themselves to translating the earliest possible manuscripts into the scriptures that we read, know what they are doing. You prefer flawed antiquated versions which make no sense to 21st century readers, which were translated from much later (therefore less accurate) copies of the scriptures. KJV.

## Rubies:

\#2676
Mar 16:9 Now when [Jesus] was risen early the first [day] of the week, he appeared first to Mary Magdalene.
The KJV frequently [ADDED words] to the scriptures, such as here. What this verse ought to say, is :
Mark 16:9 "Rising early in the morning of the first of the week, He appeared first to Mary Magdalene."
There is no "WAS risen" as you think, in the past tense.
The verse clearly states that JESUS ROSE EARLY ON SUNDAY
MORNING.
\#4092
'raised up again',
Adverbial:
"He APPEARED-as-The-Risen to Mary Magdalene first, early on the First Day of the week."

Or / and, 'raised up again',
Adjectival:
"As-The-RESURRECTED he appeared to Mary Magdalene first, early on the First Day of the week."

## GE:

\# \#4089 http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p194
Rubies the Greek Authority. Eish!
See hitp://www.topix.com/forum /religion/seventh-day-adventistTCMTOOQAME27REJT4/p194 $_{\text {\# }}$ \#4076, 4078, 4080.

KJV, Mark 16:9, "Now when [Jesus] was risen early the first [day] of the week, he appeared first to Mary Magdalene."

## Rubies:

The KJV frequently [ADDED words] to the scriptures, such as here.

## GE:

Although it is an improvable translation the KJV " $\sim A D D E D \sim$ " no " $\sim$ words to this Scripture $\sim$ ".

## Rubies:

What this verse ought to say, is :
Mark 16:9 "Rising early in the morning of the first of the week, He appeared first to Mary Magdalene."

## GE:

" $\sim$ Rising $\sim "$ would require a Present; Mark 16:9 uses the Aorist Participle that for nothing in your life will be rendered with the Indicative Present Continuous, "~rising $\sim "--$ CHANCER!
Nincompoop Greek Scholar pipsqueak swindler!

## Rubies:

There is no "WAS risen" as you think, in the past tense.

## GE: \#

I knew that but you do not know that. Because you do not know the difference between a "~tense $\sim$ " in English and a 'Mood' in Greek or the difference between a Verb in English and a Participle in Greek.
The King James is correct, but it could have chosen better words, like "Risen, he appeared" instead of "when he was risen he appeared"-_"when he was risen"-ONE WORD IN GREEK-, 'anastas', Adjectival Adverbial Aorist2 Participle Nominative Singular Masculine, of 'anistehmi'- 'to raise into existence', therefore, 'raised up again',
Adverbial:
"He APPEARED-as-The-Risen to Mary Magdalene first, early on the First Day of the week."
Or / and, 'raised up again',
Adjectival:
"As-The-RESURRECTED he appeared to Mary Magdalene first, early on the First Day of the week."

## Rubies:

The verse clearly states that JESUS ROSE EARLY ON SUNDAY MORNING.

## GE:

The verse clearly does not state that Jesus rose early on Sunday morning. If you knew anything about Greek you would have seen.

## Rubies:



Gen 2:2 And on the seventh day
God finished his work that he had done, and he RESTED H7673
on the seventh day
from all his work that he had done.
Just because a day is the "seventh day," does not always mean it is the Sabbath. It may be the seventh day of the month, or the seventh day in hospital, or whatever.
Just because someone has a rest day, does not mean that is the Sabbath. They might just be very tired.
Just because the Hebrew here is "SHABATH," does not mean this is the Sabbath. In fact it is not. There is a single " B " in this word meaning "REST."
I don't expect you to be able to tell the difference, but the Hebrew for Sabbath is SHABBATH. Two "B's." There is a dot in the middle of the Hebrew " B " which means it is doubled, altering the emphasis on the syllables, and also altering the meaning of the word.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p129

## Rubies: \#2689

Alex wrote :\#2261
"The Passover Lamb(s) was killed on Nisan 14 during two dailies one at 9 AM and one at 3PM. The meat was then later eaten that night on Nisan 15 with the unleavened bread."
Alex wrote : \#2345
"Ex 12:6 explicitly said to kill the lambs between (bin) the evenings (e•orbim) which was the original 3 PM sacrifice (later modified to two dailies 9 AM and 3 PM)"
Alex wrote :\#2457
"From 14th to 21 st is 8 days to eat ULB.
But the lamb itself wasn't killed until the one of two dailies 9AM
and 3PM on Nisan 14."
Hi Alex, These comments have been bothering me for some time.
From them, I gather that you believe that after the Egyptian
Passover, the actual sacrificing of the Passover lamb was split into 2 separate timeslots on the 14th Nisan, which was consequently designated the morning and the evening sacrifice. This is a curious idea to say the least!
So one answer I gave to your questionnaire is misleading, for I misunderstood your question at that time.
You wrote :
"Day of Passover Sacrifice: Nisan 14, two dailies at 9AM and second at 3PM"

## Rubies:

\#2689
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p129
"Agreed - "Day of Passover Sacrifice: Nisan 14, two dailies at 9 AM and second at 3 PM" - except in years when dates are disordered by Nisan 14 falling on the Sabbath."

## GE:

What legalist lean-wittedness!
Joshua and Israel marched around Jericho for 7 days. What makes a day a holy day is what GOD does on that day; not what man is ordered to do or not allowed to do on it. " $\sim$ Nisan $14 \sim$ " couldn’t be " $\sim$ disordered $\sim$ " by GOD'S own acts and orders!

## Rubies:

\#2689 http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p129
Apparently you think that the "two dailies" were applicable only to the 14th Nisan!
But the fact is that these sacrifices - the oloth ha'tamid (regular
burnt offering) and the Passover - are two separate things!
The Passover was sacrificed on just one day of the year (or two days if you count the second Passover in Iyyar for all who were unable to observe the first in Nisan).
But the regular burnt offering consisting of the morning and evening sacrifices, was offered every single day of the year! This is for instance, the "regular burnt offering" found in Daniel $8: 11,12,13 ; 11: 31 ; 12: 11$. There, it refers to the coming desecrations by the Antichrist before Jesus returns to destroy him. Also in Ezekiel 46:15, which teaches us that this regular sacrifice will continue throughout the future millennial reign of the Messiah on earth.
So I will correct my answer here.
On 14th Nisan, the "two dailies" were offered as on every day of the year.
Num 28:3 "This is the food offering that you shall offer to the LORD: two male lambs a year old without blemish, DAY BY DAY, as a REGULAR OFFERING. 4 The one lamb you shall offer in the MORNING, and the other lamb you shall offer at TWILIGHT ... 6 It is a REGULAR BURNT OFFERING, which was ordained at Mount Sinai for a pleasing aroma, a food offering to the LORD."
However, the "evening sacrifice" was necessarily moved forward an hour or so on 14 Nisan, to accommodate the Passover sacrifice which must be made at about 3 pm , before sunset.


#### Abstract

Alex: Good find Rubies. I hadn't realized that before even though I had read about continual sacrifices in Daniel. Two lambs every day WOW thats a lot over all that time assuming Israel and/or Judah kept up the commandments in their stages of declining disobedience. Of course they couldn't do this even if they wanted to when the First Temple was destroyed.


Well at least the 3 PM Passover remains consistent with Christ as Passover Lamb. I will give it some thought though I have some other things to get out of the way. This style of exchange between you and I Rubies is why I believe fair discussion is beneficial as opposed to less than ideal ones. Regards.

## Rubies:

Hey Alex, Thanks for the kind words. I too enjoy the sanity of gracious conversation. If everyone had exactly the same background and knowledge and beliefs, there would be nothing to discuss would there? This forum with its divergent views has prompted me to study things more deeply and it is amazing what comes up.
"Whatever you get, get wisdom."
"Knowledge is a light load to carry."
 -Nothing of which rigmarole applied to or happened on the first and the last ever passovers - the two Passover-Sufferings of Yahweh; the first, first passover at the goings-out of "the Kingdom of Darkness" and "The First and The Last" and "Alpha and Omega" of passovers-, "Our Passover", "Out of the Kingdom of Darkness Into the Kingdom and Light of God's Dear Son. -Nothing of which rigmarole could happen in That Day, on Jesus' last passover : because The Lamb of God Jesus Christ so fully filled and so verily fulfilled the 'God-given and therefore eschatological Imperative Whole and Wholeness'[*] of the Passover of Yahweh "in Three Days Thick Darkness" the "Whole Day Bone-Day" of the "Plague Upon Him", "three days and three nights IN THE HEART OF THE EARTH".
"The Sun of Righteousness shall arise with healing in his wings. ...Behold ...the GREAT AND TERRIBLE DAY OF THE LORD." [* Lohmeyer's expression borrowed.]

## Rubies: \#2691

Alex wrote : "Note Passover Seder (meal) is not the actual Lord's Passover which occurred on midnight 14 Nisan.
"Apparently in the haste to leave Egypt on 14/15th to leave things uncooked/behind this seder meal was celebrated on 15 Nisan."
Hi Alex, I think these websites you are referencing are confusing the issue for you. They don't all agree with each other, and they often contradict the Bible. Their application of terminology is woolly.
The "Passover seder" is the meal where the Passover lamb was eaten, after sunset, always on 15 Nisan. Not at midnight.
This first Passover meal / seder in Egypt is called "The Lord's Passover" in Ex 12:11.
The "SACRIFICE of" or "KEEPING" the Lord's Passover is the action of sacrificing that lamb on the afternoon of 14 Nisan before sunset, every year, in Ex 12:27, Lev 23:5 \& Num 28:16. Nothing occurred "at midnight on 14 Nisan."
I understand some COG's teach that the Passover was eaten at the beginning of 14 Nisan, or at midnight, which is unscriptural.
But the Lord "PASSED OVER" the houses of the Israelites on the night of 15 Nisan, Ex 12:13, when the first-born of all the Egyptians were killed at midnight on 15 Nisan, Ex 11:4,5 \& 12:29.


#### Abstract

Alex: Rubies - sorry I think you have misread what I said. I never said the Passover Seder meal was held at midnight on 14 Nisan. The seder meal is held after sunset on 15 Nisan. The Lords Passover was 14 Nisan Ex 12:13 does not refer to the evening (past 6PM) of 15 Nisan but "between the evenings" meaning afternoon when the lambs were killed. However I can see the Lord PASSED OVER that night at midnight on 15 Nisan as blood had to be shed (and painted on lintel) before that time in line with Christ having shed


blood on 14 before the 15 Passover. At the time of the exodus after midnight and by morning the people would have started packing ready to go on the 15 (feet shod).

## GE:

Good post.
Only, " $\sim$ At the time of the exodus $\sim$ " the people have started and were moving out " $\sim$ after midnight and by morning $\sim$ " ALREADY, AND, "ON THE FOURTEENTH" IN Exodus 12-13.
Only from that Israel had gone over the Jordan is the day on which they "DEPARTED", called, "the fifteenth day of the First Month."
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p130


#### Abstract

Alex: Exodus 12:13 has no indication of "ALREADY, AND, "ON THE FOURTEENTH". The eating and the shodding of feet were both "in that night" on the 15 th. They would have remained in their houses with painted lintels and jambs for protection until the Lord passed over at midnight on the 15th and started out at daybreak.


## GE:

http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p195 \#4098
" $\sim$ "in that night" $\sim$ " is a reference to the fourteenth-the only date found in the whole of Exodus.
It is " $\sim$ on the 15 th $\sim$ "- your addition and edition-which there is " $\sim$ no indication of $\sim "$ in Exodus 12:13.
Fact no. 1, in Exodus 12 Israel departed on the fourteenth;
Fact no. 2, in Numbers 33:3 they departed on the fifteenth.
The LORD passed over on the fourteenth in Exodus 12. The date of the fourteenth is given twice, in the text before they departed and in the text after they had departed. Exodus 12:6 and 18.

Do not by yourself decide this must be a discrepancy; it is not; it is Divine Dispensation. The explanation is not in human alternatives and elaborations but in Divine fulfilment by Jesus Christ Our

## Passover.

In the Land of Darkness days were sunrise days. In the Kingdom of God days are sunset days. There's your WHOLE explanation: Christ's "WHOLE-DAY Bone-Day".
Accept it or reject God's Truth.

## Rubies: \#2693

"Ceremonial sabbath" is a furphy that must be discarded in light of scripture. It is special pleading engaged in by the SDAs for the sole purpose of discrediting this verse :
Col 2:16 Therefore let no one pass judgment on you
in questions of food and drink, or with regard to FESTIVAL \{ANNUAL observation\} or NEW MOON \{MONTHLY observation\}
or SABBATH. \{WEEKLY observation.\}
The SDA and COG foundation and very reason for existence crumbles, of course, if this verse refers to the weekly Sabbath. Which it clearly does.
Taking their aversion to extremes, many sabbatarians these days hate the truth of this verse so vehemently, that they pronounce Paul an apostate and delete his all words from their bibles.
Hence the many decades of denial and subterfuge engaged in, creating other "ceremonial sabbaths" which were all supposedly "nailed to the Cross."
This conspicuous ploy spectacularly fails when SDAs next insist that the "DAY of Atonement" was NOT nailed to the Cross with all the other Appointed Feasts, but began in 1844 and is still continuing today, 170 YEARS later, with no end in sight. Therefore, PROSABBATON which means "before Sabbath" can only be FRIDAY. And since PARASKEUE = PROSABBATON,
then PARASKEUE explicitly, and invariably, means FRIDAY. ... All 4 Gospels unequivocally, emphatically and unanimously state that Jesus was crucified, He died and was buried on FRIDAY.

## GE:

\#4096 http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p195
Even were every word, true, it does not, " $\sim$ state $\sim "$, nor does it indicate or elude to, nor does it prove, " $\sim$ He died and was buried on FRIDAY~". Your post has been useless 'information' of no value even for anything else in life. You have said, nothing in fact. And you need not have mentioned these things, are you trying to show with them that they mean that " $\sim H e$ died and was buried on FRIDAY~". Rather keep quiet and save face.
Because the day Jesus was BURIED on started where the Gospels say "the evening had come already" in four 'parallel
Scriptures'—which "evening" in all four 'parallel Scriptures' "had already come" AFTER the previous and past day on which Jesus was Crucified and had Died.
Bring the Manuscript(s) which have Mark 15:42 "The evening had come and because it already was The Preparation which is the Fore-Sabbath Joseph went in before Pilate", before 15:24,37 Jesus’ Crucifixion and death!
Bring the Manuscript(s) which have Matthew 27:57 "When the even was come, there came Joseph", before 27:35,50 Jesus' Crucifixion and death!
Bring the Manuscript(s) which have Luke 23:50,52 "Behold, Joseph went unto Pilate", before 23:33,46 Jesus' Crucifixion and death!
Bring the Manuscript(s) which have John 19:31,38 "the Jews because the Preparation had begun, asked Pilate" and "his side (was) pierced" and "after (them) Joseph asked Pilate", before 19:23,30 Jesus' Crucifixion and death!
THEN, Rubies, shall I believe you; NOT BEFORE!

## Rubies:

Hundreds of years of written testimony of Church Fathers corroborates the truth of this. Any other interpretation is unscriptural specious argument from those with a spurious gospel to support. QUOD ERAT DEMONSTRANDUM : All 4 Gospels unequivocally, emphatically and unanimously state that Jesus was crucified, He died and was buried on FRIDAY.

## GE:

Quote?

## Caller:

Here again the unpolished Rube reveals his ignorance in reference to the real meaning of the Final Atonement in relation to the Reconciliation of the universe as well as the solution to the sin problem. Fact is that the Final Atonement takes place before Jesus second coming and after that comes Tabernacles. This has nothing to do with Israel and your dispensational non-sense.

## Jim:

But doesn't the text say that Christ was crucified on Friday?

## Rubies:

Well of course it does!

## GE:

No; all four Gospels say Christ was crucified and died BEFORE Mark 15:42 Matthew 27:57 John 19:31,38 Luke 23:54. All four Gospels say Christ was crucified and died on the day BEFORE, namely, "on the day without leaven when they KILLED the passover" Mark 14:12,17 Matthew 26:17,20 Luke 22:7,14 John 13:1,30 1Corinthians 11:23.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCMT0QQAME27RFJT4/p195\#lastPost \#4097
WCs and Friday freaks have much in common; they all believe the lie of the same day Crucifixion Death AND Burial.


#### Abstract

Alex: A Friday Crucifixion is untenable and contravenes prophecy and the words of Christ. Refer to PDF Death and Resurrection article just posted prior. Take some time to understand it and realise it has fuller meaning.


## GE:

It cannot be simpler. But they can't quote it. Because Wednesday crucifixion believers are : I-G-N-O-R-A-N-T. But they think they are all-knowing. THAT IS THEIR BASIC SHORTCOMING ... their ignorance. But their ESSENTIAL shortcoming is their ALL-KNOWINGNESS. How does one persuade one of his ignorance?
Well, that's easy: Ask him the most basic detail to fact. But how does one convince one of his arrogance and audacity? Well, that's the difficult part. Because it's impossible.
And I have several times asked you and or anyone else to bring the Scriptures --- which you and every one else consistently have FAILED to produce. And it is obvious why you all have FAILED. It is because there ARE NO such Scripture.
Of course my invitation --- my humble request --- still stands. So please place the SCRIPTURE -- not yours, but the Bible's -here, and I will retract my denial of the Wednesday crucifixion HOAX --- TV HOAX as explained by Jm1983j above!

## Rubies:

You are such a liar. Everyone knows I have contributed more scripture than anyone to prove this point and many other points you disagree with.

As "PARASKEUE" invariably means FRIDAY, therefore the Crucifixion occurred on FRIDAY.
But we also know you just like being disagreeable. So I am ignoring you OK?


#### Abstract

Alex: Reg - I agree with Rubies - Mary saw Jesus raised Sunday AM. But where Rubies and I may differ is that I see Christ raised from the dead in the remaining hour of Saturday Sabbath 17 Nisan 30 AD just before 6 PM which fulfills "three days and three nights" and "on the third day".


## Rubies:

Oh dear ... Go very carefully Alex! I have interacted with the author of this website on 2 different forums over some years and like everyone else, I found him to be a dead-set deluded froot loop. A very recently "converted" fanatic with many heretical doctrines to stay far away from.

## Rubies:

I like the high hand - high day explanation - its the only one Ive come across. Its was either open palm like a Nazi salute or a clenched fist. Victory over the Egyptians. I'm pinning it for now. Don't forget Marie Casale research here [Cut]

## GE:

\#2707
"Now they began on the first day of the First Month to sanctify, and on the eighth day of the month came they to the proch of the LORD: So they sanctified the House of the LORD eight days; and in the SIXTEENTH day of the First Month they made an END. Then they went in to Hezekiah the king, and said, We have CLEANSED ALL the House of the LORD, and the altar of burnt
offering, with all the vessels thereof, and the SHEWBREAD table"--- an offering of and on the SEVENTH DAY SABBATH! "The song of the LORD began"- the Song of Moses and of the Lamb --- the SABBATH DAY'S SONG Exodus 15 !
"The song of the LORD with the TRUMPETS only used on days of SABBATHS!
So, "the SIXTEENTH day of the First Month": "the day after the (great day) sabbath ... to its SEASON ... on the fifteenth day of the First Month" Leviticus 23:15 et al, "FIRST SHEAF OFFERING (was) WAVED BEFORE THE LORD": "In the Sabbath's FULLNESS BEING IN THE VERY DAYLIGHT INCLINING TOWARDS the First Day of the week"--"mid-afternoon of the Sabbath there was a great earthquake" which MARKED both the day and the RESURRECTION from the dead of the Lord Jesus Christ.
---"the sixteenth day of the First Month"--- NOT "~17 Nisan~".
"On the eighth day of the First Month they came ..." ON THE SAME DAY OF THE SAME MONTH JESUS was on his way to his LAST WEEK of making atonement for sin and to cleanse the Sanctuary his Life offered sinners.


#### Abstract

Alex: It matters not who originated the Wednesday Crucifixion "theory". What matters is Scripture and history aligning. I just found this PDF [Cut] death and resurrection.pdf The author has done a lot of work which corresponds to my November 2013 Scripture only study wherein the basic outline was done to conclude a Wednesday crucifixion - it all started with John 19:31 and went from there. I later checked these and modified a few other related events e.g. First Fruits. As you well know a careful reading and interpretation is required e.g. between the evenings etc [Cut] Events of Passover and the Crucifixion Week with respect to Daniel 70th Week FINAL.docx


Note that page 20 of 63 the author comes to same conclusion: 5 April 30 AD 14 Nisan was a Wednesday, middle of the week, middle of 7 years - adding greater depth, meaning and fulfillment to Daniels 70 weeks prophecy than the Dispensationalist view. This site may also help those who cannot see a Wednesday crucifixion. A Friday one violates Daniel, and many New Testament passages. A Wednesday one also fulfills "three days and three nights" and "on the third day".

## Jim:

AFAIK the Wed. Crucifixion Theory had its origins in the Adventist Church of Godmovement. It was subsequently popularized by Herbert Armstrong and his son Garner. Given the fact that these guys were on TV and radio for decades, many people, including members of the Seventh Day Adventist church, came to believe in the theory. Ridiculous ideas become more plausible when they are argued for on TV

## Rubies:

Too true. But of course, SDAs are too stubborn to give up their false doctrine about the Cross being on Friday 27th April 31 AD (which turns out to have been 16th IYYAR, the 2nd month, not Nisan) so they jump at any potentially face-saving solution to their chronological problem, no matter how far-fetched. Some are even so foolish that they accept the Looney Sabbath heresy. They will do anything except acknowledge the TRUTH!

GE: \#2726
Gerhard Ebersoehn wrote:
Good post.
Only, " $\sim$ At the time of the exodus $\sim$ " the people have started and were moving out " $\sim$ after midnight and by morning $\sim$ " ALREADY, AND, "ON THE FOURTEENTH" IN Exodus 12-13.

Only from that Israel had gone over the Jordan is the day on which they "DEPARTED", called, "the fifteenth day of the First Month." Alex wrote:
Exodus 12:13 has no indication of "ALREADY, AND, "ON THE FOURTEENTH". The eating and the shodding of feet were both "in that night" on the 15 th. They would have remained in their houses with painted lintels and jambs for protection until the Lord passed over at midnight on the 15 th and started out at daybreak. Please note,
GE wrote, "Exodus 12-13."
Alex 'quotes' GE, "~Exodus 12:13~"
Sorry, I should have written, 'Exodus 12 to 13 ', the two whole chapters.

GE: \#2729
Alex wrote:
Exodus 12:13 has no indication of "ALREADY, AND, "ON THE FOURTEENTH". The eating and the shodding of feet were both "in that night" on the 15 th. They would have remained in their houses with painted lintels and jambs for protection until the Lord passed over at midnight on the 15 th and started out at daybreak. " $\sim$ the eating and the shodding of feet were both "in that night" on the 15th.~"
"This day came ye out in the month of Abib" Exodus 14:4. Yes, ELSEWHERE than in Exodus, it was " $\sim$ on the 15th~"
Numbers 33:3.
But,"THIS day ye came out in the month of Abib" in Exodus, was "the FOURTEENTH day of the month of Abib" in 12:; 12:18 --"THIS day"-is the "SAME day I brought you out" in 12:17,51 --"THAT day the LORD saved Israel" in 14:30 ---
"THIS day I see blood I will pass you over" in 12:13,14 --"THIS NIGHT I will pass over and will SMITE" in 12:12 --"THIS day"-_"WHEN the children of Israel WENT AWAY it
came to pass that at MIDNIGHT the LORD SMOTE ... in the night $\ldots$ by night $\ldots$ the people took their dough $\ldots$ and journeyed from Rameses to Succot" in 12:12 to 37 ---
"THIS day"-"the SELFSAME day -WHOLE day -BONE-day it came to pass that all the LORD'S hosts WENT OUT"--"THIS day"-_"it is NIGHT TO BE SOLEMNLY OBSERVED unto the LORD for bringing them OUT"--"THIS day"-"is THIS THAT NIGHT OF THE LORD" in 41,42. "THIS day"-_"it came to pass the selfsame-whole-day-BONE-day THAT the LORD did bring the children of Israel OUT of the land of Egypt" in verse 51.
"THIS day came ye OUT in the month of Abib ... THAT day
WHEN I came OUT of Egypt" in Exodus 14:4,8.
EVEN where "the day the Seventh Day Sabbath" would begin where it says, "Fear ye not, stand still, and see the salvation of the LORD which He will shew you TODAY ... the LORD shall fight for you" in 14:13, it is written ---
"THIS day"- is "all that night" and again "all that night" until --"THAT DAY the LORD saved Israel thus" in 20,21,30,
NO DISTINCTION between days and dates or nights and days are being made BECAUSE it was "ONE PLAGUE"-"THREE DAYS THICK DARKNESS" INDISTINGUISHABLY "THE DAY OF THE LORD'S PASSOVER"---
WHICH, is the "three days" of "three days and three nights" as in EXODUS; and as it once for all AGAIN would be, in the four Gospels and Jesus' LAST PASSOVER.
"THIS day ye came OUT in the month of Abib ... THAT day WHEN I came OUT of Egypt" in Exodus 14:4,8 everywhere outside the book of Exodus has always been "on the fifteenth day".
This phenomenon of the SEEMING anomaly in the dates of both the "fourteenth" and "fifteenth" days of the month of Abib for the Passover of Yahweh PROVES the truth Jesus "in all the Prophets"
was the promised Messiah of God.
The Jews cannot take or handle it. The Wednesday crucifixionists even less. The Seventh-day Adventists will commit murder if they could because of it.
The Roman Catholics -- because they CAN -- do commit murder because of the prophetic "three days" in the Scriptures. Yes, they MURDER the Text;
AND, they MURDER the messengers of the truth of the "three days" in the Scriptures --- THEY MURDER THE MESSENGER AND THEY MURDER THE MESSENGER'S CHARACTER .... WORST IS, the Roman Catholics have all their ACCOMPLICES in the other Christian churches.
\#2731
Alex wrote :\#2261
" $\sim$ The Passover Lamb(s) was killed on Nisan 14 during two dailies one at 9 AM and one at 3PM. The meat was then later eaten that night on Nisan 15 with the unleavened bread. $\sim "$
Alex wrote :\#2457
" $\sim$ Note Passover Seder (meal) is not the actual Lord's Passover which occurred on midnight 14 Nisan. Apparently in the haste to leave Egypt on 14/15th to leave things uncooked/behind this seder meal was celebrated on 15 Nisan. $\sim "$
Rubies wrote :
" $\sim$ I understand some COG's teach that the Passover was eaten at the beginning of 14 Nisan, or at midnight, which is unscriptural. $\sim "$ Rubies wrote:
" $\sim$ The "Passover seder" is the meal where the Passover lamb was eaten, after sunset, always on 15 Nisan. Not at midnight. $\sim "$
Rubies wrote :
" $\sim$ But the Lord "PASSED OVER" the houses of the Israelites on the night of 15 Nisan, Ex 12:13, when the first-born of all the Egyptians were killed at midnight on 15 Nisan, Ex 11:4,5 \&

12:29.~"
http:/www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-d...
Alex wrote:
" $\sim$ Rubies - sorry I think you have misread what I said. I never said the Passover Seder meal was held at midnight on 14 Nisan. The seder meal is held after sunset on 15 Nisan. The Lords Passover was 14 Nisan Ex 12:13 does not refer to the evening (past 6PM) of 15 Nisan but "between the evenings" meaning afternoon when the lambs were killed. However I can see the Lord PASSED OVER that night at midnight on 15 Nisan as blood had to be shed (and painted on lintel) before that time in line with Christ having shed blood on 14 before the 15 Passover. At the time of the exodus after midnight and by morning the people would have started packing ready to go on the 15 (feet shod). $\sim "$
Alex and Rubies agree. Both place the EATING of the passover meal on the FIFTEENTH day of the First month.
They both DENY the EATING was on the fourteenth --- on which date it AT FIRST-at the exodus under Moses, was.
The EATING of the at the time of the exodus ONLY passover meal, was on the "FOURTEENTH day of the First Month". The "fourteenth" is stated twice, in verses 6,8 and in verse 18. Numbers 9 records the "fourteenth" passover in MOSES' time. "In the First Month TWO YEARS after they were come out the LORD spoke to Moses in the wilderness, Let Israel keep the passover at its appointed season [as recorded in Exodus 12 to 14] in the fourteenth day of this month: late in the afternoon keep [kill] it ... And they kept the passover on the fourteenth day of the First Month late afternoon in the wilderness of Sinai: according to ALL that the LORD commanded Moses"[at first at the historic goings out].
" 6 Certain men could not keep the passover on THAT DAY.... 9 And the LORD spake ... 11 The FOURTEENTH DAY of the Second Month they shall keep-that is-EAT the passover with
unleavened bread. 12 They shall leave none of it next morning or (THAT DAY) break any bone of it according to ALL the ordinances of the passover they shall keep it (THAT DAY)"-passover-BONE-day:"the fourteenth"! Josua 5
Only after Israel had passed over the Jordan into the Promised Land of the passover " 12 and the manna had ceased, had they EATEN on the day AFTER ... of the fruit of the land of Canaan that year."
" 11 On the DAY AFTER the passover did they EAT ... parched unleavened cakes in the selfsame=BONE-day." Israel at last had "rolled over" at Gilgal into the new land as well as into the new CALENDAR that 'rolled' the Feast-Eating of the "fourteenth" over onto the "fifteenth day of the First Month".
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## Jim:

The Gospel of Matthew says that Christ will spend " 3 days and 3 nights" in the belly of the Earth just as Jonah had spent the same time in the belly of the great fish. Later on, Matthew has Christ spend one day and two nights in the ground before He is resurrected.
The solution to the conundrum is quite simple: the Bible contradicts itself.
The Wednesday Crucifixion Theory is yet another imaginative attempt to reconcile the irreconcilable.
Unfortunately for the Armstrong people and others, the Bible actually says that the Crucifixion was on Friday. The solution cannot work.

## GE:

You are RIGHT as concerns everything you say about " $\sim$ The Wednesday Crucifixion Theory~". It's so silly, unoriginal and faulty it is uninteresting and boring.
I invite you personally, JM1983 ---look, I even write you name with Capital letters--- I cordially invite you, JM1983, tojust please, quote us the SCRIPTURE where " $\sim$ the Bible actually says that the Crucifixion was on Friday. $\sim$ "

## Jim:

You are all a bunch of cultists.

## GE:

Being a cult has nothing to with the FACT that _you_
misquoted Matthew as having written, " $\sim$ that Christ will spend " 3 days and 3 nights" in the belly of the Earth~"


#### Abstract

Alex: oh dear - going with GE logical fallacies - that makes him a Thursday crucifixionist whereas in the past he has said Jesus was crucified on 14 Nisan and buried the following day on 15 Nisan. It just gets better! I do not and cannot apologize for my apparent sarcasm in this regard as a reading of past posts can confirm this.


## GE:

The TRUTH of the SCRIPTURES have $<$ made me a Thursday crucifixionist> -- you are dead RIGHT, Alex.
Look you are using _my_terminology even! It's NOT amazing, I have NEVER "~in the past he has said $\sim$ " differently. My consistency is paying off --- consistency and SUPERIOR knowledge.
Last Week /'Holy Week’
Sabbath
"Six days before passover Feast Days" John 12:1 (... begin on

## Friday)

## (Palm Sunday)

Five days before "Feast Days" John 12:12
(Monday)
Four days before "Feast Days" (... begin on Friday)
Mark 11:12 Matthew 21:18 Mark 11:15 Luke 19:45-48 Mark
11:19
(Tuesday)
THREE days before "Feast Days" (... begin on Friday)
Mark 11:20,21,27 Matthew 22:23 Luke 20:1-8 Mark 13:1,3
= Matthew 26:2 "after TWO days (Wednesday Thursday) is
Passover
="Son of Man CRUCIFIED" (... on Thursday)
(Wednesday)
TWO days before "Feast Days"
Luke 21:38 Matthew 26:3
= Mark 14:1-3 "after TWO days (Thursday Friday) is Feast
="Days of UNLEAVENED Bread" (... begin on Friday)
(Thursday-Wednesday night and Thursday day, the Fifth Day)
One day before "Feast Days" (... begin on Friday)
="BEFORE the Feast" John 13:1
="PREPARATION of the Passover" John 19:14
="NOT ON, the Feast" Mark 14:2
="WHEN they KILLED the passover...
="WHEN they REMOVED leaven"
= Mark 14:12 Matthew 26:17 Luke 22:7 Exodus 12:15a
= Abib 14 (Thursday Abib 14)
(Friday)
"The Feast of Unleavened Bread" Exodus 12:15b
="The Preparation ...
="... which is the Foresabbath ...
="... for THAT DAY WAS great day sabbath" of passover.

The BEST subject for meditation on God's Holy Rest Day .... enjoy it while you can .... NO ONE knows if he will see tomorrow's daylight ....
GOD BE MERCIFUL TO ME, YOUR SINNER CHILD
O, that I may know HIM AND THE POWER OF HIS
RESURRECTION!
On this day and for quite some years now, my knowledge and understanding from the Scriptures concerning Christ's Crucifixion and Death, and Burial, and Resurrection and the days of the week they occurred on, surpass the knowledge and insight of any person, living, or dead. If I do not tell you this, I would NEGLECT MY DUTY.


#### Abstract

Alex: jm1983 please explain your thoughts more clearly with examples from Scripture.


## GE:

I gave you not just <examples> --- I gave you --- here, above --EVERY SCRIPTURE pertaining : which EXPLAIN THE TRUTH as "~clearly~" as if God is speaking, because it in fact IS, GOD, in the SCRIPTURES, "speaking thus concerning the Seventh Day ... by the SON ... in THESE last days : And God the day The Seventh Day due to ALL, HIS, WORKS, FINISHED, RESTED." THIS IS SCRIPTURE FOR YOU!
Quote: "DUE TO all, his, works, finished, rested ..." 'apo' Preposition of every possible 'prepositional meaning' like more specifically 'Relation', 'Agency', 'Means', 'Cause' and 'Association'.

## Reg:

Hello Gerard post 2743 you began with Sabbath as 6 days before the Passover then quote John 12:1 as your text which is Friday 6
days from Friday is Wednesday preparation of passover 14th Ex $12: 3$ is the 10 th and the 14 th day at even is the 15 th again 6 days which makes Thursday THE 15th
Mark 14:1,2 is Tuesday 2 days to passover also Thursday
Mat 12:40 3 days and 3 nights makes Sabbath resurrection day you or any one else cannot harmonise any other scripture for that period of time God tells us many times in the bible how He expects us to obey Him if you don't HE SAYS we are not to believe those who don't
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## Reg:

Gerard post 2731 John says God says you are a liar in 1JN 2:3 And hereby we do know that we know him, if we keep his commandments.
1JN 2:4 He that saith, I know him, and keepeth not his commandments, is a liar, and the truth is not in him.
$1 \mathrm{JN} 2: 5$ But whoso keepeth his word, in him verily is the love of God perfected: hereby know we that we are in him.
$1 \mathrm{JN} 2: 6$ He that saith he abideth in him ought himself also so to walk, even as he walked.
I believe Him not you SHOW ME IN Ex 12 where the Passover is 8 days texts please there are 4 that say 7 days
The 9th day at even is the very end of the day and the beginning of the 10th day at even
The same as the 14th day at even is the end of the 14th and the beginning of the 15 th
The lamb was killed on the first day of unleavened bread the passover begins at sunset the 14th day which is the same time as the beginning of the 15th day 21 st is day 7 Ex 12:18
You are renowned for changing your mind what you believe you are not sure of yourself

Also the 2 evenings are from sunset when the sun disappears over the horizon
MK 1:32 And at even, when the sun did set, they brought unto him all that were diseased, and them that were possessed with devils.
To when the first stars become visible JOB 3:9 Let the stars of the twilight thereof be dark; let it look for light, but have none; neither let it see the dawning of the day:
The sun in the Old Testament governed evening and morning

## Jim:

But doesn't the NT actually say that the Crucifixion was on Friday?

## GE:

I would be much obliged if you could quote me the New Testament where it actually says that the Crucifixion was on Friday. :... in ANY New Testament BEFORE the twentieth century! ... in any ENGLISH New Testament BEFORE the twentieth century!


#### Abstract

Alex: No - it doesn't say that. Nisan 14 must occur mid week to satisfy Daniel 9:27. Also Talmudic sources indicates the year 30 AD was the year ofthe supernatural event of the crucifixion of the Messiah. Yoma 39b-4 events happened in the Temple for 40 years straight prior to its destruction in 70 AD . Amazingl


## Rubies:

Reg's "24 antiquated bibles"
For starters :
Many times you have been asked to quote how all these old versions translate the NEXT PHRASE in this verse,"AS IT BEGAN TO DAWN TOWARD THE FIRST DAY OF THE WEEK," but you pigheadedly ignore this sensible request. WHAT ARE YOU HIDING, Reg?

Be courageous enough to at least honour this request at last would you? Instead of just idiotically quoting the list as you have done so far, NINETEEN TIMES! And it is full of errors and contradictions and omissions! What in the world do you imagine such a flawed list proves?
You have 24 NUMBERED LINES.
But Lines \# 4 \& 21 do NOT actually include a QUOTE!
So these do not prove anything at all.
I have pointed this out before but you still post it uncorrected every time.
\#4 Historic English Translations ????
\#21 Twentieth Century, Weymoutn.????
That is :- 2 out of 24 versions DO NOT prove what you claim. $2 \div 24 \times 100=8.33 \%$ of these Bibles DO NOT support your interpretation.
LINE \# 16 is absolutely contrary to your case, for it places this on FRIDAY AFTERNOON, BEFORE the Sabbath began! You are constantly telling us that the EVE is the DAY BEFORE something, right?
\#16 "ON THE EVE of the sabbaths."-Young. So there is another $4.16 \%$ of your precious antiquated Bibles that disproves your quackery.
$2+1=3$ versions
$=4.16 \%+8.33 \%=12.5 \%$ AGAINST YOU REG!

## GE:

Young, with " $\sim$ "On the eve of the sabbaths." $\sim$ " uses OLD
English - he does not idiomatically 'translate' the word 'sabbaths' even-, for the last part of the Sabbath's daylight.
It is only in modern English that one could [sottishly] confuse
" $\sim$ LINE \# 16 is absolutely contrary to [a Sabbath's-afternoon scenario]~" and stupidly aver, Young "~places this on FRIDAY

AFTERNOON~".
In defense of Reg, when right is right it stays right!


#### Abstract

Alex: Rubies - have you checked whether Young meant eve as in eve/beginning or eve as even/evening? The actual commentary saids "And on the eve of the sabbaths, at the dawn, toward the first of the sabbaths, came Mary the Magdalene, and the other Mary, to see the sepulchre" in context of the word "dawn" implies what other have stated towards the end of the sabbath. no one Bible commentator is $100 \%$ correct.


## Rubies:

Now we come to the 4 versions which absolutely, undeniably contradict your bunkum, because they all vouch for a SUNDAY RESURECTION, AFTER the SABBATH :
\#13 "AFTER the sabbaths.* "-Fenton.
\#20 "AFTER the Sabbath."- Goodspeed.
\#23 "AT THE CLOSE of the Sabbath."-Moffatt.
\#24 "IN THE CLOSE of the Sabbath."-Syrhthe Another 4 Bibles AGAINST YOU $=7$ Bibles AGAINST YOU Reg!

## GE:

"~\#23 "AT THE CLOSE of the Sabbath."-Moffatt~"
"~\#24 "IN THE CLOSE of the Sabbath."-Syrhthe~"
means during the last hours of the Sabbath;
it does not mean the same but is the opposite of
"~\#13 "AFTER the sabbaths.* "-Fenton. $\sim$ "
"~\#20 "AFTER the Sabbath."- Goodspeed. $\sim$
As Christianity began to understand the IMPLICATION OF JESUS' RESURRECTION IN MATTHEW 28:1 better, its
translators started to CORRUPT what it really says, into something that says WHAT THEY WANT IT TO SAY, namely, that Christ rose from the dead on the First Day of the week.

## IN THIS IS SEEN THE HIGH HAND DEFIANCE OF ROME AGAINST GOD'S WORD.

This growing awareness of the implication of Christ's
RESURRECTION in Matthew 28:1-4 did not even reach Bible scholars as late as A.T. Robertson who only saw MORE THAN "ONE VISIT" of the women in Matthew 28:1-4, "LATE, ON THE SABBATH dawn of the First Day of the week".

## Rubies:

$12.5 \%+16.66 \%=29.16 \%$ AGAINST YOU now.


#### Abstract

Alex: I don't see it Rubies. "\#23 "AT THE CLOSE of the Sabbath."-Moffatt. \#24 "IN THE CLOSE of the Sabbath."-Syrhthe means towards the end as others have said. again we must use various Bible commentaries and translations as guidelines not as weapons of absolute truth. So thats 5 against and how many, at the end, towards the end, eventide, about the end etc etc? Seems to me the majority point to just before the end of the Saturday Sabbath.


## Bates:

Conclusion: Wednesday crucifixion, late Sabbath resurrection.
Right? Yes, right!

[^1]
## Rubies:

Do you have a copy of Vines Expository Dictionary? It would be worth your while to search one out. I found mine in a 2 nd hand bookshop. Maybe it is available digitally now. It enriches one's understanding of the biblical languages rather than merely offering a list of translation options.
Often, something older is not better. The earlier academic levels are progressively built on and errors corrected by genuine scholars as the decades pass.
You are really dabbling on the lunatic fringes, Alex. Look out for bloodsucking leeches out there.
Now, there are another FIVE BIBLES AGAINST YOU! \#5 Wiclif, 1880 "But IN THE EUENTIDE of the Saboth." \#6 Tyndale, 1534.-"The Sabboth daye AT EVEN." \#7 Granmer, 1539.-"VPON AN EUENING of the Sabbothes." \#9 Rheims, 1582. "And IN THE EUENING of the Sabboth." \#18 "IT IS THE EVENING of the sabbaths."-Concordant. Remember Reg - YOU SAID that if something occurred "ON THE EVE OF THE 14th," this MUST mean it was JUST AFTER SUNSET on the 13th!! That is ... at the BEGINNING of the 14th; so in this case, on FRIDAY evening.
Here is where you wrote this; not just ONCE, but TWICE :
Reg wrote :\#1724:
"Rubie you also take note
"the 14th day AT EVEN is AFTER SUNSET
"the magical words for the change of day to evening is AT EVEN
on the 14 th
"at the BEGINNING of 14th
"THE END OF THE 13th"
Reg wrote :\# 1869
"if you claim it was killed ON THE EVENING of the 14th then that would be WHEN THE SUN SETon the 13th."
So here you contradict yourself again!
"AT EVENING on the 14th is just after sunset on the 13th ,"

# therefore by YOUR definition, "AT EVENING ON SABBATH"= JUST AFTER SUNSET ON FRIDAY. <br> 5 Bibles out of $24=20.83 \%$ AGAINST YOU here. <br> 7 Bibles +5 Bibles $=12$ out of 24 Bibles actually CONTRADICT YOU Reg. <br> Add this to the previous 29.16\%, and you now have FIFTY percent AGAINST YOU! 

## GE:

Much Ado about Nothing. You strenuous efforts, Rubies, are suicidal. And deadly effective.

## Rubies:

Now for the 4 versions that have "IN THE END of the Sabbath," which can be legitimately translated, "When the Sabbath had ENDED".
Just because REG thinks it means, "Sabbath still going on," does not make it true.
VINE's EXPOSITORY GREEK DICTIONARY states that this word OPSE, means "LATE AFTER the Sabbath."
THAYER's Greek Dictionary says "OPSE" here means, "LONG AFTER; the Sabbath having just PASSED; AFTER the Sabbath."

## GE:

WHAT A JEWEL for a FAKED Ruby!!!
At unbeatable price ...
Price: INTEGRITY

## Rubies:

STRONG's Greek Dictionary says OPSE means,"AFTER the

CLOSE of the day."
NASB Exhaustive Concordance says OPSE means,"LATE AFTER".
\#1 Standard Versions "IN THE END of the Sabbath, as it began to dawn toward the first day of the week, came Mary Magdalene and the other Mary to see the sepulcher."
\#3 Catholic Version "IN THE END of the Sabbath."-Douay \#14 "IN THE END of the Sabbath."-Newberry
\#17 AT THE END of the Sabbath."-Centenary New Testament. Another FOUR BIBLES AGAINST YOU!
12 Bibles +4 Bibles $=16$ Bibles out of 24 contradict you Reg! That is - another $16.666 \%$ AGAINST YOU! $16.666 \%+50 \%=66.666666 \%$ AGAINST YOU now.

## GE:

"~"IN THE END of the Sabbath," which can be legitimately translated, "When the Sabbath had ENDED"., "
It's too ridiculous to comment on. You're such a boring FAKE
Rubies you can't cheat the most unknowing.
Consult http://www.biblestudents.co.za/books/Book\ 2... pages
17 to 60

## Rubies:

This next version is uncertain as to the time : \#8 Geneva, 1557. "ABOUT the later ende of the Sabbath day." This could be any time after midday on Saturday.
We do not know, we cannot tell, because YOU REG refuse to quote the next qualifying, quantifying phrase from 23 versions. Then we find another vague phrase, which does NOT give a definite day of the week at all. It could mean Thursday or Friday for all we know! We can never tell until you post the rest of this verse.
\#15 "Late in the week."-Rotherham

So these 2 versions do NOT support you Reg.
16 versions +2 versions $=18$ out of 24 versions against you Reg.
Another $8.33 \%+66.6666 \%=75 \%$ AGAINST YOU.
So this now leaves you with just FIVE versions which categorically state,"ON the SABBATH":

## GE:

DESPITE there are big differences between Old and Modern English and DESPITE some people would love to dismiss it, the conclusion, is absolutely CERTAIN, that the Geneva 1557 Version is absolutely CERTAIN of between which time-LIMITS the time of day in Matthew 28:1 fell.
It could be " $\sim$ after midday $\sim$ ", " $\sim$ any time $\sim$ " of the day, but " $\sim$ on Saturday~" "about the later [or 'latter'] ende [or 'end']". " $\sim$ This [is] on Saturday $\sim$ "; " $\sim$ on the Sabbath $"$ ":

1) EXACTLY
and
2) CERTAINLY

And we DO KNOW, AND, CAN TELL, because thus is it WRITTEN, 'opse de sabbatohn tehi epiphohskousehi eis mian sabbatohn ... kai idou ...".

## Rubies:

\#2 Authorized Version. "Late ON the Sabbath day."-A.R.V., R.V. \#10 Late ON the Sabbath day."-American Baptist Improved, Moulton.
\#11 "Late ON the Sabbath."-Darby.
\#19 "Late IN the Sabbath."-Von Tischendorf.
\#22 "Late ON Sabbath."- Interlinear Greek.
So, you have in fact only FIVE out of 24 Bibles that support your claim!
BUT HANG ON!
When the Lord says -

Num 28:16 "ON the 14th day of the first month is the LORD's Passover"... YOU REG, insist that this means the Lord's Passover MUST be ON the 15 th!!!
Therefore, according to REG-SPEAK, those last 5 Bibles mean, "ON the FIRST DAY of the WEEK." NOT "on the Sabbath." 18 versions +5 versions $=23$ Bibles AGAINST YOU Reg! What about the 24th version?
Well, actually - that doesn't exist! There is no "number 12 " on your list. They go from \#11 to \#13.
So reading them according to your own fatally flawed self-contradictory contorted illogical definitions Reg, NOT A SINGLE ONE of your "24 BIBLES" supports you!
$100 \%$ of them are AGAINST YOU!! REG! You have proven yourself delusional, a hypocrite, a liar, a deceiver and a fraud by your own publicly written testimony.
Mat 12:36 "I tell you, on the day of judgment people will give account for every careless word they speak, 37 for by your words you will be justified, and by your words you will be condemned." OTHER VERSIONS:
ESV : Mat 28:1 Now AFTER the Sabbath, TOWARD THE
DAWN of the first day of the week, Mary Magdalene and the other Mary went to see the tomb.
RSV : Mat 28:1 Now AFTER the Sabbath, TOWARD THE DAWN of the first day of the week.
NRSV : Mat 28:1 AFTER the Sabbath, as the first day of the week was DAWNING.
NASB : Mat 28:1 Now AFTER the Sabbath, as it BEGAN TO DAWN TOWARD the first day of the week. NEB : Mat 28:1 The Sabbath was OVER, and it was ABOUT DAYBREAK on Sunday.
NKJV : Mat 28:1 Now AFTER the Sabbath, as the first day of the week BEGAN TO DAWN.
ISV : Mat 28:1 AFTER the Sabbaths, AROUND DAWN on the
first day of the week.
NIV : Mat 28:1 AFTER the Sabbath, AT DAWN on the first day of the week.
NWT : Mat 28:1 AFTER the Sabbath, WHEN IT WAS
GROWING LIGHT on the first day of the week.
All the modern versions I possess, which are translated from the earliest and most accurate Greek manuscripts available, which have been discovered in the many centuries since those old versions were translated, give us a SUNDAY RESURRECTION. Not just from Matthew 28:1, but from ALL the other parallel scriptures.
You are forced to delve down into the mists of time for poor translations of late, flawed manuscripts, to find any that say what you want to hear, Reg.
And even then they do not say what you imagine they do.
And by the by, you actually quote only TWENTY TWO bibles anyway, not $24 . \# 4$ is a furphy too.

## GE:

Reg wrote:
Look at 24 bibles on Mat 28:1
Matthew 28:1
1 Standard Versions "In the end of the Sabbath, as it began to dawn toward the first day of the week, came Mary Magdalene and the other Mary to see the sepulcher."-
2 Authorized Version. "Late on the Sabbath day."-A.R.V., R. V.
3 Catholic Version "In the end of the Sabbath."-Douay
4 Historic English Translations
5 Wiclif, 1880 "But in the euentide of the
Saboth."
6 Tyndale, 1534.-"The Sabboth daye at even."
7 Granmer, 1539.-"Vpon an euening of the Sabbothes."
8 Geneva, 1557. "About the later ende of the Sabbath day."

9 Rheims, 1582. "And in the euening of the Sabboth."
Independent Translations
10 "Late on the Sabbath day."-American Baptist Improved, Moulton.
11 "Late on the Sabbath."-Darby.
13 "After the sabbaths.* "-Fenton.
14 "In the end of the Sabbath."-Newberry
15 "Late in the week."-Rotherham
16 "On the eve of the sabbaths."-Young.
17 "At the end of the Sabbath."-Centenary New Testament.
18 "It is the evening of the sabbaths."-Concordant.
19 "Late in the Sabbath."-_Von Tischendorf.
20 "After the Sabbath."- Goodspeed.
21 Twentieth Century, Weymoutn.
22 "Late on Sabbath."- Interlinear Greek.
23 "At the close of the Sabbath."-Moffatt.
24 "In the close of the Sabbath."-Syriac.
They are 99\% Sabbath resurrection and Mark 14:1,2 and Mat 26:1,2 are both Thursday Passovers and 6 days back from
Wednesday the preparation is Friday JN 12:1 Then Jesus six days before the passover came to Bethany, where Lazarus was, which
had been dead, whom he raised from the dead

## GE:

Both "IN the Sabbath" or "ON the Sabbath" and "AFTER the Sabbath" cannot be right; one must be FALSE.
"AFTER" is false; "IN" / "ON" is true and the ONLY correct possibility.
NOTICE THE DATING of the two translations!
WHY is it "after the Sabbath" ONLY FROM the twentieth century?!
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p134

## Rubies:

I have read several authorities. [on Matthew 28:1] My favourite is W. E. Vine, M.A's "Expository Dictionary of New Testament Words". His scholarship is far superior to mine or that of anyone else I have encountered here. He points out that "SABBATON" is actually the singular and in this context, is either "the Sabbath" meaning of course the weekly 7th day; or "the week." The plural for "Sabbaths" would have been "SABBATA" or "SABBASIN."
In fact, we have had this discussion 2 months ago already, after my posts \# 2284, 2285 addressing Huie's COG website that you asked me to review, which I did conscientiously with almost nil feedback after all my efforts. We are re-hashing the same semantic arguments and getting nowhere.
So the verse would actually say, as e.g. the ESV \& RSV have it, Mat 28:1 Now after the Sabbath, toward the dawn of the first day of the week, Mary Magdalene and the other Mary went to see the tomb.
I am perplexed as to the motivation for your concerted antipathy to the traditional understanding of the Cross chronology. You are cleartly determined to accept any source which agrees with your preconceptions, no matter how heretical, and this is very disturbing. Provenance is crucial, contrary to your comment. A diseased tree does not produce healthy fruit. All COG manifestations are illegitimate offspring of the SDA cult whose genesis is shrouded in controversy and heresy. Todd Vetter is scarcely sane, a shag on a rock. You're venturing onto dangerous ground, Alex, and your spiritual discernment is taking a snooze..

[^2]of each week which was a sacred festival on which the Israelites were required to abstain from all work" - the weekly sabbath. Vines actually says "the latter, the plural form was transliterated from the Aramaic word, which was mistaken for a plural; hence the singular, sabbaton, was formed from it. The root means "to cease, desist" (Heb., shabath; cp. Arab., sabata, "to intercept, interrupt"); the doubled $b$ has an intensive force, implying a complete cessation or a making to cease, probably the former. The idea is not that of relaxation or refreshment, but cessation from activity." meaning again the weekly sabbath. [Cut] and Matthew 28:1 again - "In the end of the sabbath, as it began to dawn toward the first [day] of the week, came Mary Magdalene and the other Mary to see the sepulchre."
The term in question here is actually G3796 as to WHEN Mary came to the sepulchre. Strong's G3796 primarily means "late in the day" meaning towards the end of the Saturday Sabbath - the same sabbath inferred in that verse. Do not be put off by "i.e. in the evening" as we have see previously there was a period of evening after noon time, between the evenings, to denote mid afternoon, before Christ was buried at 6 PM .

## GE:

That is LITERALLY and physically exactly!--- "LATE Sabbath mid-afternoon"! PERFECT! That was THREE HOURS before "~just before 6PM Saturday night $\sim$ " though.
And for that matter, That was THREE HOURS before " $\sim$ just before 6PM ... $\sim "$ FRIDAY " $\sim$ night $\sim "$ AFTER Joseph had finished to close the grave --- AS IT HAPPENED TO BE THE SAME ROOT-WORD in Luke 23:54, 'sabbaton epephohsken'.

[^3]darkness as in dusk or twilight. As such this concurs with "dawn" before the first day - that day following the weekly Sabbath, a Sunday. To rephrase the end of the Sabbath could not have finished at 5.59 AM at the dawn of sunlight on Sunday morning but 12 hours earlier just before 6PM Saturday night.
I know you wont like this as you believe in a Friday crucifixion. But it is there in back and white. I used to believe a Friday crucifixion but I got over that preconception.

## GE:

An 'honest to goodness' post!
The word 'sabbaton' for 'the Sabbath' from the Hebrew word 'shabbath' for 'the Sabbath', is inflected in Matthew 28:1 to give the CHRISTIAN IDIOM AS NAME OF, the Seventh Day Sabbath.
Strictly literally this inflected form, namely the Plural Genitive, is and means, 1) Belonging to; 2) the Day; 3) of; 4) Sabbaths 5) Recurring : as "the week".
There is nothing mystical or hidden for the initiated only in this word or in any of the others in the text consisting in whole of time-phrases, which give the one and only day as well as time of day "ON" or "IN" or "OF" which the events further described in verses 2 to 4 occurred.
There is nothing in these verses or in the first verse any man of normal intelligence cannot grasp.
WHICH is WHY the 'old' translations were CHANGED. They stated WHEN what happened, happened. It stated what happened, happened "ON THE SABBATH'S DAY". The Genitive ALONE-‘sabbatohn' states it:'sabbatohn' Plural Genitive IS, IDIOMATIC NAME of and for the Seventh Day Sabbaths-of-weeks which CHRISTIANS gave to it. The whole diatribe could stop HERE, had Christians the courage of simple Christian OBEDIENCE OF FAITH. But no!

Christians are most the WAYWARD people on earth ever. Everybody may have his own ['free will choice of'] opinion as long as his opinion is that of the BILLIONS led by their noses by the papacy.
What is true in the one time-phrase must be true in EVERY time-phrase in the context of the CLEAR perimeters of the PECULIAR pericope. Peculiar it is; which by itself required a book for itself.
But the 'best scholars' pretend the only two words of concern are 'opse'-"late in (the day)", which they say means 'early in the morning'; and 'sabbath'-"the Sabbath Day (of the week)", which they say isn't "the Sabbath Day (of the week)" BUT MUST BE THE DAY BEFORE the First day of the week!--- what sinister, dark, logic!
What a mess in plain English! But for some like Rubies the authority of these scholars are above the Scriptures'.
Conclusion:
There are SEVERAL MORE time-phrases which must be taken into consideration-, that they AGREE with ALL the others in the TOTAL CONTEXT of Matthew 27 and 28 --- WHICH until very recently has NEVER been researched in conventional institutions.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p135
GE:
Re: " $\sim$ Mary came to the tomb $\sim "$
Have you read the book,'Who moved the Stone?'...?
Or Wenham's 'Easter Enigma'?
Now a days I think scholars generally accept the obvious possibility --- in my opinion, inevitable necessity --- to translate 'ehlthen Mariam', with, "Mary and the other Mary SET OUT TO (go) SEE the tomb" -'theohrehsai' Infinitive of Purpose.
Mary definitely SAW nothing of what happened at the tomb. "Late
on the Sabbath" tells when "late on the Sabbath Mary departed (from home) to go and have a look at the tomb".
One of the main problems for the traditional view is giving the women just ONE visit at the tomb at the same time that Jesus came from the grave.
Chaotic confusion results.
So here's the women's visits actual visits at the tomb during the night after Resurrection day ...
Visits at the tomb "on the First Day"
1)"Mary sees the stone removed","while being early darkness still", dusk. Then Peter and John go to the tomb to see what Mary has told them.(Jn20:1-10)
2)"Earliest morning- darkness", just after midnight,"the two women"(variant - the two Marys),"and certain others with them", for the first time, "came to the sepulchre, bringing the spices they had prepared".(Lk24:1)"They returned from the sepulchre, and told all these things to the eleven and to all the rest."'("Then Peter stood up and ran to the tomb; and bending low over, he saw the linen clothes. He went back, wandering by himself about that what had happened."(Lk24:9-12) Cf. John's account in 20:1-10. I can't say Luke talks of another visit by Peter, but it seems true because Luke doesn't mention John.)
3) These women to make sure, a second time came to the tomb "very early before sunrise".(Mk16:2)
4) Mary from after the others had fled in fear (Mk16:8)"had had stood without at the grave" $(\operatorname{Jn} 20: 11)$. At the time a gardener should begin work, about sunrise, Jesus "early ... first appeared to
Mary".(Mk16:9)
5) Soon after - after they a third time have visited the tomb and "the angel explained" to them what had happened during the Resurrection - Jesus appears to the other women "as they went to tell his disciples".(Mt28:5, 9)
Mary went to the tomb, three times, Jn20:1, Lk24:1, Mk16:2, and

Mk16:9 when she "had remained standing behind" until, Jn20:11, Jesus appeared to her,"first", Mk16:9, and alone,"at the grave", Jn20:16.
The other women also went to the tomb, three times, Lk24:1, Mk16:2, and Mt28:5 when "the angel explained" to them what had happened during the Resurrection, and Jesus, as "they went to tell his disciples", appeared to them.(Mt28:5, 9)
The answer to the 'Easter enigma'(John Wenham) is simple: Each Gospel contributed to the whole with one of several sources; each added a personal part that, put together, will bring the whole story of the Resurrection into proper perspective.
Tradition - that is, the Sunday-resurrection approach - make of these several stories of several visits, the one and simultaneous occasion of Jesus' resurrection. Contradictions, discrepancies and total confusion are the inevitable result! It was bad enough that this 'solution' to a self-created 'riddle' was ever offered just to protect Sunday's presumed status of being the day of the Resurrection. It became a comedy of tragic proportions when Sunday-protagonists began to defend their presumptuousness through unlawful improvements on the Scriptures.
First thing ever and always:
No Scripture speaks directly of Jesus' resurrection.
Next thing ever and always first:
Matthew supplies the Only circumstantial 'evidence' surrounding the Resurrection. No other Gospel does.
Third thing of first importance:
The Gospels don't give a single 'eye-witness' or
'eye-witness account' of the Resurrection as such. No human being saw, and not even "the angel of the Lord" looked on and saw Jesus rise inside the grave. No; the record states he cast the stone out of the door-opening of the grave and then went and sat on it, outside. For what happened in the grave with The Dead was God in full fellowship of the Trinity (Klaas Schilder) raising Him from the
dead.(So if the dead could see, the dead would have been the only ones who saw live how God raised Christ from the dead.) Following fact of first importance:
God revealed to the angel and / or angels and gave them command to gowitness to human beings the Truth and Reality of Jesus' resurrection.
Therefore it is the report or 'witness' of the angel/s and ultimately the witness of God which we believe. Paul says, "Great is the mystery of godliness: God was manifest in the flesh, justified (confirmed true) in the Spirit, Seen of Angels, Preached, unto the Gentiles, Believed on, in the world, received up, into glory." $1 \mathrm{Tm} 3: 16$. This is all, speaking of Jesus in resurrection from the dead!
Only now, does the revelation of the mystery of godliness become clear to human beings where, when, and as, the angel and or angels actually Tell the women that Jesus had been raised from the dead. The angels tell the women at different events in time of Their, Several, Visits, At, the grave during Saturday night-Sunday morning. And this single witness of the angels Repeated at Several encounters at the tomb all Only tell of the Fact that Jesus did rise; no instance of the angel/s 'witness' contained more 'information' than the statement of the fact of the Resurrection --- except the Last 'witness', where in Mt28:5a, 1-4, "The angel explained / answered and told the women ...." the circumstantial 'evidence' that surrounded the Resurrection "On the Sabbath" as per verses 1-4. It is impossible to reconcile ANY data about the events of the Saturday night and Sunday morning while all events are tried to be made a single visit by all the women at the same time at one place. Anarchy rules if each Gospel does not contain ITS, OWN, INFORMATION OF REALITIES AND TRUTHS ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED THE NIGHT AND THE NEXT MORNING. Many clever solutions have been offered; but to no avail; Contradiction and confusion and incredibility are only increased
further and further.
But keep to the literal meaning of the Text, and EVERYTHING, falls in place in PERFECT harmony and chronology. NO irreconcilability remains, GUARANTEED!
No Gospel, to begin with, gives the same TIME of women's actions.
No Gospel gives the same NAMES of women.
No Gospel mentions the same ANGEL or ANGELS as the others. Every angel or angels' MESSAGE is different in every Gospel.
Every PLACE is different in every Gospel.
Every CIRCUMSTANCE is different in every Gospel.
EVERY _EVENT_mentioned in any Gospel is DIFFERENT than any of the other Gospels ...
.... except one, Jesus' APPEARANCE, "first, to Mary Magdalene
... early on the First Day" ...
... which APPEARANCE of Jesus RECORDED in John, is
REFERRED to in Mark 16 at verse 9.
Start with the CORRECT TIMES as they are supplied in the Gospels, and get the true chronology of EVENTS
VISITS AT the tomb "on the First Day"
1)"Mary sees the stone removed", "while being early darkness still", dusk. Then Peter and John go to the tomb to see what Mary has told them.(John 20:1-10)
2)"Earliest morning- darkness", just after midnight,"the two women"(variant - the two Marys),"and certain others with them", for the first time, "came to the sepulchre, bringing the spices they had prepared".(Luke 24:1)"They returned from the sepulchre, and told all these things to the eleven and to all the rest."'("Then Peter stood up and ran to the tomb; and bending low over, he saw the linen clothes. He went back, wandering by himself about that what had happened."(Luke 24:9-12) Cf. John's account in 20:1-10. I can't say Luke talks of another visit by Peter, but it seems true because Luke doesn't mention John.)
3) These women to make sure, a second time came to the tomb "very early before sunrise".(Mark 16:2)
4) Mary from after the others had fled in fear (Mk16:8)"had had stood without at the grave"(John 20:11). At the time a gardener should begin work, about sunrise, Jesus "early ... first appeared to Mary".(Mark 16:9)
5) Soon after - after they a third time have visited the tomb and "the angel explained" to them what had happened during the Resurrection - Jesus appears to the other women "as they went to tell his disciples".(Matthew 28:5, 9)
Mary went to the tomb, three times, John 20:1, Lk24:1, Mark 16:2, and Mk16:9 when she "had remained standing behind" until, John 20:11, Jesus appeared to her,"first", Mark 16:9, and alone,"at the grave", John 20:16.
The other women also went to the tomb, three times, Luke $24: 1$, Mark 16:2, and Matthew 28:5 when "the angel explained" to them what had happened during the Resurrection, and Jesus, as "they went to tell his disciples", appeared to them.(Matthew 28:5, 9) The answer to the 'Easter enigma'(John Wenham) is simple: Each Gospel contributed to the whole with one of several sources; each added a personal part that, put together, will bring the whole story of the Resurrection into proper perspective.
Tradition - that is, the Sunday-resurrection approach - makes of these several stories of several visits, the one and simultaneous occasion of Jesus' resurrection. Contradictions, discrepancies and total confusion are the inevitable result! It was bad enough that this 'solution' to a self-created 'riddle' was ever offered just to protect Sunday's presumed status of being the day of the Resurrection. It became a comedy of tragic proportions when Sunday-protagonists began to defend their presumptuousness through unlawful improvements on the Scriptures.

## Reg:

the debate over Wednesday or Friday Crucifixion I want to settle once and for all time.

1) Jesus rode into Jerusalem on Sunday
2) He was in the temple at sunset Mark 11:11 then returned to Bethany
3) Monday He spent the day in the temple upsetting the money exchange Etc. Mark 11:12
4) At sunset He went back to Bethany Mark 11:19
5) Tuesday He was back in the temple Mark 11:20 but only part of the day
6) He goes to the Mount of Olives Mat 24, Luke 21, Mark 13, last day prophecies
7) Mark $14: 17$ is the end of Tuesday
8) MK 14:1 After two days was the feast of the passover, and of unleavened bread: and the chief priests and the scribes sought how they might take him by craft, and put him to death.
MK 14:2 But they said, Not on the feast day, lest there be an uproar of the people---is still Tuesday making Thursday the first day of unleavened Bread and the Passover the 15th when the lamb died Mark 14:12
9) That would make Sabbath preceding the Passover the 10th day the lamb was selected Ex 12:3
10) 6 days before Thursday would be Friday JN 12:1 Then Jesus six days before the passover came to Bethany, where Lazarus was, which had been dead, whom he raised from the dead.---making Wednesday 14th preparation of Passover--- JN 19:14 And it was the preparation of the passover, and about the sixth hour: and he saith unto the Jews, Behold your King!
11) Thursday Friday and Sabbath the 3 days and nights Mat 12:40
12) JN 20:19 Then the same day at evening, being the first day of the week, when the doors were shut where the disciples were assembled for fear of the Jews, came Jesus and stood in the midst, and saith unto them, Peace be unto you.---is before sunrise Sunday
morning
13) Mark 16:9-14 is Saturday night Mark 16:2, Luke $24: 1$, <at 28:3-10 are at sunrise Sunday morning 12 hours after Mary met Him John 20:17 just after sunset Sabbath
If you put the 2 on the road to Emmaus late on Sunday afternoon the 11 in the upper room and the women who came at daylight would have met Christ on Monday as the women were the last to see Him
Compare John 20:17 with Mat 28:5-10 and find out why?.


#### Abstract

Alex: Reg Jesus rode into Jerusalem on 10 Nisan - a Saturday Sabbath. Your point 1 contradicts your point 9 if you wish to draw parallels of the Exodus/Passover and Crucifixion week which there is. The lamb was held for 4 days (10th,11rh,12th,13th) and on the following day in between the evenings - 3PM on the 14th the lamb was sacrificed, lintels and jambs painted and then eaten at night on the 15 Nisan when the Lord passed over.


## GE:

The Lord Jesus would not on the last of his Sabbaths, let his donkey work. On the contrary He said specifically of his donkey that he would release him on the Sabbath Day and let him drink and rest.
Therefore Jesus did not go into Jerusalem on his donkeys' back on the Sabbath.
The hypocrite society of Jerusalem would never cut the difficult to reach leaves of the high palm trees and pave the way with it on the Sabbath.
Everybody would not be out of the temple and in the gates of Jerusalem where they had there markets on the First Days of the week to meet and accompanied Jesus into the city.
The chronology of every day's events as per the Gospels --- cited
above --- would be chaotic if the tenth day of the First Month had been the Sabbath. If "six days before the Feast Days" which began with the 15 th the first of the seven days of unleavened bread, had been a Friday, the Sabbath would be five days before the Feast Days and Jesus would have raised on the Sixth Day of the week-etcetera ad infinitum nonsense.

## Alex: <br> May I have a Scripture please?

Conclusion: A study of the Exodus and the Passover week before Christ leads to a Wednesday crucifixion NOT a Friday one.
Conclusion: A study of the Exodus and the Passover week before Christ leads to a Wednesday crucifixion NOT a Friday one.
Conclusion: A study of the Exodus and the Passover week before Christ leads to a Wednesday crucifixion NOT a Friday one.

## GE:

Easy generalisations impossible to QUOTE from the Scriptures.

## BUT HERE ARE THE SCRIPTURES ...

Last Week /'Holy Week'
Sabbath
"Six days before passover Feast Days" John 12:1 (... begin on Friday)
(Palm Sunday)
Five days before "Feast Days" John 12:12
(Monday)
Four days before "Feast Days" (... begin on Friday)
Mark 11:12 Matthew 21:18 Mark 11:15 Luke 19:45-48 Mark
11:19
(Tuesday)
THREE days before "Feast Days" (... begin on Friday)
Mark 11:20,21,27 Matthew 22:23 Luke 20:1-8 Mark 13:1,3
= Matthew 26:2 "after TWO days (Wednesday Thursday) is

Passover
$=$ "Son of Man CRUCIFIED" ( $\ldots$ on Thursday)
(Wednesday)
TWO days before "Feast Days"
Luke 21:38 Matthew 26:3
$=$ Mark 14:1-3 "after TWO days (Thursday Friday) is Feast
="Days of UNLEAVENED Bread" (... begin on Friday)
(Thursday-Wednesday night and Thursday day, the Fifth Day)
One day before "Feast Days" (... begin on Friday)
="BEFORE the Feast" John 13:1
="PREPARATION of the Passover" John 19:14
="NOT ON, the Feast" Mark 14:2
="WHEN they KILLED the passover...
="WHEN they REMOVED leaven"
= Mark 14:12 Matthew 26:17 Luke 22:7 Exodus 12:15a
= Abib 14 (Thursday Abib 14)
(Friday)
"The Feast of Unleavened Bread" Exodus 12:15b
="The Preparation ...
$=" \ldots$ which is the Foresabbath $\ldots$
="... for THAT DAY WAS great day sabbath" of passover.
THE WORD OF GOD PROVES 'Wednesday Crucifixion ' WRONG!
... no ... proves it FALSE!
Mattehew 28:1 ...

1) BECAUSE of all the reasons I have already given from ALL the Scriptures;
2) BECAUSE of its ONLY CORRECT literal meaning:
"opse de" and in fullness / late on / in
"sabbatohn" of the Sabbath
("sabbatohn") the Sabbath's / Sabbath's-time's
"tehi" in the
"epi" very / midst
"phohs" light / daylight /(noon)
"ousehi" in the being
"eis" towards / before / tending / against
"mian (hehmeran) sabbatohn" Acc=excluded First (Day) of the week.
3) Precisely as used in Lk23:54 for Friday "mid-afternoon before the Sabbath".
4) BECAUSE of the Exodus and Leviticus passover instructions concerning Abib 14, 15, and 16.
5) BECAUSE of Mt12:40 and "three days AND three nights". THEREFORE:
6) Fifth Day : Wednesday night and Thursday day - Abib 14, Remove leaven and slaughter lamb;
7) Sixth Day /"the Preparation WHICH IS the Foresabbath":

Thursday night and Friday day — Abib 15,"that which remaineth" carried out and burned (interred);
3) Seventh Day Sabbath "according to the (Fourth)

Commandment": Friday night and Sabbath, day _ Abib 16,"First Sheaf Wave Offering Before the LORD".
Once you may see the CLEAR DEMARCATIONS the Gospels and in fact the Old Testament make between EVERY of the "three days", it will all fall in place and easier than my grand-child's puzzle to identify every day in its place.
I have before posted about the BONE-day of the Passover of Yahweh. It is literally,'PIVOTAL'. Like Jesus' BONES were not to be broken or severed one from another, so the BONE-day may not be broken up or severed from its fore-going "very first day ye shall remove leaven [and] kill the passover", Abib 14, OR, from its day after, the "third day" the First Sheaf was "brought ... waved ... and offered before the LORD", "the sixteenth day of the First Month"
... and THE SIXTH DAY OF THE WEEK "THAT DAY /
BONE-DAY / GREAT DAY-OF-SABBATH" OF THE

PASSOVER and "first day no leavened bread shall be eaten", or, first day of "seven days ye shall eat unleavened bread", "the fifteenth day of the First Month" : IN WHOLE AND WHOLENESS_do not forget!
Do not break this "BONE / combining / in-between-sabbath" ['metaksu sabbaton'] into pieces and a little scrap of it leave over on the day of the Crucifixion in its last, last minutes! Every Sunday resurrectionist does it!
And do not sever this "BONE / combining / in-between-/ great day-sabbath" from "the first" and "the third day" like the Cogs do in spite of it just as the other two of the "three days" was the "BONE-day", "ACCORDING TO THE SCRIPTURES"! Yes, 12 plus 2 times out of 16 incidences, "BONE-DAY" of the passover and "great day" of atonement respectively and --- for future study to find out in greater depth --- just about every other incidence (I think there are 73 altogether) with bearing somehow or other on Christ's SUFFERING AND DEATH AND BURIAL AND REPOSE IN THE GRAVE.
The symbolism of Christ's bones that were not to be broken or severed from one another, has wider meaning than only the bones of his body. PROPHECY -'eschatology'- even with its dates, gets implied and involved!
Get the BONE-day in place, the MIDDLE-day, and the two days before and after CANNOT BUT be Thursday and the Seventh Day Sabbath of the LORD your GOD'S REST in Christ in Resurrection from the dead.

[^4]There were 8 days of ULB as per previous posts in this thread. 14 Nisan on was used without the lamb.

## GE:

Remember that the first ever passover's first day - "the fourteenth day of the month" - in pagan Egypt was RECKONED SUNRISE TO SUNRISE and THUS its passover-meal was in the night and LATTER halve of it.
So the passover was for the forty years in the wilderness as per Numbers and Deuteronomy kept on the 14th. But as soon as the Jordan was crossed, they ATE the passover "the next day", that is, they ATE it on the "FIFTEENTH" its FIRST part as per the INSTITUTIONALISED passover by then, Leviticus 23:5,6 Josua 5:12.
Although the "fifteenth" is not mentioned in it, the institutionalisation process is already visible in Exodus 12 to 13.(Mark the interchanging historic and institutional pericopes.) Before Exodus 12:14 the fifteenth is not at all implicated, but in 12:18 it is implicated already; the reason being the change-over to a sunset reckoning of the day as soon as the Theocracy became established.
Exodus 12:14 is the ONLY time the 14th is called a "feast". Afterwards ONLY the 15th is ever called "The Feast", right into the New Testament.
Refer:
http://www.biblestudents.co.za/books/Book\ 1... pages 49 to 54
" $\sim<$ There were 8 days of ULB as per previous posts in this thread. 14 Nisan on was used without the lamb. $\sim ">$
" $\sim<$ There were 8 days of ULB $\sim$ "> --- NEVER!
"SEVEN days EAT UN-leavened bread"
Exodus 12:15; 12:19; 13:6; 13:7; 23:15; 34:18 Leviticus 23:6
Numbers 28:17 Deuteronomy 16:4; 17:3; 2Chronicles 30:21; 35:17 Ezra 6:22.
" $\sim<14$ Nisan on was used without the lamb~"> --- NO SO! Exodus 12
" 5 Ye shall take your lamb $\ldots$ and 6 KEEP it up.
['Until' is non-existent.]
"The FOURTEENTH day of the (First) Month ye shall KILL it "and take of the blood and 7 STRIKE it ...
" 8 and they shall EAT THE FLESH AND UNLEAVENED
BREAD
"THAT (selfsame) NIGHT (of "the fourteenth"),
"ROAST with fire they shall EAT it ("on the fourteenth")...
"ye shall let nothing OF IT REMAIN ("on the fourteenth") the NEXT day-
"The NEXT day ("on the fourteenth")... ye shall BURN it:"in the First Month ON THE FOURTEENTH day of the month "in the evening ye shall EAT UN-leavened bread.
['Until' is non-existent.]
"The one and twentieth day of the month in the evening shall there "seven days be no leaven found in your houses."
"In the FOURTEENTH day is the LORD'S passover AND on the FIFTEENTH is the FEAST." Leviticus 23:5,6.
"In the FOURTEENTH day ye shall keep the passover in its appointed time according to ALL the rites of it and according to ALL the ceremonies thereof shall ye keep it (in the FOURTEENTH day).... And they kept the passover on the FOURTEENTH day of the First Month LATE IN THE DAY ["Bone-Day"] IN THE WILDERNESS ... according to ALL that the LORD commanded MOSES : SO, did the children of Israel (in the wilderness of Sinai)." Numbers 9:3.
See Numbers 9:6-13 where the unclean had to wait until sunset to be clean in order to keep the passover. Even in that exceptional instance "they kept the passover ... and ATE it WITH UN-leavened bread ... in its appointed time ... the FOURTEENTH day."

BUT:...
"... PASSOVER of the LORD is in the FOURTEENTH day of the First Month AND in the fifteenth day: THE FEAST : SEVEN
DAYS (that) ye shall EAT UN-leavened bread." Numbers 28:16,17.
FINAL:
"In the First Month in the FOURTEENTH day ye shall have (the whole) passover ... upon THAT DAY ["Bone-Day"]: A FEAST OF SEVEN DAYS (that) unleavened bread shall be eaten." Ezekiel 45:21,22.
$<$ GE $>$ never " $\sim$ refer(red) $\sim$ " to " $\sim$ something $\sim$ " like http://ad2004.com/prophecytruths/Articles/Ori...,
I am absolutely sure.
http://ad2004.com/prophecytruths/Articles/Ori... is absolutely RUBBISH and " $\sim$ only error $\sim$ "
The " $\sim$ only thing $\sim$ " CORRECT said by the author that I could find, <is that he states the Last Supper did not use ULB.> On this point <the auther> was RIGHTLY informed.
Alex should have referred him to Leviticus 23:6 and Numbers
28:17 instead, and thus would for himself have gotten the correct <information>.
There were NOT " $\sim 8$ days of ULB $\sim$ " --- not anywhere in the Scriptures, Old OR NEW Testaments!
The Lord's Supper for CHRISTIANS was instituted in the night of the fourteenth day of the First Month for the Jews in those days. The Jews would have eaten THEIR passover in the night of the fifteenth day of their First Month after THEIR passover lamb would have been sacrificed on the fourteenth day of their First Month, BUT SINCE JESUS' SACRIFICE turned over their little apple-cart, their passover has since NEVER BEEN EATEN again.

## Reg:

in the bible evening is the beginning of the day you misquote me because you are using Roman time
The 14th day AT EVEN is the end of the day and when the sun disappears over the horizon the 15 th day begins
Now the EVENING of the 14th day is 24 hours before when the sun set on the 13th day at EVEN
The lamb was killed on the first day of unleavened bread the 15th the Passover
EX 12:16 And in the first day there shall be an holy convocation, and in the seventh day there shall be an holy convocation to you; no manner of work shall be done in them, save that which every man must eat, that only may be done of you.
MK 14:12 And the first day of unleavened bread, when they killed the passover, his disciples said unto him, Where wilt thou that we go and prepare that thou mayest eat the passover?
EX 12:22 And ye shall take a bunch of hyssop, and dip it in the blood that is in the basin, and strike the lintel and the two side posts with the blood that is in the basin; and none of you shall go out at the door of his house until the morning.
They were not to go out of the house after the lamb was killed the lamb as that SAME NIGHT the Angle of death would come that same night the 15 th


#### Abstract

Anon: Sounds like a conspiracy theory to me. The blueprint for the SDA church laid out by the SDA pioneers was Friday crucifiction, three days and nights accounted for by using the Jewish reckoning of time, which is correct. If they study what the pioneers believed, and prayerfully study the KJV Bible (for English speaking people), they will fond this is correct and so easy to understand, If the GC says otherwise, then you will know that they are wrong on this point as well.


## Rubies:

The trouble with that is, that there was no Friday Passover in 31 AD , which shoots the entire SDA edifice down in flames.

## GE:

Trouble with that is, you fight fire with fire the wrong way. You don't have Scripture but use outside the Bible nonsense just like the SADs and the WCs do!

## Rubies:

That is why some offshoots from SDAism turned to the Wdnesday Cross theory in the first place, because they imagined that PAssover was on Wednesday 25th April 31 AD.
Wrong again. That was IYYAR, a month too late. Not Nisan. 14 Nisan in 31 Ad was actually TUESDAY.
Moving on!
Other SDA offshoots then dropped back a year, because 14 Niaan in 30 AD was on FRIDAY. But this again shoots holes in the entire SDA doctrine and chronology. It makes liars and false prophets of William Miller, Samuel Snow, Josiah Litch, James and Ellen White, Joseph Bates, etc etc etc. No news to anyone with any spiritual discernment, but still people choose to slumber in deception rather than deal with the uncomfortable truth.
Next along come other Wednesday Crossites, who also choose 30 AD , because they go by the USNO lunar phase data, which says that "New Moon" was on a Wednesday that year ... failing to see that this was "Astronomical" New Moon, that is, conjunction, which could not be seen (except during an eclipse), and for which the Jews did not calculate. The Jews accepted only the multiple witness of many who had visually observed the first crescent of the New Moon, which in 30 AD, occurred on FRIDAY ... making SATURDAY Nisan 1st, therefore 14 Nisan 30 AD was also

## FRIDAY.

Another problem ... Mathew, Mark \& Luke unanimously state that Jesus' disciples PREPARED THE PASSOVER on the day that the lamb must be sacrificed, which was the day before the Cross. Therefore Jesus MUST have eaten the Passover lamb, as Luke testifies.
There are many traps for young players, and this Cross Chronology is not so easy as many cults would like to have you think. All cults deal only with a few of the issues, and gloss over the rest, or re-interpret them to suit their own heresies.

## GE:

There are many traps for clever players, and the Last Passover chronology is much purer than what all 'Crucifixion'-FAKES would like to have their Rubies. All Friday- and Sunday Crucifixionists deal only with EXTRA BIBLICAL IRRELEVANCIES, and gloss over the SCRIPTURES, and CORRUPT them to suit their own heresies.
ALL the heresies and corruptions and fantasies are UN-successfully attempted to look believable BECAUSE in both Old and New Testaments the TWO days of 14th and 15th are PRESUPPOSED to conflict and A PRIORI are REFUSED to be of the "SUBSTANCE" and "ESSENCE" of the Passover AS of Jesus'"WHOLE-DAY - COMBINED AND COMBINING BONE-DAY" of the "three days
THICK-unseperable-indistinguis
hable—"FELT"-_"SUFFERED"-_"PASCH AH":
"DARKNESS" of "The
PLAGUE"-_"DEATH'S-SUFFERING""TH AT WAS UPON HIM".
It cannot be plainer, that
Jesus was BURIED on 'Friday', the Sixth Day of the Bible-week,
and that
Joseph received his body "TO BURY" it, on the Sixth Day "in the first NIGHT" unleavened bread would be eaten,

- 'Thursday night', that is,
and that
Joseph had closed the grave
"THAT DAY the Preparation ... which is the Fore-Sabbath ...
"late the Sabbath drawing near"
—'Friday',"mid-afternoon".
FRIDAY the Sixth Day of the week having been the day of the week upon which Jesus was BURIED "THE WHOLE DAY BONE-DAY" of the Passover-of-Yahweh, it FOLLOWS
Jesus SUFFERED DEATH "night" and "day", and was CRUCIFIED AND DIED on the FIFTH Day of the week, and ROSE from the dead "In the SABBATH'S FULLNESS OF DAY" and prophecy and promise of GOD as "in times past through the prophets $\ldots$ and in these last days" in the NEW Testament-throughout-"GOD SPAKE". -"GOD, BY THE SON, SPAKE" ... finished!
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p136


## Alex:

A friend of mine who believes a Friday crucifixion directed me to the sitehttp://biblelight.net/pasover.htm I replied in kind as matter of discussion on this topic with him. "I have seen that site several times before. There are some inherent problems with it. I agree with anchor point 1 . In building up anchor point 2, he asserts that "The women viewed the sepulchre and the body of Jesus on the preparation day" was Friday evening before sunset". based on the premise that the word paraskeue:G3904 to mean only the day before a weekly Saturday sabbath and asserts
that Preparation Days only occur before those sabbaths. This cannot be true. Using Strongs G3904 we can clearly see there was a paraskeue before sabbath AND feasts.
[Cut]
So the first issue we have to try and reach a consensus on is: Was there a day of Preparation (14 Nisan) before the 1st Day of Unleavened Bread - that also being a FEAST to the Lord as found in Leviticus 23? Lev 23 also equates, regardless of work/servile work, to be a day of holy convocation, thus treated as a Sabbath, and depending on the year - sometimes falling on the weekly sabbath and sometimes falling on another day of the week.
The author asserts that the term paraskeue "...always means what we call Friday, in both scriptural and non-scriptural usage". However he didn't bother to mention or enter into discourse about Strong's entry for G3904 "...or a feast".
The issue of work/servile work in relation to any Sabbath or feast day was not discussed by the author. Indeed what is the actual difference between work and servile work? And considering the difference are the 7 annual feasts days reckoned or not reckoned as "sabbaths"?
This fact is noted by the Jews in their Talmud:
"Mishnah. There is no difference between festivals and Sabbath save only in the matter of [preparing] food.11" footnote: "(11) Lit.,'food of the person'. I.e., that food for the day may be cooked on festivals but not on Sabbath." [Babylonian Talmud (Soncino edition)- Mas. Megilah 7b]
In case one wants to make an argument, despite the Talmud quote above, most assume no work is for Sabbaths and no servile work is for other annual feasts yet in Lev 23:35 we clearly see that servile work was not permitted on the first and eight days of that week them also being explicit stated as Sabbaths. This accords with Jewish tradition and the 8 days of unleavened bread was also accorded the same.
"On the first day shall be an holy convocation: ye shall do no servile work therein...on the eighth day shall be an holy convocation unto you...and ye shall do no servile work therein.... Also in the fifteenth day of the seventh month, when ye have gathered in the fruit of the land, ye shall keep a feast unto the LORD seven days: on the first day shall be a Sabbath [shabbaton], and on the eighth day shall be a Sabbath [shabbaton]. " (Leviticus 23:35,36)
[Cut]
Said site explains clearly the difference between work and servile work.
Just to clarify if you picked it up - the 7 and 8 day of the week of Unleavened Bread. Usually referred to as a week indeed 7 days is the length of the feast in Exodus 12. Yet later in verse 18 we have 8 days of ULB. There is no discrepancy. The Lords Passover was 14 Abib/Nisan, same day as the Last Supper, wherein only ULB but no meat was eaten after sunset on 14 Nisan and is not part of the festival which was being prepared for. Later that self same day on 14 Nisan the paschal lamb was killed and was eaten after sunset on the 15 th. Jesus was buried by the time the Jews ate the ULB and the paschal lamb meat together on the even of 15 Nisan a short time after His burial - a tradition Jesus rendered obsolete yet would continue for another 40 years in their disobedience. That is why the 14 Nisan was the last Supper, the Lords Passover day which Jesus kept, being also a day of Preparation for the next day was the 1 st day of ULB. Some say the bread that Jesus broke on 14 Nisan was leavened but this cannot be true according to Exodus 12 and the fact that unleavened, his body, had to be without sin to take upon Himself the sins of the whole world. The Passover at the Exodus is a precursor to what would come in Crucifixion week as God in His appointed timing would be consistent throughout the ages.
Thus we have seen even at Anchor Point 2 the author builds further Anchor Points upon a false premise by omission in not considering
all the facts as both derived from Scripture and Jewish record. "paraskeue" or the Day of Preparation as it is now known, that also being $14 \mathrm{Abib} / \mathrm{Nisan}$, should not be constrained to be applied to the day before a weekly sabbath alone."

## GE:

... you shall always have the poor and insane with you ...

## Alex:

Saids the person who plays both sides so as to cause disinformation. FACT by anyone who can be bothered to look into most posts by you not just on this thread but in other Topic threads. Sorry GE but my patience with you evaporated a long time ago more than seventy times seven,
Even now you offer quirks with no real discussion of the issue including Talmudic verses.

## GE:

How is it here you support what Reg has been saying all the time? I thought you opposed his idea expressed here in your own words, " $\sim$ the paschal lamb was killed and was eaten after sunset on the 15th. $\sim ">? ? ?$ ???
I explained to you this mishap, in post 2855.
Here you give me cause to RETRACT my apology in that post, and TO apply it to YOU more than to anyone else. Your old self shows especially when you are confronted with PURE SCRIPTURE.

## Alex:

For those readers interested in seeing how a Wednesday crucifixion makes the most sense last year I drafted a single page Passover Week chart in relation to Daniel's 70th Week.
[Cut]

## Steenberg:

Thank you. This is a very simple and easy-to-understand chronology. It presents the BIBLICCAL view and includes ALL of the 3 nights PLUS 3 days.


#### Abstract

Alex: For those readers seeking to study this issue further Marie Casale has done a lot of research into this topic. [Cut] 30 AD with 14 Nisan being a Wednesday can be also verified using a Hebrew Calemdar [Cut] The year is also derived from several other angles which can be found at Maries Library found here [Cut] NO sacrifice in the Bible WAS EVER KILLED AFTER SUNSET. There was ONE exception which once for all PROVED THE RULE.."NEVER was there another passover like this" IS WRITTEN of it! And there is NOT A SINGLE Scripture which says " $\sim$ the paschal lamb was killed ... on the 15 th $\sim$ " $>$ !!!!!!! Really GE! After all our history, I know you know I know that the lamb was killed on the 14th and eaten on the 15 th. Sorry if that last sentence caused you some confusion I perhaps should have better worded it. And in regards to 8 days of ULB - YES there was - refer Exodus 12:18 - again we have been over this. The first of the 8 days was 14 Nisan where ULB was eaten but no meat from the sacrificial lamb which would not be killed until later that afternoon and then eaten after sunset on the 15 Nisan. The Lords Passover/Last Supper occurred on the first of these 8 days but was not pasrt of the 7 day feast itself which by the time Christ had died and was buried before the end of the 14 Nisan, the Jews in their tradition on the 15 Nisan in eating both the ULB and the meat was of no effect.


## GE:

There are SEVERAL Scriptures which confirm - stating and or implying - that the passover was both killed and eaten on the "FOURTEENTH day of the First Month", but NONE that " $\sim<$ the paschal lamb was killed ... on the 15 th $\sim$ " $>$ !

## Rubies:

You're still wrong Alex.
ONE DAY OF PASSOVER $=14$ th NISAN.
SEVEN DAYS of UNLEAVENED BREAD $=15-21$ Nisan.
You need to read the texts again. Maybe in different versions.


#### Abstract

Alex: No problem there as the days are exactly as I have stated numerous times before. Curious Rubies how is that Exodus 12:8-8 days of ULB $14,15,16,17,18,19,20$ and 21 are 7 days of ULB? Are you saying ULB wasnt eaten on the 14th?


## Rubies:

Yes that is what I have been saying for months. That is what I read in my bible. "Seven days shall you eat ULB." There is not a single verse prohibiting the eating of leaven on 14 Nisan.

## GE:

... and another ... yes, another LIE of the faked Rubies.
Texts that say "EAT ON THE FIFTEENTH" SAY, 'Don't EAT on the fourteenth' for any sober and honest person.
You are drunken with SELF, Rubies. You are PLAINLY and defiantly, a hypocrite.

## Rubies:

However all traces of leaven had to be removed from the house before sunset at the end of 14 Nisan.
"Keeping the Passover" on 14 Nisan refers to the actual process of killing and roasting the lamb.

## GE:

They roasted the lamb in their houses in the night and ate it "just like that" before they went out; leaving nothing of it behind, but CARRIED IT OUT with them into the wilderness and there,"the FOLLOWING day, burned it with fire" where at the Cave in the Rock - Succot - they "encamped".

## Rubies:

1 day of Passover.
7 days of ULB.
Dozens of texts confirm this as I have posted many times.

## GE:

Yes; correct:
"The FIRST first day ye shall REMOVE LEAVEN ...
"Even the first day ye shall eat unleavened bread seven days."
And yes numerous texts to confirm, but " $\sim$ dozens $\sim$ "? Per hyperbole maybe ...

## Rubies:

Exodus 12:8
8 They shall eat the flesh that night, roasted on the fire; with unleavened bread and bitter herbs they shall eat it.
This is the night of 15 Nisan. I think you are mixing up your texts here.

## GE:

No Alex does not have his texts mixed up. He is busying himself with the first ever exodus passover without precedent or par but for Christ' Last Passover --- the last of all passovers wherein Jesus ATE the Passover of YAHWEH through SUFFERING it, while the Jews would eat their passover in the following "NIGHT" : "THE FIRST NIGHT" : "NIGHT TO BE SOLEMNLY OBSERVED" BY EATING which was like a BURIAL of "that which remained" of the Lamb of Yahweh" Jesus Christ "Our Passover" "KILLED" the day before on Abib 14. See here:[Cut] blestudents. co.za/books/Book\ 1,\ 1\ Pa ssover $\% 20$ to $\% 20$ Crucifixion.pdf pages 51-54.
http://www.biblestudents.co.za/books/Book\ 1...
THIS IS THE CRUX the "BONE" of the issue--- which is the "BONE-DAY" of the passover which naither of you -- Rbies and Alex -- have come to grips with, yet.
PLEASE understand at the first and only exodus from the Land-of-Darkness, Egypt, the "PLAGUE" of the ninth and tenth plagues was: "THREE DAYS DAYS IMPENETRABLE THICK INDISTINGUISHABLE DARKNESS" AS WERE IT ONE DAY, MENTIONED: "THE FOURTEENTH DAY of the First Month". Voila, the end of all controversy, friends. Take five man!

## Rubies:

Exodus 13
6 SEVEN days you shall eat unleavened bread, and on the seventh day there shall be a feast to the Lord. 7 Unleavened bread shall be eaten for SEVEN days; no leavened bread shall be seen with you, and no leaven shall be seen with you in all your territory.
http://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh-day-adventist/TCM T0QQAME27RFJT4/p137

## Steenberg:

How many readers have you convinced by now after almost 3000 post that the crucifixion was on Friday and the resurrection was on Sunday? Probably not ONE! The BIBLE is very clear that JESUS said THREE DAYS PLUS THREE NIGHTS! Conclusion?
Wednesday crucifixion and Sabbath resurrection. Any further discussion is just a waste of time. Let's rather figure out how we can impeach Obama and Zuma...birds of the same feather!

## GE:

Re:
" $\sim<$ Really GE! After all our history, I know you know I know that the lamb was killed on the 14th and eaten on the 15th. Sorry if that last sentence caused you some confusion I perhaps should have better worded it.~">
... sorry but not so <sorry> ... Actually, the bicycle threw me off-I didn't fall off!
But 'apology' accepted; I never told you; so I'm to blame of course.

## Re:

" $\sim$ And in regards to 8 days of ULB - YES there was - refer Exodus 12:18-again we have been over this. $\sim ">$
You have told me exactly the same thing several times now. You merely repeat yourself-YOURSELF. That does not make it right. It changes nothing; you are WRONG.
You are wrong BECAUSE
It is WRITTEN:"SEVEN days EAT UN-leavened bread", I quoted you 13 times,"SEVEN days EAT UN-leavened bread"
Exodus 12:15; 12:19; 13:6; 13:7; 23:15; 34:18 Leviticus 23:6
Numbers 28:17 Deuteronomy 16:4; 17:3; 2Chronicles 30:21; 35:17 Ezra 6:22.~">
You have not looked at it.
It is NEVER written " $\sim<8$ days of ULB $\sim$ " $>$ in any manner; and it
is NEVER implied " $\sim<8$ days of ULB $\sim ">$ in any manner. Stay with what it written is not only the better option, it is the only option. In fact it isn't an option; it is mandatory. ALWAYS IS!
Re:
" $\sim$ The first of the 8 days was 14 Nisan where ULB was eaten but no meat from the sacrificial lamb which would not be killed until later that afternoon and then eaten after sunset on the 15
Nisan.~">
You are wrong BECAUSE
" $\sim$ The first of the 8 days $\sim$ " of the whole "passover" or full passover "season", <was 14 Nisan>-correct-
" $\sim$ where ULB was eaten $\sim$ "-correct-
"~but no meat from the sacrificial lamb $\sim$ "-INCORRECT!
Why incorrect?
Because it is NOT written!


#### Abstract

Alex: Incorrect. Exodus 12:18 tells us there were 8 days of ULB from the 14th to 21 Nisan. for "In the first month, on the fourteenth day of the month at even, ye shall eat unleavened bread, until the one and twentieth day of the month at even." Verses 6-8 tells us on the 14th (with the evening of the 14th already passed and the 1 st eating of ULB) that in the day time of the 14th, perform the sacrifice and take the blood and paint it on the door jambs and lintel (which forms a cross) and IN THAT NIGHT - the 15 th they were to eat it leaving none till morning. The 1 day ( 14 Nisan) +7 days ( 15 th to 21 st Nisan) of ULB is the template for the Lords Passover/Last Supper/Day of Preparation + the week long Feast of Unleavened Bread. So tell me which which day did they eat the paschal lamb? I know you know. It can have only be eaten on the 15 th at evening after sunset AFTER they killed it earlier that afternoon on the 14th! Which means on the evening of the 14th - some 24 hours before -


there was ULB to be eaten but no sacrificial lamb!
This perfectly sets up the Last Supper on the 14th Nisan as Christ's body which had no sin (not leavened) and the new blood (wine) ceremony/remembrance was performed 24 hours before the eating of the lamb and the ULB together on the first day of the feast of ULB - bringing to an end to the meaning of Temple tradition and past sacrifices. Yahweh allowed them to continue that now meaningless Temple tradition for another 40 years (witness Yoma 39b) but in His humour and sorrow in their continual disobedience after Acts 7 which began their own House of Judea to be made desolate as Josephus records. Yahweh afforded them time to repent, some did and became the first Christians, but most rejected this and their time came to an end. Heralding in was a new era that the original promise as Yahweh had made to Abraham - that the nations of the earth shall be blessed through the 12 Tribes then Israel then Israel and Judah then Judah then Christ. The blessings would come to those who were obedient and heard His voice throughout all the ages.

## Rubies:

You got some crazy mixed-up ideas Alex!!
On the 14th, leavened bread COULD be eaten, all day.
So could meat, fish, fowl. whatever they chose to eat.
However by sunset on 14th Nisan, they had to have REMOVED all the leaven products from the home.
There were only 7 days of Unleavened Bread, beginning at sunset at the END of the 14th.
The lamb was killed in the afternoon of the 14th.
The lamb was eaten after sunset, on the night of the 15 th.
No leavened bread could be eaten for the 7 days from 15-21 inclusive.
However, you seem to have some notion that just because ULB was to be eaten for the 7 days (NOT 8 days), no meat was to be
eaten from 14-21 Nisan! Except for the one meal of Passover lamb!
This is nuts, and I cannot see how you picked up such a notion. There is no restriction on anything being eaten for these 8 days, except leaven (yeast) and any products made with it. Any kind of clean animal product was also allowed to be eaten throughout the whole week,
Since Jesus told His disciples to PREPARE THE PASSOVER on the day that the PASSOVER LAMB HAD TO BE KILLED, this is proof that Jesus DID EAT THE PASSOVER the night before He suffered, as He actually stated in Luke.
As I have posted before, many times.
I don't understand the mental impasse here! The only thing I can think of, is that this interferes with your Timeline therefore you choose not to believe it.

## GE:

Since Jesus told His disciples to PREPARE THE PASSOVER on the day that the PASSOVER LAMB HAD TO BE KILLED, this is proof that Jesus THE NIGHT THROUGH HIS SUFFERING, DID EAT THE PASSOVER, as He actually stated in all four Gospels.

## Rubies:

Gobbledegook.


#### Abstract

Alex: Actually Rubies this made sense to me. On the 14th Nisan the whole community was "preparing for the Passover". Only ULB would have been available that day. Hence my precious post. So the last supper happens 24 hours before the 7 day feast week.


## GE:

It is not written: "~which WOULD not be killed UNTIL LATER
that afternoon and THEN eaten after sunset on the $15 \sim "$. It is WRITTEN on the contrary:
"Ye shall kill it LATE—late afternoon."['ereb'-_"the ninth hour" when Jesus our passover died. LXX 'pros hespéran'-'pros'—at / towards / against; 'hespéran'-vespers / late afternoon]
The lamb was NOT slaughtered the early sacrifice of an early and " later $\sim$ " sacrifice (as the passover many years after had become institutionalised).
For forty years the passover was kept on being observed with the one passover lamb per household on the "FOURTEENTH day of the First Month, LATE-in-the-day".
When the tabernacle was finished the passover virtually remained unchanged except its days began to be observed from sunset to sunset.
The first passover sacrifice was ONLY the passover lamb; it was sacrificed IN EGYPT BEFORE the exodus ... long before the passover was instituted as a yearly festival under a Theocracy OR THE TORAH was written.
"They shall KILL the passover ... IN THAT NIGHT" OF, STILL,"the FOURTEENTH day, EAT, the FLESH, WITH, unleavened bread."
They ate the FLESH in the night in which they LEFT.
"I will pass through THIS NIGHT ... and the BLOOD shall be to you for a token."
"In THIS, selfsame Bone-Day, have I brought your armies out ... in the First Month on the FOURTEENTH day...."
So you're WRONG,

1) alleging " $\sim<14$ Nisan where ULB was eaten but no meat from the sacrificial lamb~">
Ironically you were RIGHT concluding " $\sim$ The Lords
Passover/Last Supper occurred on the first of these 8 days but was not pasrt of the 7 day feast itself which by the time Christ had died ... before the end of the 14 Nisan"-but UNFORTUNATELY you
were WRONG AGAIN having alleged " $\sim<$ Christ had died $\qquad$ and was buried $\qquad$ before the end of the 14 Nisan.
The assimilation with man's corruptibility through EATING the passover symbolized BURIAL just as much as return to the dust of the earth through "burn(ing) with fire (of) that which remained" of it did.
The TWO dates of fourteenth and fifteenth at "the very first day" in Egypt—Exodus 12:15—were included and combined into ONE, into the eschatological "BONE-DAY" of the passover and encompassed days of slaughter and burial: At first in the land of Darkness, Egypt; At last in Gethsemane and Golgotha.
But the 'scholars' would rather that 'John and the Synoptists', and 'Jewish sects', are at loggerhead and contradict one another on these two 'substantially'-'in the BONE'-"selfsame day" of Inspired Scripture.
But the 'scholars' would rather that 'John and the Synoptists', and 'Jewish sects', were at loggerheads and contradict one another on these two 'substantially' - 'in the BONE' - "selfsame day" of Inspired Inerrant Scripture.
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GE:
AGAIN, Re: " $\sim$ Exodus 12:18 tells us there were 8 days of ULB from the 14th to 21 Nisan. for "In the first month, on the fourteenth day of the month at even, ye shall eat unleavened bread, until the one and twentieth day of the month at even. " $\sim$ " $>$
Incorrect! Again you just repeat YOURSELF.
The TEXT though states, I QUOTE it again because I answer with SCRIPTURE:
"13 WHEN I SMITE the land of Darkness
"14 THIS DAY shall be to you for a MEMORIAL and ye shall keep it a FEAST [= EAT the sacrifice].
"15a SEVEN days shall ye eat UN-leavened bread: on the first, first day (that) ye shall PUT AWAY leaven
" 15 b whosoever EATETH from the FIRST day to the SEVENTH day shall be cut off
"16a From the first day (UB feast-eating) convocate to the SEVENTH day (UB feast-eating) convocate "16b No manner of work SAVE that which everyone must EAT "17a Thus ye shall observe (eat, feast) Unleavened Bread for in this SELFSAME BONE-DAY [of the plague of darkness the fourteenth and the fifteenth INDISTINGUISHABLE] have I brought your armies out of the land of Darkness / Egypt.
"17b THEREFORE shall ye observe (feast-eat) THIS DAY ... "18 in the First Month ON THE FOURTEENTH in the evening ye shall EAT UNLEAVENED BREAD.
"26 What mean ye with this service?... It is the SACRIFICE of the Passover of Yahweh WHEN HE SMOTE the Egyptians and delivered our houses ...
"26b AT MIDNIGHT the LORD SMOTE all the firstborn It was the NIGHT OF THE DAY after late "THAT DAY" they "kill(ed) the passover"-
" 6 the FOURTEENTH day of the month and
" 8 shall EAT THE FLESH IN THAT [following] NIGHT _WITH_ UN-leavened bread ..." of "the FOURTEENTH day"!
But Alex perseveres they did not eat UB-leavened bread WITH the FLESH in the FIRST night of the first DAY of unleavened bread FEAST in Egypt
"6 the FOURTEENTH day of the month" indeed
" 18 ON the fourteenth DAY of the month"... as per all the other SCRIPTURES!
It is just over and over again Alex's surmisings VERSUS GOD'S WORD the Scriptures.
Mark the application of the SUNRISE reckoning of days BEFORE THE EXODUS IN EGYPT DURING THE PLAGUES,

8:9,10; 19,20; 9:5,6; 13,18; 10:3; 13;
10:22,23; 11:3,4__ speaking of the FOURTEENTH of the First Month ALL ALONG THROUGHOUT chapters 12and 13. Note the flasitites and contradictions in the following, " $\sim<$ Verses $6-8$ tells us on the 14th (with the evening of the 14th already passed and the 1st eating of ULB) that in the day time of the 14th, perform the sacrifice and take the blood and paint it on the door jambs and lintel (which forms a cross) and IN THAT NIGHT - the 15th they were to eat it leaving none till morning.~"> " $\sim$ the $14 t h \sim$ " all of a sudden is falsely dated " $\sim$ the $15 t h \sim$ ". " $\sim$ the 14th (with the evening of the 14th $\sim$ " FALSELY is claimed " $\sim$ already passed $\sim$ " while it in reality was NO LATER THAN 3p.m."WHEN they KILLED the passover.
Just a while ago - see above - Alex has claimed FALSELY the passover was a morning sacrifice before some <later>, afternoon sacrifices.
Truth is verses 6-8 tells us "on the 14th in the evening of the 14th ye SHALL eat". NOT that " $\sim$ the 1st eating of ULB (was) already passed~"!
" $\sim<\ldots$ in the day time of the 14th, perform the sacrifice and take the blood and paint it on the door jambs and lintel ... and IN THAT NIGHT~"> written in direct and closed context "the FOURTEENTH eat it leaving none till morning" NOT as FALSELY INVENTED BY ALEX ABOVE WHAT IS WRITTEN, " $\sim<-$ the 15th they were to eat it leaving none till morning $\sim$ " $>$ !
The _15th_was LATER instituted that they were to "EAT the flesh WITH UN-leavened bread leaving none (of the flesh) till morning..." of the "FIFTEENTH".

## Reg:

find one text in the whole bible that has the word preparation that is connected with the 7 th day Sabbath the only 2 seventh day

Sabbaths in the Passover week IN THE NEW TESTERMENT
ARE JN 12:2 There they made him a supper; and Martha served: but Lazarus was one of them that sat at the table with him. IS AFTER THE Sabbath (Saturday night) AND LK 23:56 And they returned, and prepared spices and ointments; and rested the sabbath day according to the commandment
is the second all the texts in the NT were the word preparation is used refer to Wednesday the 14th
JN 19:14 And it was the preparation of the passover, and about the sixth hour: and he saith unto the Jews, Behold your King! the lamb was killed the first day of ULB--- MK 14:12 And the first day of unleavened bread, when they killed the passover, his disciples said unto him, Where wilt thou that we go and prepare that thou mayest eat the passover?
The passover preceded the feast of ULB --- LEV 23:5 In the fourteenth day of the first month at even is the LORD's passover. LEV 23:6 And on the fifteenth day of the same month is the feast of unleavened bread unto the LORD: seven days ye must eat unleavened bread.
The 14th day at even is 24 hr after the evening of the 14th day there are 212 hour periods the first is called even and the 2 nd is called day-
So show me how they got half the day of to kill the lamb on the 14th and how you make evening out of afternoon when evening is only 12 hours in duration
EX 12:8 And they shall eat the flesh in that night, roast with fire, and unleavened bread; and with bitter herbs they shall eat it. The same night as it was killed that's the 15 th if it was killed on the 14th you would have to go back 24 hr for it was killed on the night that it was eaten and it was to be ONLY 7 days
EX 12:15 Seven days shall ye eat unleavened bread; even the first day ye shall put away leaven out of your houses: for whosoever eateth leavened bread from the first day until the seventh day, that
soul shall be cut off from Israel.
EX 12:16 And in the first day there shall be an holy convocation, and in the seventh day there shall be an holy convocation to you; no manner of work shall be done in them, save that which every man must eat, that only may be done of you.
EX 12:19 Seven days shall there be no leaven found in your houses: for whosoever eateth that which is leavened, even that soul shall be cut off from the congregation of Israel, whether he be a stranger, or born in the land.
Ex $12: 18$ is only 7 days not 8 you are the one who is wrong NOT GOD
14 th day at even $=15$ th day $16,17,18,19,20,217$ DAYS Consider this text
IS 8:20 To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them. And I could add a large list of others.

## GE:

Reg, I have consistently maintained the " $\sim 8$ th day text in Ex $12 \sim$ " is verse 18 which mentiones "the FOURTEENTH day" AND "the one and twentieth day" which IMPLIES eight days of passover-SEASON.
Verse 18-B reflects a development of later time after the exodus in the promised land which implied an EIGHT days passover that included the KILLING of the sacrifice on the 14th and eating unleavened bread on the 21 st day still.
So,"SEVEN days eat"-12:15B, leaves the 14th with ONLY the killing of the sacrifice; the 15th with eating "the FLESH WITH unleavened bread", and the 16th, 17th, 18th, 19th, 20th, and 21st days of the month for eating unleavened bread only.
Verse 18-B however is preceded by the EARLIER text of 18A, 14,

8, 6 in the greater whole of chapters 12 and 13, based pertinently on "the FOURTEENTH day" while observed IN EGYPT and the WILDERNESS giving a "SEVEN DAYS" ONLY passover. "SEVEN days ye shall EAT no leavened bread"-_"SEVEN days EAT" INCLUDING "the fourteenth day EAT the FLESH ["killed","that same day"] WITH UN-leavened bread". "SELFSAME-WHOLE-DAY-BONE-DAY " of the passover"the FOURTEENTH day", is DEFINED as
A) "the FIRST / HEAD first day ye shall REMOVE leaven on", AS WELL AS
B) "the first day that SEVEN days ye shall EAT no leavened bread".
THIS "fourteenth day" BECAME BOTH "the fourteenth day late afternoon KILL" and "the fifteenth day in that night EAT".

## Reg:

I and Gods word disagree with you the lamb was always killed on the 15 th you twist the 14th day at even to mean the 14th day when it is the end of the 14th and the beginning of the 15 th look at Lev 23:27,32 the sun is the clock in the OT when it rises in the east day begins when it sets in the west EVEN BEGINS Gods time Gerard and many others is when midday passes evening begins what happened to afternoon Unless you follow Gods standards for us you are not led by the Holy Spirit IS 8:20 To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them. 1JN 2:3 And hereby we do know that we know him, if we keep his commandments. 4 He that saith, I know him, and keepeth not his commandments, is a liar, and the truth is not in him. 5 But whoso keepeth his word, in him verily is the love of God perfected: hereby know we that we are in him. 6 He that saith he abideth in him ought himself also so to walk, even as he walked.

EX 29:39 The one lamb thou shalt offer in the morning; and the other lamb thou shalt offer at even:
The Morning and the evening sacrifices in the OT accrued between the 2 evenings
Lots of bibles use the word twilight the 14th day AT EVEN is after the sun disappears over the Horizon Gen 1 states 7 times the evening and the morning are the 24 hrs . of each day I or anyone else don't have to believe those who reject Gods whole 10C including Gods Authority of ownership the Sabbath By the way EX 12:8 And they shall eat the flesh in that night, roast with fire, and unleavened bread; and with bitter herbs they shall eat it. This text makes you wrong also the same night it was killed all OT evening sacrifices were the beginning of the day immediately after the previous day the sun marked the beginning and end of each day the first day of ULB IS THE PASSOVER the 14th IS THE DAY BEFORE Ex $12: 18$ is only 7 days and in the same chapter 4 times more it is mentioned
You misquote EX 12:18 In the first month, on the fourteenth day of the month at even, ye shall eat unleavened bread, until the one and twentieth day of the month at even.
By your writing of this text it is 24 hrs . Before the 15 th why don't you read it the way it is written?
The 14th DAY at EVEN the text is pointing to the end of the 14th DAY at EVEN the text is pointing to the end of the 14th and beginning of the 15th 5 times including Ex 12:18 God said 7 days give me a text that says 8
Read Isa 8:20 again and all those other texts that say that the 10C still exist and what God expects of us for instance Rom 7:7-12, 1 John 2:3-6, John 14:15 I could go on and on it's your decision now which will show you what resurrection you will come up in JN 5:28 Marvel not at this: for the hour is coming, in the which all that are in the graves shall hear his voice,
JN 5:29 And shall come forth; they that have done good, unto the
resurrection of life; and they that have done evil, unto the resurrection of damnation.
EX 12:6 And ye shall keep it up until the fourteenth day of the same month: and the whole assembly of the congregation of Israel shall kill it in the evening.
This text says to keep it until the 14th day not the evening of the 14th day that had past 24 hrs . before then it says you will kill it in the evening the next evening is the 15th now read EX 12:8 And they shall eat the flesh in that night, roast with fire, and unleavened bread; and with bitter herbs they shall eat it.
It says that night the night it was killed give us the text that says eat that the flesh THAT (following Night) Gerard I would prayfully read those text I suggested you are saying

1) That the first day of passover was 14 th in the NT the 14th is called the preparation John 19:14
2) they did not eat unleavened bread on the 14th the first day was the 15th Lev 23:6 was feast of ULB which was the daylight half of the 15 th
3) Lev $23: 5$ the 14th day AT EVEN to be even on the 14th you would have to go back 24 hrs because the end of the 14 th day is afternoon until the sun disappeared over the horizon Gods clock to the end of day and beginning of evening of the next day the 14th day at even is NOT the 14th but the beginning of the 15th first of the 2 evenings MK 1:32 And at even, when the sun did set, they brought unto him all that were diseased, and them that were possessed with devils.
Followed at the end eveningof twilight by 2
JOB 3:9 Let the stars of the twilight thereof be dark; let it look for light, but have none; neither let it see the dawning of the day:
The first day of ULB is the 15th and only in the NT does preparation appeare before a sabbath and never ever connected to a weekly Sabbath only 2 in the passover

JN 12:2 There they made him a supper; and Martha served: but Lazarus was one of them that sat at the table with him. After Sabbath and LK 23:56 And they returned, and prepared spices and ointments; and rested the sabbath day according to the commandment.
And preparation is not on either of them all 6 times preparation is used on Wednesday the day before passover JN 12:1 Then Jesus six days before the passover came to Bethany, where Lazarus was, which had been dead, whom he raised from the dead.
The first day of ULB they killed the lamb you say that was Friday you are getting that from the NT which almost all Christendom believe that Jesus died on Friday which my 4 texts disprove John 12:1 Friday to 14th Wednesday preparation Ex 12:3 Sabbath 10th to 14th AT RVEN beginning on Thursday passover
Mark 14:1,2 Tuesday 2 days to passover Thursday
Mark 12:40 Thursday Friday resurrection sabbath you cannot prove this wrong one supplements the other Mat 27: 57, Mark 15:42, Luke 23:50-54, John 19:31, are all after sunset when Joseph went to Pilot Jesus was still on the cross when the sun had set asme time the lamb died the first passover EX 12:6 And ye shall keep it up until the fourteenth day of the same month: and the whole assembly of the congregation of Israel shall kill it in the evening.
As I have written this is the beginning of passover the 15 th you cant have 3 evenings in one day the 2 evenings are at the beginning of the day Mark 1:32 and Job 3:9 so evening in verse 6 is the evening of passover 15th the lamb was always killed in OT in the evening EX 29:39 The one lamb thou shalt offer in the morning; and the other lamb thou shalt offer at even:
Until about 200 BC when the Pharisees changed it to 3pm EX 12:8 And they shall eat the flesh in that night, roast with fire, and unleavened bread; and with bitter herbs they shall eat it.

Killed and eaten that same night they were not to go out of the house from sunset until morning on the 15th the first day of passover EX 12:15 Seven days shall ye eat unleavened bread; even the first day ye shall put away leaven out of your houses: for whosoever eateth leavened bread from the first day until the seventh day, that soul shall be cut off from Israel.
EX 12:16 And in the first day there shall be an holy convocation, and in the seventh day there shall be an holy convocation to you; no manner of work shall be done in them, save that which every man must eat, that only may be done of you.
This text is verifying the 15 th as the first day
Gerard Gods word is against you consider your eternal destination.
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## Steenberg:

How many readers have you convinced by now after almost 3000 post that the crucifixion was on Friday and the resurrection was on Sunday? Probably not ONE!
The BIBLE is very clear that JESUS said THREE DAYS PLUS THREE NIGHTS!
Conclusion? Wednesday crucifixion and Sabbath resurrection. Any further discussion is just a waste of time.

## Rubies:

Yes, and Jesus also defined the meaning of "three days and three nights" with His prophetic statement, Luke 13:32 And he said to them,"Go and tell that fox, 'Behold, I cast out demons and perform cures today and tomorrow, and the third day I finish my course."
Easy as 1-2-3.
Friday - Saturday - Sunday.
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## GE:

It is " $\sim$ Gobbeldegook $\sim$ " to Rubies "...it is MY HOUR..."
It is " $\sim$ Gobbeldegook $\sim$ " to Rubies "...my soul is exceeding death sorrowful ... my soul is exceeding sorrowful : unto death..." It is " $\sim$ Gobbeldegook $\sim$ " to Rubies "...ye shall all be offended BECAUSE OF THIS NIGHT, FOR IT IS WRITTEN, I will SMITE the shepherd..."
It is " $\sim$ Gobbeldegook $\sim$ " to Rubies "...this is my blood which is shed for you..."
It is " $\sim$ Gobbeldegook $\sim "$ to Rubies "...one of you shall BETRAY Me..."
It is " $\sim$ Gobbeldegook $\sim$ " to Rubies "...the Son of Man INDEED GOETH AS it iswritten of Him..."
It is "~Gobbeldegook~" to Rubies "...verily I will not drink one drop of the fruit of the vine until I DRINK IT NEW IN THE KINGDOM OF GOD..."
It is " $\sim$ Gobbeldegook $\sim$ " to Rubies "...that THIS DAY IN THIS NIGHT, thou shalt deny Me thrice..."
It is " $\sim$ Gobbeldegook $\sim$ " to Rubies "...they came to a PLACE called The WINE-PRESS / OLIVE PRESS..."
It is " $\sim$ Gobbeldegook $\sim$ " to Rubies "...He began to be SORE AMAZED and to be VERY HEAVY..."
It is "~Gobbeldegook~" to Rubies "...IF IT WERE POSSIBLE, THE HOUR MIGHT PASS..."
It is " $\sim$ Gobbeldegook $\sim$ " to Rubies "...ENTER INTO
TEMPTATION the spirit is ready but the flesh weak..."
It is "~Gobbeldegook $\sim$ " to Rubies "...neither wist they what to answer Him..."IT IS ENOUGH THE HOUR IS COME..."
It is " $\sim$ Gobbeldegook $\sim$ " to Rubies many times more and over and over again "THIS IS YOUR HOUR --- YOUR DAY --- THIS IS THE POWER OF DARKNESS..." It is "~Gobbeldegook~" to

Rubies ... to FAKED, deceitful, Rubies. Go on despise Jesus Christ like everybody in his SUFFERING OF DYING DEATH, SUFFERED AND DIED "IN THAT NIGHT TO BE SOLEMNLY OBSERVED".
Because He had to die for our sins, OBEDIENTLY, EARNESTLY DESIRING, STRIVING to enter, breaking the breach, descending into battlefield in the Kingdom of his Father to CONQUER : AND CONQUERED : DEATH IN HELL. But it is " $\sim$ gobbeldegook $\sim$ " to Rubies.

## Rubies:

I understand the Bible just fine Gerhard.
It is only the way YOU tell it that is gobbeldegook.


#### Abstract

Alex: Actually Rubies this made sense to me. On the 14th Nisan the whole community was "preparing for the Passover". Only ULB would have been available that day. Hence my precious post. So the last supper happens 24 hours before the 7 day feast week.


## GE:

I am cincerly thankful that " $\sim$ this made sense $\sim$ " to you, Alex. Because THIS is the "BONE" of contention and "BONE-DAY" of no contention because no one understands it! So no one has .... Stop!
Reformed Protestants HAVE ALWAYS understood "THIS NIGHT"!
Reformed Protestant Klaas Schilder has written the BOOK OF BOOKS ON ITS SUBJECT.
And MANY --- one might say ALL --- have ALWAYS taught Jesus descended into hell "IN THIS NIGHT"---TO, DIE, DEATH—OUR, DEATH.
"IN THIS NIGHT" Jesus' SPIRIT was "ready", was "prepared",
and ably andsuccessfully and TRIUMPHANTLY OVERCAME DEATH.
"IN THIS NIGHT ... IN IT CHRIST TRIUMPHED".
"IN THIS NIGHT" Jesus shod "GREAT DROPS OF BLOOD TO THE GROUND".
"THIS DAY" as soon as "man's day"-daylight-had come the bloodthirsty murderers, THEY FOUND NO DROP OF HIS BLOOD, and Jesus expiring his last breath GAVE HIS LIFE as the "SPOTLESS LAMB OF GOD".
There is no Saving Blood in the cross; Jesus did not die of loss of blood; He died the death the SUFFERING of the wages of SIN. God's WILL saved his redeemed and God's WILL is in his LIFE. Therefore Jesus' RESURRECTION "NOW SAVES US".
There never was a bloddy cross that saved because on Christ's cross NO blood of his fell to the ground ... because it would mean victory for hell and not for LIFE.
If you're a Roman Catholic, it's all " $\sim$ gobbeldegook $\sim$ " to you ... and you will be a Friday crucifixionist naturally because blood contains the soul of Easter and 'resurrection-Sunday'. Antichrist rules with FEAR!

[^5]
## GE:

.... have never maintained another 'position' since I relinquished the 'Friday' crucifixion AND burial 'position'.of my SDA upbringing.


#### Abstract

Alex: GE a Friday Crucifixionism you relinquished did you? Okay. So what is your position on the day Jesus was crucified now? If you have never maintained another position what are you doing in this forum on this topic?


## Steenberg:

When was the resurrection?


#### Abstract

Alex: Late Saturday Sabbath Nisan 176 April 30 AD [Cut] And [Cut] Some variances on roman dates but the year 30 AD is best - even GE likes it on page 207 of his work http://www.biblestudents.co.za/books/Book\ 1...


## Rubies:

But Alex, in 30 AD 14 Nisan was on FRIDAY.
This is the reason that so many choose 30 AD (not me though).
Your "Wednesday 14 Nisan 30 AD " is based on the
ASTRONOMICAL New Moon or Conjunction, which was 2 days before the observed first crescent.
We have records of the Pharisees seeking the testimony of many reliable witnesses to the observation of the first crescent, only after which was the new month declared and proclaimed throughout the land by the means of bonfire beacons on the hilltops. Your premise for a Wednesday Cross in 30 AD is unsound.
Better do some research and choose another year. 34 AD I believe is your closest fit.


#### Abstract

Alex; That makes GE a Wednesday Crossite too... as he has advocated a Wed death and a Thurs burial in previous posts. I wish he be more consistent and at least defend something he believes in rather than jumping camps all the time.


## Rubies:

You are correct, it is hard to tell what he means, but I gather he has always plumped for Thursday Cross, Jesus left hanging on the tree all night in defiance of God's command not to, then the men spent the entire day Friday wrapping Jesus in a cloth with some spices \& laying Him on the bench in the close-by tomb, but the women did nothing but watvh, then came back 2 days later with their spices.

## GE:

... version a la faked Rubies.
... even so, the faked Rubies has been unable to come up with anything better than even this warped version of the truth.

## Rubies:

\#2941 hitp://www.topix.com/forum/religion/seventh--day-advenistsTCMTOOQAME27RE FTT4p/140
25. She uses USNO data for the Astronomical Conjunction of the moon to date 14 Nisan as Wednesday 5th April, when in fact, counting as the Jews did, from First Visibility of the new crescent, this Wednesday was actually 12 Nisan. 14 Nisan 30 AD was on FRIDAY 7th April, according to the method used by the Jews in Jesus' era.
26. She dismisses the fact that Jesus' disciples did as He commanded them, and PREPARED THE PASSOVER on the day that the Passover lambs HAD TO BE KILLED. Matt 26:17,19;
Mark 14:12,16; Luke 22:7-13.
27. She denies the fact that JESUS ATE THE PASSOVER WITH

## HIS DISCIPLES ON THE NIGHT BEFORE HE SUFFERED,

 Luke 22:8, 15 .
## GE:

... and yet another LIE of the faked Rubies!
... directly CONTRADICTING Jesus' own words, "earnestly desiring to eat withyou this passover"---in fact saying 'I will NOT eat this passover with you','pro tou me pathein'-'FOR / BY REASON OF MY SUFFERING"!
Jesus' imminent SUFFERING of that night He was to suffer in that night, prevented Him to eat that passover with his (Jewish) disciples. He would THROUGH SUFFERING EAT "THIS PASSOVER" ALONE and by Himself and on his own. "THIS PASSOVER", was in truth, Jesus' eating of it; "THIS THAT NIGHT" indeed, Jesus' Passover.

## Rubies:

28. Thus she doubly invalidates her argument that "Jesus had to die in the middle of the week on Passover Wednesday 14 Nisan as the Passover lamb".
29. She overlooks the fact that Jesus in His death fulfilled not only the Passover lamb typology, but also the typology of the regular evening burnt offering, also of both the slain goat and the Goat of Removal, and especially of the Ram of Burnt offering on the Day of Atonement. Not all of these could be fulfilled on the same single date of the year! This invalidates the rigid stipulation of Jesus' death having to be ON Nisan 14.
30. She misses the significance of the Shabbat Hagadol ("Megale he hemera"; The Great Sabbath; falsely translated "high day") that axiomatically falls on the weekly Sabbath, which is always the 2nd Sabbath of the year, and that only in certain years, did this fall AFTER the Passover lamb had been prepared and eaten.
31. She correctly discerns that Herbert W. Armstrong was not the
true prophet he claimed to be, but by remaining within the COG fold and trying to reinvent their buckled wheel, she disobeys the divine commandment to stone false prophets to death to purge the evil from their midst, and to flee from Babylon for her life.

## GE:

The flip-side of the very Rubies coin!

## Rubies:

26. She dismisses the fact that Jesus' disciples did as He commanded them, and PREPARED THE PASSOVER on the day that the Passover lambs HAD TO BE KILLED. Matt 26:17,19; Mark 14:12,16; Luke 22:7-13.

## GE:

Here's another BIG FAKED ruby of the Rubies!
The Scriptures are faked and raped in fact to reach only to " $\sim<$ Matt 26:17,19; Mark 14:12,16; Luke 22:7-13~"> AND STOP BEFORE AND EXCLUDE Mark 14:17 Matthew 26:20 Luke 22:14 and John 13:1.30 and 1Corinthians 11:23. BLATANT CORRUPTION AND MANHANDLING OF GOD'S WORD!

## Rubies:

You call me a hypocrite.
Yet you libel me and wrest my posts which were responses refuting the obvious errors of a Fred Coulter disciple.
Yet you have breathed fire \& brimstone here when anyone else mentioned Coulter.
Make up your deranged curmudgeonly mind.

## GE:

# Yours, stays, BLATANT CORRUPTION AND MANHANDLING OF GOD'S WORD! 

## Steenberg:

When was the resurrection?

## GE:

"God the day The Seventh Day RESTED";
"Mid-afternoon in the fullness of the Sabbath there was a great earthquake and the angel of the Lord from the heaven descending CAST THE STONE AWAY FROM THE TOMB and sat on it." Even the sinless angels obeyed God's Rest on the Sabbath BECAUSE "RESTING UP AGAIN, HIS - Christ's - NAME IS: THE MOST HOLY PLACE". Isiah 57:15.
" $\sim<$ When was the resurrection? $\sim$ " $>$
"By the EXCEEDING GREATNESS OF HIS POWER WHEN GOD RAISED CHRIST FROM THE DEAD.... WHEREFORE for the People of God keeping of the Sabbath Day remains valid ... BECAUSE JESUS GAVE THEM REST."
"Hitherto My Father works and I work"...
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#### Abstract

Alex: GE a Friday Crucifixionism you relinquished did you? Okay. So what is your position on the day Jesus was crucified now? If you have never maintained another position what are you doing in this forum on this topic?


## GE:

Collins says 'relinquish' means to give up and abandon.
Are you trying to be funny? To me.you're not funny.
On this forum I am trying to bring to people like yours senses

That Christ Suffered and was Crucified and DIED on "the first day they KILLED the passover ... the first day they REMOVED leaven on"
That on "BONE-Day"..."the first night" of the Passover of
Yahweh in which the Jews ate unleavened bread, Joseph took "that which remained" of the Lamb of God, Jesus' body, and "according to the Jews' Ethical Law to bury"--- Exodus 12 to 13 and Leviticus 23 et al --- BURIED Him and had closed his grave "by the time of the Jews' preparations to begin":"mid-afternoon THAT [BONE] DAY the Sabbath drawing near." John 19:42 Luke 23:54.
And that "the third day acoording to the Scriptures" the passover Scriptures, God raised Christ "on the SABBATH DAY the
First Day of the week drawing near mid-afternoon." Matthew 28:1.
How many times more must I tell _you_the same thing over?!
How could I tell you it simpler?!
WITH SCRIPTURE ONLY?!
\#2947
The Faked Rubies' NUMEROUS ERRORS :

1. She subscribes to the "Sunday-special sabbath" heresy.

## Rubies:

## Bunkum.

I deny that any day is a "special sabbath" which is a fudgeable term invented to rationalise sectarian opinions.
ANd the rest of your whinge is bunkum too.
Anyway I am still ignoring you. Life is much more pleasant that way.

## GE:

... that, I can imagine for you!
He he he he ... funny bux bunny
2. She holds the definition of PARASKEUE, which according to her is the Jews' Greek name for exclusively FRIDAY, and falsely
claims that PARASKEUE is attested by hundreds of years of Christian historians as exclusively FRIDAY.
But she herself must have noticed that the 'Paraskeueh' in the case of Jesus' BURIAL, explains ITSELF as
"the Preparation WHICH Is The PROSABBATON",
"~"the day before the Sabbath"~"
in CONTRA-DISTINCTION to the 'Paraskeueh' in the case of Jesus' DEATH on Abib 14, defined
"the Preparation OF THE PASSOVER" in John 19:14 and "the very first day ye shall REMOVE LEAVEN on" in Exodus 12:15a;
12:18a --- which was
"the Preparation of the Passover"(on 'Thursday') for the following Feast Day, Abib 15 (on 'Friday').
[Abib 15 "the first day ye shall eat unleavened bread "seven days" including "the one and twentieth day of the month" Exodus 12:15b, 18b.]
It's not because the faked Rubies doesn't know; it's because she ignores the truth informed her. Plainly she's a hypocrite.
More of the Faked Rubies' NUMEROUS ERRORS which demonstrate how credulous, deceived and theologically illiterate she is.
Without scriptural authority she falsely ascribes a ministry of $21 / 2$ years to Jesus, when the NT chronology has $31 / 2$ years. She spuriously claims that Jesus was obliged to die literally on the Sixth Day of a regular week, therefore on a Friday.
She doubles her error with the fallacy that Jesus did not die in the "substantial" and "essential" MIDDLE of the "three days","in that night solemnly to be observed" of 'Wednesday night' the beginning part of Abib 14.
She fails to realise that the precise middle- or "SELFSAME BONE-DAY" of Jesus' 'Last Week' of Suffering was "the Preparation because THAT DAY was great day sabbath" of the

Passover of Yahweh, and "the Preparation Which is the Fore-Sabbath" of the Seventh Day of the week-not of "the passover" on the day before.
The faked Rubies fails to realise that 'Friday crucifixion' has nothing in the Scriptures to support it. She misses the fact that Daniel prophesied only that the Messiah would come and die to with his own life make an end of reconciliation through sacrifices. The faked Rubies " $\sim$ invokes the special pleading $\sim$ " of only the one "Preparation Day which is the Fore-Sabbath" blatantly denying "The Preparation of the Passover" of that following week of unleavened bread eaten.
The faked Rubies" " $\sim$ fallacies $\sim$ " are meant to circumvent the Scriptural, linguistically and historically attested Truth of Jesus' "Sabbath's", Resurrection.
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[^0]:    "it being TOWARD evening the day far spent (on the First Day of the week" in Luke 24:29.

[^1]:    Alex:
    Bravo!*claps slowly*

[^2]:    Alex:
    Lets see what Matthew $28: 1$ saids.[Cut]
    Yes the word sabbatOn appears. G4521. meaning "the seventh day

[^3]:    Alex:
    Today we think of evening as darkness whereas in ancient time even/eventide/evening/between the evenings can come before

[^4]:    Alex:
    GE refer something I came across two days ago - What Day of the Week Did the Original Passover Occur? [Cut]
    Good stuff but the only error I can find by said author is that he states the Last Supper did not use ULB. I informed him of Exodus 12:18.

[^5]:    Alex:
    GE so what are you advocating exactly? A Wednesday or Thursday or Friday crucifixion?
    Let us not forget way back in post \#2057 and following that you directed me tohttp://www.biblestudents.co.za/books/Book\%201... wherein on page 5 you stated "The implications are that Jesus was buried the day after He had died, and the day of his burial being Friday, He must have been crucified on Thursday" Do you still maintain this position?

